

Continuing Section 106 consultation for the SMF proposed 32nd Street TI scope of work change was initiated on July 12, 2018 in accordance with the programmatic agreement developed for the SMF project between FHWA, Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), and ADOT (executed July 21, 2015) with the finding that an “adverse effect” determination was still appropriate for the overall SMF project. The following parties were included in the continuing Section 106 consultation:

Consulting Party	Response
Agencies	
Arizona State Land Department	Signed concurrence dated July 12, 2018
Arizona State Museum	Signed concurrence dated July 23, 2018
City of Avondale	No response
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Signed concurrence dated July 18, 2018
Bureau of Land Management	Signed concurrence dated July 17, 2018
City of Chandler	No response
City of Glendale	No response
Flood Control District of Maricopa County	No response
Maricopa County Department of Transportation	No response
City of Phoenix Historic Preservation Office	No response
City of Phoenix Pueblo Grande Museum	Signed concurrence dated July 17, 2018
Bureau of Reclamation	Responded via e-mail dated July 16, 2018 that they reviewed the project area and Reclamation does not have any land in the area of potential effect and they do not comment on projects for lands not under their jurisdiction.
Roosevelt Irrigation District	No response
State Historic Preservation Office	Signed concurrence dated July 12, 2018
Salt River Project	No response
City of Tolleson	No response
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	No response
Western Area Power Administration	No response
Tribes	
Ak-Chin Indian Community	No response
Colorado River Indian Tribes	No response
Fort Mohave Indian Tribe	No response
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	No response
Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe	No response

Consulting Party	Response
Tribes	
Gila River Indian Community	The GRIC-THPO returned a letter dated July 19, 2018 maintaining their concurrence with a finding of adverse effect for this undertaking and that GRIC-THPO concurrence is not to be interpreted as support for the SMF project. The GRIC-THPO also reiterates that the GRIC identifies South Mountain (Muhadagi Doag) as a Traditional Cultural Property as defined in National Register Bulletin 38 (as revised) and that the project is within the ancestral lands of the Four Southern Tribes.
Havasupai Tribe	No response
Hopi Tribe	Signed concurrence dated July 18, 2018
Hualapai Tribe	No response
Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians	No response
Pascua Yaqui Tribe	No response
San Juan Southern Paiute	No response
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	No response
Tohono O'odham Nation	No response
Tonto Apache Tribe	No response
Yavapai-Apache Nation	No response
Pueblo of Zuni	No response