

Program (CRMP) performed a Class III survey of three potential transmission line realignment corridors that would be required for the proposed South Mountain Freeway. At the time the survey was conducted, two separate eastern alignments for the freeway, the Pecos Road alignment (E1) and an alignment located on the GRIC (E2), were being studied by FHWA and ADOT. Thus, the survey addressed potential transmission line realignment corridors required for both the E1 and the E2 alignments. CRMP documented seven archaeological sites within the Western realignment corridors.

| Site Number                         | Site Type   | South Mountain Freeway alignment alternative site is located within |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo | Village with platform mounds and canal                                    | E1  |
| AZ T:12:112 (ASM)                   | Shrine site containing mound, trail, and cleared area                     | E2  |
| GR-1002                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1003                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1081                             | Petroglyph site with an associated artifact scatter of sherds and lithics | E2  |
| GR-1569                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1571                             | Historic artifact scatter   | E2  |

The E2 South Mountain Freeway alignment will not be carried forward in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Therefore, this letter does not include a discussion of the realignment corridors required for the proposed E2 alignment or the sites affected only by the E2 alignment.

The realignment corridor alternatives required for the proposed E1 corridor are 200 feet wide. The corridor between transmission line structures 18/1 and 18/6, is located on private land; the corridor between transmission line structures 26/2 and 26/5 is located on the GRIC and private land.

The results of CRMP's Class III survey of the transmission line realignment corridors are reported in "A Class I and Class III Cultural Resource Survey for the Proposed Liberty-Coolidge 230-Kv Transmission Line Realignment, in Support of the South Mountain Loop 2002 Alignment, in the Gila River Indian Community, the Unincorporated Community of Laveen, and the City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona" (McCool and Loendorf 2012). A copy of the report is enclosed for your review and comment. Because of the confidential nature of the Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) information contained within the report, FHWA has limited its distribution to Western, the GRIC, and the SHPO.

AZ T:12:52 (ASM), also known as Pueblo del Alamo, is a prehistoric Hohokam village site that was previously determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRIIP) under Criterion A as a TCP and under Criterion D as an archaeological site (Lewis [Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO)] to Petty [FHWA], July 3, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA], May 15, 2012). A portion of the site was documented within the Western realignment corridors. The line realignment for the E1 Alternative would require relocating one transmission line tower (structure 18/3) within the site's boundaries.

The construction of the new tower would require ground disturbing activities; therefore, FHWA has determined the transmission line realignment would result in an "adverse effect" to AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion D as an archaeological site. Mitigation of any adverse effects to the archaeological site resulting from the realignment would be developed in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement (PA) that has been developed and executed for the project as a whole.

The present surface condition of AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo within the area of potential effect (APE) is highly disturbed by recent activities such as farming and other development; however, FHWA recognizes and respects the GRIC's position that these disturbances in no way diminish the qualities of the site as a TCP. This includes the physical and spiritual aspects of the site that the GRIC believes could be negatively impacted by the proposed line relocation. Additionally, FHWA recognizes the GRIC's concerns in regard to site desecration due to intrusion and ground disturbance, which may affect the spiritual welfare of its members and other affiliated Tribes. A TCP Enhancement Plan was developed and will be implemented to address these concerns and to prevent adverse effects to the site under Criterion A. The GRIC THPO concurred that the development and implementation of a TCP Enhancement Plan will prevent potential adverse effects under Criterion A to Pueblo del Alamo (Lewis [THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012). Therefore it is the position of the FHWA that the TCP Enhancement Plan is a sufficient and reasonable condition for recommending a finding of "no adverse effect" for Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP.

Based on the above, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" is appropriate for AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion D of the NRHP and that a finding of "no adverse effect" is appropriate under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP for the proposed Western transmission line realignment. Please review the enclosed report and information provided in this letter. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and project effect, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
 Karla S. Petty  
 Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature for GRIC Concurrence  
 NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

Enclosure

cc:  
 Barnaby Lewis, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Gila River Indian Community, P.O. Box 2140, Sacaton, AZ 85147 (with enclosure)  
 Kyle Woodson, Acting Coordinator, Cultural Resource Management Program, Gila River Indian Community, P.O. Box 2140, Sacaton, AZ, 85147 (with enclosure)



## ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

October 31, 2012

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Western Transmission Line Realignment

Dr. David Jacobs, Compliance Specialist  
State Historic Preservation Office  
Arizona State Parks  
1300 West Washington  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Dr. Jacobs:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS & Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountain from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project is scheduled to employ federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is varied.

Consulting parties for this project include FHWA, ADOT, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the Arizona State Land Department, the Arizona State Museum, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Land Management, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Western Area Power Administration (Western), the Salt River Project, the Maricopa County Department of Transportation, the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, the Roosevelt Irrigation District, the City of Avondale, the City of Chandler, the City of Glendale, the City of Phoenix, the City of Tolleson, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribe, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC), the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, the Yavapai-Apache Nation, and the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe.

In accordance with the regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been carrying out cultural resource studies. The proposed South Mountain Freeway would require realignment of the Liberty-Coolidge 230-kV Transmission Line, which is administered by Western. At the request of ADOT, GRIC's Cultural Resource Management

2

Program (CRMP) performed a Class III survey of three potential transmission line realignment corridors that would be required for the proposed South Mountain Freeway. At the time the survey was conducted, two separate eastern alignments for the freeway, the Pecos Road alignment (E1) and an alignment located on the GRIC (E2), were being studied by FHWA and ADOT. Thus, the survey addressed potential transmission line realignment corridors required for both the E1 and the E2 alignments. CRMP documented seven archaeological sites within the Western realignment corridors.

| Site Number                         | Site Type   | South Mountain Freeway alignment alternative site is located within |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo | Village with platform mounds and canal                                    | E1  |
| AZ T:12:112 (ASM)                   | Shrine site containing mound, trail, and cleared area                     | E2  |
| GR-1002                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1003                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1081                             | Petroglyph site with an associated artifact scatter of sherds and lithics | E2  |
| GR-1569                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1571                             | Historic artifact scatter   | E2  |

The E2 South Mountain Freeway alignment will not be carried forward in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Therefore, this letter does not include a discussion of the realignment corridors required for the proposed E2 alignment or the sites affected only by the E2 alignment.

The realignment corridor alternatives required for the proposed E1 corridor are 200 feet wide. The corridor between transmission line structures 18/1 and 18/6, is located on private land; the corridor between transmission line structures 26/2 and 26/5 is located on the GRIC and private land.

The results of CRMP's Class III survey of the transmission line realignment corridors are reported in "A Class I and Class III Cultural Resource Survey for the Proposed Liberty-Coolidge 230-Kv Transmission Line Realignment, in Support of the South Mountain Loop 2002 Alignment, in the Gila River Indian Community, the Unincorporated Community of Laveen, and the City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona" (McCool and Loendorf 2012). A copy of the report is enclosed for your review and comment. Because of the confidential nature of the Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) information contained within the report, FHWA has limited its distribution to Western, the GRIC, and the SHPO.

AZ T:12:52 (ASM), also known as Pueblo del Alamo, is a prehistoric Hohokam village site that was previously determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion A as a TCP and under Criterion D as an archaeological site (Lewis [Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO)] to Petty [FHWA], July 3, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA], May 15, 2012). A portion of the site was documented within the Western realignment corridors. The line realignment for the E1 Alternative would require relocating one transmission line tower (structure 18/3) within the site's boundaries.

The construction of the new tower would require ground disturbing activities; therefore, FHWA has determined the transmission line realignment would result in an "adverse effect" to AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion D as an archaeological site. Mitigation of any adverse effects to the archaeological site resulting from the realignment would be developed in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement (PA) that has been developed and executed for the project as a whole.

The present surface condition of AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo within the area of potential effects (APE) is highly disturbed by recent activities such as farming and other development; however, FHWA recognizes and respects the GRIC's position that these disturbances in no way diminish the qualities of the site as a TCP. This includes the physical and spiritual aspects of the site that the GRIC believes could be negatively impacted by the proposed line relocation. Additionally, FHWA recognizes the GRIC's concerns in regard to site desecration due to intrusion and ground disturbance, which may affect the spiritual welfare of its members and other affiliated Tribes. A TCP Enhancement Plan was developed and will be implemented to address these concerns and to prevent adverse effects to the site under Criterion A. The GRIC THPO concurred that the development and implementation of a TCP Enhancement Plan will prevent potential adverse effects under Criterion A to Pueblo del Alamo (Lewis [THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012). Therefore it is the position of the FHWA that the TCP Enhancement Plan is a sufficient and reasonable condition for recommending a finding of "no adverse effect" for Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP.

Based on the above, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" is appropriate for AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion D of the NRHP and that a finding of "no adverse effect" is appropriate under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP for the proposed Western transmission line realignment. Please review the enclosed report and information provided in this letter. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and project effect, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for SHPO Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

October 31, 2012

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Western Transmission Line Realignment

Ms. Linda Hughes, Environmental Manager  
Western Area Power Administration  
615 South 43rd Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ 85009

Dear Ms. Hughes:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS & Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountain from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project is scheduled to employ federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is varied.

Consulting parties for this project include FHWA, ADOT, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the Arizona State Land Department, the Arizona State Museum, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Land Management, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Western Area Power Administration (Western), the Salt River Project, the Maricopa County Department of Transportation, the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, the Roosevelt Irrigation District, the City of Avondale, the City of Chandler, the City of Glendale, the City of Phoenix, the City of Tolleson, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribe, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC), the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, the Yavapai-Apache Nation, and the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe.

In accordance with the regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been carrying out cultural resource studies. The proposed South Mountain Freeway would require realignment of the Liberty-Coolidge 230-kV Transmission Line, which is administered by Western. At the request of ADOT, GRIC's Cultural Resource Management

2

Program (CRMP) performed a Class III survey of three potential transmission line realignment corridors that would be required for the proposed South Mountain Freeway. At the time the survey was conducted, two separate eastern alignments for the freeway, the Pecos Road alignment (E1) and an alignment located on the GRIC (E2), were being studied by FHWA and ADOT. Thus, the survey addressed potential transmission line realignment corridors required for both the E1 and the E2 alignments. CRMP documented seven archaeological sites within the Western realignment corridors.

| Site Number                         | Site Type   | South Mountain Freeway alignment alternative site is located within |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo | Village with platform mounds and canal                                    | E1  |
| AZ T:12:112 (ASM)                   | Shrine site containing mound, trail, and cleared area                     | E2  |
| GR-1002                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1003                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1081                             | Petroglyph site with an associated artifact scatter of sherds and lithics | E2  |
| GR-1569                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1571                             | Historic artifact scatter   | E2  |

The E2 South Mountain Freeway alignment will not be carried forward in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Therefore, this letter does not include a discussion of the realignment corridors required for the proposed E2 alignment or the sites affected only by the E2 alignment.

The realignment corridor alternatives required for the proposed E1 corridor are 200 feet wide. The corridor between transmission line structures 18/1 and 18/6, is located on private land; the corridor between transmission line structures 26/2 and 26/5 is located on the GRIC and private land.

The results of CRMP's Class III survey of the transmission line realignment corridors are reported in "*A Class I and Class III Cultural Resource Survey for the Proposed Liberty-Coolidge 230-Kv Transmission Line Realignment, in Support of the South Mountain Loop 2002 Alignment, in the Gila River Indian Community, the Unincorporated Community of Laveen, and the City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona*" (McCool and Loendorf 2012). A copy of the report is enclosed for your review and comment. Because of the confidential nature of the Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) information contained within the report, FHWA has limited its distribution to Western, the GRIC, and the SHPO.

AZ T:12:52 (ASM), also known as Pueblo del Alamo, is a prehistoric Hohokam village site that was previously determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion A as a TCP and under Criterion D as an archaeological site (Lewis [Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO)] to Petty [FHWA], July 3, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA], May 15, 2012). A portion of the site was documented within the Western realignment corridors. The line realignment for the E1 Alternative would require relocating one transmission line tower (structure 18/3) within the site's boundaries.

The construction of the new tower would require ground disturbing activities; therefore, FHWA has determined the transmission line realignment would result in an "adverse effect" to AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion D as an archaeological site. Mitigation of any adverse effects to the archaeological site resulting from the realignment would be developed in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement (PA) that has been developed and executed for the project as a whole.

3

The present surface condition of AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo within the area of potential effects (APE) is highly disturbed by recent activities such as farming and other development; however, FHWA recognizes and respects the GRIC's position that these disturbances in no way diminish the qualities of the site as a TCP. This includes the physical and spiritual aspects of the site that the GRIC believes could be negatively impacted by the proposed line relocation. Additionally, FHWA recognizes the GRIC's concerns in regard to site desecration due to intrusion and ground disturbance, which may affect the spiritual welfare of its members and other affiliated Tribes. A TCP Enhancement Plan was developed and will be implemented to address these concerns and to prevent adverse effects to the site under Criterion A. The GRIC THPO concurred that the development and implementation of a TCP Enhancement Plan will prevent potential adverse effects under Criterion A to Pueblo del Alamo (Lewis [THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012). Therefore it is the position of the FHWA that the TCP Enhancement Plan is a sufficient and reasonable condition for recommending a finding of "no adverse effect" for Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP.

Based on the above, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" is appropriate for AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion D of the NRHP and that a finding of "no adverse effect" is appropriate under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP for the proposed Western transmission line realignment. Please review the enclosed report and information provided in this letter. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and project effect, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

Signature for Western Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Date

Enclosure



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
 Suite 1500  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
 Phone: (602) 379-3646  
 Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

October 31, 2012

In Reply Refer To:  
 NH-202-D(ADY)  
 HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
 TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
 202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
 Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
 Western Transmission Line Realignment

Ms. Linda Hughes, Environmental Manager  
 Western Area Power Administration  
 615 South 43rd Avenue  
 Phoenix, AZ 85009

Dear Ms. Hughes:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS & Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountain from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project is scheduled to employ federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is varied.

Consulting parties for this project include FHWA, ADOT, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the Arizona State Land Department, the Arizona State Museum, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Land Management, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Western Area Power Administration (Western), the Salt River Project, the Maricopa County Department of Transportation, the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, the Roosevelt Irrigation District, the City of Avondale, the City of Chandler, the City of Glendale, the City of Phoenix, the City of Tolleson, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribe, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC), the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, the Yavapai-Apache Nation, and the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe.

In accordance with the regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been carrying out cultural resource studies. The proposed South Mountain Freeway would require realignment of the Liberty-Coolidge 230-kV Transmission Line, which is administered by Western. At the request of ADOT, GRIC's Cultural Resource Management

Program (CRMP) performed a Class III survey of three potential transmission line realignment corridors that would be required for the proposed South Mountain Freeway. At the time the survey was conducted, two separate eastern alignments for the freeway, the Pecos Road alignment (E1) and an alignment located on the GRIC (E2), were being studied by FHWA and ADOT. Thus, the survey addressed potential transmission line realignment corridors required for both the E1 and the E2 alignments. CRMP documented seven archaeological sites within the Western realignment corridors.

| Site Number                         | Site Type   | South Mountain Freeway alignment alternative site is located within |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo | Village with platform mounds and canal                                    | E1  |
| AZ T:12:112 (ASM)                   | Shrine site containing mound, trail, and cleared area                     | E2  |
| GR-1002                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1003                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1081                             | Petroglyph site with an associated artifact scatter of sherds and lithics | E2  |
| GR-1569                             | Dry farming agricultural site   | E2  |
| GR-1571                             | Historic artifact scatter   | E2  |

The E2 South Mountain Freeway alignment will not be carried forward in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Therefore, this letter does not include a discussion of the realignment corridors required for the proposed E2 alignment or the sites affected only by the E2 alignment.

The realignment corridor alternatives required for the proposed E1 corridor are 200 feet wide. The corridor between transmission line structures 18/1 and 18/6, is located on private land; the corridor between transmission line structures 26/2 and 26/5 is located on the GRIC and private land.

The results of CRMP's Class III survey of the transmission line realignment corridors are reported in "A Class I and Class III Cultural Resource Survey for the Proposed Liberty-Coolidge 230-Kv Transmission Line Realignment, in Support of the South Mountain Loop 2002 Alignment, in the Gila River Indian Community, the Unincorporated Community of Laveen, and the City of Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona" (McCool and Loendorf 2012). A copy of the report is enclosed for your review and comment. Because of the confidential nature of the Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) information contained within the report, FHWA has limited its distribution to Western, the GRIC, and the SHPO.

AZ T:12:52 (ASM), also known as Pueblo del Alamo, is a prehistoric Hohokam village site that was previously determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion A as a TCP and under Criterion D as an archaeological site (Lewis [Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO)] to Petty [FHWA], July 3, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA], May 15, 2012). A portion of the site was documented within the Western realignment corridors. The line realignment for the E1 Alternative would require relocating one transmission line tower (structure 18/3) within the site's boundaries.

The construction of the new tower would require ground disturbing activities; therefore, FHWA has determined the transmission line realignment would result in an "adverse effect" to AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion D as an archaeological site. Mitigation of any adverse effects to the archaeological site resulting from the realignment would be developed in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement (PA) that has been developed and executed for the project as a whole.

3

The present surface condition of AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo within the area of potential effects (APE) is highly disturbed by recent activities such as farming and other development; however, FHWA recognizes and respects the GRIC's position that these disturbances in no way diminish the qualities of the site as a TCP. This includes the physical and spiritual aspects of the site that the GRIC believes could be negatively impacted by the proposed line relocation. Additionally, FHWA recognizes the GRIC's concerns in regard to site desecration due to intrusion and ground disturbance, which may affect the spiritual welfare of its members and other affiliated Tribes. A TCP Enhancement Plan was developed and will be implemented to address these concerns and to prevent adverse effects to the site under Criterion A. The GRIC THPO concurred that the development and implementation of a TCP Enhancement Plan will prevent potential adverse effects under Criterion A to Pueblo del Alamo (Lewis [THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012). Therefore it is the position of the FHWA that the TCP Enhancement Plan is a sufficient and reasonable condition for recommending a finding of "no adverse effect" for Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP.


Based on the above, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" is appropriate for AZ T:12:52 (ASM) / Pueblo del Alamo under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion D of the NRHP and that a finding of "no adverse effect" is appropriate under Section 106 of the NHPA as it pertains to Criterion A of the NRHP for the proposed Western transmission line realignment. Please review the enclosed report and information provided in this letter. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and project effect, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

NOV 27 2012



Signature for Western Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

11-20-12  
Date



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Louis Manuel, Jr., Chairman  
Ak-Chin Indian Community  
42507 West Peters & Nall Road  
Maricopa, Arizona 85138

Dear Chairman Manuel:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

4

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Ak-Chin Indian Community Concurrence      Date  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:

Caroline Antone, Cultural Resource Manager, Ak-Chin Indian Community, 42507 W. Peters and Nall Road, Maricopa, AZ 85138 (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

MAR 06 2013

January 31, 2013

2012-138  
4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Garry Cantley, Western Regional Archaeologist  
Bureau of Indian Affairs  
2600 North Central Avenue, Suite 400  
MS-620EQS  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-3008

Dear Mr. Cantley:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South





Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

4

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).


Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

MAR 06 2013

  
Signature for EA Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Date

2-19-13

Enclosure



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Charles Wood, Chairman  
Chemehuevi Tribe  
P.O. Box 1976  
Havasu Lake, California 92363

Dear Chairman Wood:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

4

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Chemehuevi Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure

cc:

June Leivas, Cultural Center Director (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Laurene Montero, City Archaeologist  
City of Phoenix  
4619 East Washington  
Phoenix, Arizona 85034

Dear Ms. Montero:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

4

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

  
Signature for City of Phoenix Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

2/20/2013  
Date



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:

HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Sherry Cordova, Chairwoman  
Cocopah Tribe  
County 15th & Avenue G  
Somerton, Arizona 85350

Dear Chairwoman Cordova:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

4

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRIP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

Signature for Cocopah Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Date

Enclosures

cc:

H. Jill McCormick, Cultural Resources Manager (with enclosure)



**THE COCOPAH INDIAN TRIBE**

Cultural Resource Department  
14515 S. Veterans Drive  
Somerton, Arizona 85350-2689  
Telephone (928) 627-4849  
Cell (928) 503-2291  
Fax (928) 627-3173

CCR-037-12-009

February 11, 2013

Ms. Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator  
U.S. Department of Transportation  
Arizona Division  
4000 North Central Avenue – Suite 1500  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-3500

RE: Comments for the Proposed South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design  
Concept Report

Dear: Ms. Petty

The Cultural Resources Department of the Cocopah Indian Tribe appreciates your consultation efforts on this project. We are pleased that you contacted our department on this issue for the purpose of solicitation of our input and to address our concerns on this matter. At this time we wish to make no comments on the development of the project. We defer the decision making process regarding the sensitive cultural resources of the area to the most local tribe(s) and support their determinations on this issue. However, we would like to continue to be kept informed on the progress of this project and the effects on cultural resources.

If you have any questions or need additional information please feel free to contact the cultural resource department. We will be happy to assist you with any future concerns or questions.

Sincerely,



H. Jill McCormick, M.A.  
Cultural Resource Manager





ARIZONA DIVISION

January 31, 2013

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Eldred Enas, Chairman  
Colorado River Indian Tribes  
26600 Mohave Road  
Parker, Arizona 85344

Dear Chairman Enas:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

3

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

4

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Colorado River Indian Tribes Concurrence    Date  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:

Wilene Fisher-Holt, Director, CRIT Museum (with enclosure)



**COLORADO RIVER INDIAN TRIBES**  
*Museum*

1007 Arizona Avenue • Parker, Arizona 85344  
Mailing: 26600 Mohave Rd. • Parker, Arizona, 85344  
Phone: (928) 669-8970 • Fax: (928) 669-1925

R

February 25, 2013

Karla S. Petty, Division Administrator  
Federal Highway Administration, Arizona Division  
4000 North Central Avenue, Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500

FEB 27 2013

RE: NH-202-D(ADY)

Dear Ms. Petty:

Thank you for your letters dated August 8, 2012 and January 31, 2013 requesting comment on the following project:

Project Name: 202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
TRACS Number: 202L MA 054 H5764 01C

In order to fully assess Colorado River Indian Tribes (CRIT) concerns regarding a given project area, Tribal members would generally have to visit the site. For this particular project, however, CRIT would like to join the Ak-Chin Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community in deferring to the Gila River Indian Community to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPS. At this time the Colorado River Indian Tribes is in concurrence with the Federal Highway Administration finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project, and CRIT does reserve the right to intervene if new or omitted information related to the proposed project becomes available.

Thank you. If you have any concerns please feel free to contact me at (928) 669-8970.

Sincerely,

Jennifer L. Barangan  
Archaeological Compliance Technician

cc: Wayne Patch, Sr., Chairman  
Rebecca Loudbear, Acting Attorney General  
File: CPRL\_10029



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013



In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Dr. Clinton Pattea, President  
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation  
P.O. Box 17779  
Fountain Hills, Arizona 85269

Dear President Pattea:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

FEB 8 - 2013

 2-4-13  
Signature for Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation Concurrence Date  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:  
Erica McCalvin, Planning & Project Manager (with enclosure)  
Karen Ray, Culture Coordinator (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Timothy Williams, Chairman  
Fort Mojave Indian Tribe  
500 Merriman Avenue  
Needles, California 92363

Dear Chairman Williams:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
 for Karla S. Petty  
 Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature for Fort Mojave Indian Tribe Concurrence      Date  
 NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:  
 Linda Otero, Director, Cultural Resource Management, P.O. Box 5990, 10225 S. Harbor Avenue, Mojave Valley, AZ 86440 (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
 Suite 1500  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
 Phone: (602) 379-3646  
 Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
 NH-202-D(ADY)  
 HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
 TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
 202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
 Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
 Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Keeny Escalanti, President  
 Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe  
 P.O. Box 1899  
 Yuma, Arizona 85366

Dear President Escalanti:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation




of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
 for Karla S. Petty  
 Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature for Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe Concurrence      Date  
 NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:  
 John P. Bathke, Historic Preservation Officer (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
 Suite 1500  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
 Phone: (602) 379-3646  
 Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
 NH-202-D(ADY)  
 HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
 TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
 202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
 Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
 Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Don E. Watahomigie, Chairman  
 Havasupai Tribe  
 P.O. Box 10  
 Supai, Arizona 86435

Dear Chairman Watahomigie:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Havasupai Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosures

cc:  
Travis Hamidreek, Director of Natural Resources (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

RECEIVED  
FEB 01 2013

BY: *AKO*

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Leigh Kuwanwisiwma, Director  
Cultural Preservation Office  
Hopi Tribe  
P.O. Box 123  
Kykotsmovi, Arizona 86039

Dear Mr. Kuwanwisiwma:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2005

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

*Karla S. Petty*  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

FEB 8 - 2013

*Alvin...*  
Signature for Hopi Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date 2-6-13

Enclosure



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Louise Benson, Chairwoman  
Hualapai Tribe  
P.O. Box 179  
Peach Springs, Arizona 86434

Dear Chairwoman Benson:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

*KSP*  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Hualapai Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosures

cc:  
Loretta Jackson-Kelly, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Office of Cultural Resources, P.O. Box 310, Peach Springs, AZ 86434 (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Manual Savala, Chairman  
Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians  
HC 65, Box 2, Tribal Affairs Bld.  
Fredonia, Arizona 86022

Dear Chairman Savala:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation



of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians Concurrence Date  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:  
Charley Bullets, Cultural Resources Director (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Dr. Alan Downer, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer  
Historic Preservation Department  
Navajo Nation  
P.O. Box 4950  
Window Rock, Arizona 86515

Dear Dr. Downer:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

3

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Navajo Nation Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure



THE  
NAVAJO  
NATION

Historic Preservation Department, POB 4950, Window Rock, AZ 86515 • PH: 928.871-7198 • FAX: 928.871.7886

BEN SHELLY  
PRESIDENT



REX LEE JIM  
VICE-PRESIDENT

APR 1 - 2013

March 20, 2013

Karla S Petty, Division Administrator  
U.S. Department of Transportation  
Arizona Division  
4000 N Central Ave.  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-3500

Dear Ms. Petty:

The Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department-Traditional Culture Program (NNHPD-TCP) is in receipt of the proposed project regarding technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project, Phoenix, Arizona.

After reviewing your consultation documents, NNHPD-TCP has concluded the proposed undertaking/project area **will not impact** Navajo traditional cultural resources. The NNHPD-TCP, on behalf of the Navajo Nation has no concerns at this time.

However, the determination made by the NNHPD-TCP does not necessarily mean that the Navajo Nation has no interest or concerns with the proposed project. If the proposed project inadvertently discovers habitation sites, plant gathering areas, human remains and objects of cultural patrimony, the NNHPD-TCP request that we be notified respectively in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA). *The Navajo Nation claims cultural affiliation to all Anasazi people (periods from Archaic to Pueblo IV) of the southwest. The Navajo Nation makes this claim through Navajo oral history and ceremonial history, which has been documented as early as 1880 and taught from generation to generations.*

The NNHPD-TCP appreciates the U.S. Department of Transportation's consultation efforts, pursuant to 36 CFR Pt. 800.1 (c)(2)(iii). Should you have any additional concerns and/or questions do not hesitate to contact me electronically at [tony@navajohistoricpreservation.org](mailto:tony@navajohistoricpreservation.org) or telephone at 928-871-7750.

Sincerely,

Tony H. Joe, Jr., Supervisory Anthropologist (Section 106 Consultation) Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department-Traditional Culture Program

TCP  
cc: 13-141  
Office File/Clereo



## ARIZONA DIVISION

January 31, 2013

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Peter Yucupicio, Chairman  
Pascua Yaqui Tribe  
7474 South Camino de Oeste  
Tucson, Arizona 85757

Dear Chairman Yucupicio:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA’s eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O’odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O’odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their “presence” in O’odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Pascua Yaqui Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure

cc:  
Rolando Flores, Assistant Tribal Attorney General, 4725 West Calle Tetakusim, Building B  
Tucson, AZ 85757 (with enclosure)  
Veronica La Motte Darnell, 4725 West Calle Tetakusim, Building B, Tucson, AZ 85757 (with enclosure)



## ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. May Preston, President  
San Juan Southern Paiute  
P.O. Box 1989  
Tuba City, Arizona 86045

Dear President Preston:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA’s eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O’odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O’odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their “presence” in O’odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Fort San Juan Southern Paiute Concurrence Date  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure



## ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Terry Rambler, Chairman  
San Carlos Apache Tribe  
P.O. Box 0  
San Carlos, Arizona 85550

Dear Chairman Rambler:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP



- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).


Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

Rebecca Swiecki

Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

  
Signature for Fort San Carlos Apache Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

2/5/13  
Date

Enclosures

cc:

Vernelda Grant, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (with enclosure)  
RSwiecki  
LDavis (EM02)  
RSwiecki:cdm



U.S. Department  
of Transportation  
**Federal Highway  
Administration**

ARIZONA DIVISION

January 31, 2013

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Diane Enos, President  
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community  
10005 East Osborn Road  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85256

Dear President Enos:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA’s eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O’odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O’odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their “presence” in O’odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for SRP-MIC Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosures

cc:

- Shane Anton, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Cultural Preservation Program Manager, 10005 E. Osborn Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85256 (with enclosure)
- Angela Garcia-Lewis, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, NAGPRA Coordinator, Cultural Preservation Program, 10005 E. Osborn Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85256 (with enclosure)
- Jacob Butler, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Archaeologist, Cultural Preservation Program, 10005 E. Osborn Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85256 (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

January 31, 2013

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Louise Lopez, Chairwoman  
Tonto Apache Tribe  
Tonto Apache Reservation #30  
Payson, Arizona 85541

Dear Chairwoman Lopez:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

FEB 8 - 2013

*Karla S. Petty*  
 for  
 Karla S. Petty  
 Division Administrator

*[Signature]*  
 Signature for Tonto Apache Tribe Concurrence  
 NH-202-D(ADY) Date 2-6-13

Enclosure



## ARIZONA DIVISION

January 31, 2013

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Peter Steere, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer  
Mr. Joe Joaquin, Cultural Affairs Office  
Tohono O'odham Nation  
P. O. Box 837  
Sells, Arizona 85634

Dear Messrs. Steere and Joaquin:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

APR 18 2013



Signature for Tohono O'odham Nation Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

3-13-13

Date

Enclosure



## ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Ronnie Lupe, Chairman  
White Mountain Apache Tribe  
P.O. Box 1150  
Whiteriver, Arizona 85941

Dear Chairman Lupe:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP



- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA’s eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O’odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O’odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their “presence” in O’odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
 Karla S. Petty  
 Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature for White Mountain Apache Tribe Concurrence    Date  
 NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure

cc:  
 Mark Altaha, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Historic Preservation Office, P.O Box 507, Fort Apache, AZ 85926 (with enclosure)  
 Ramon Riley, Cultural Resource Director, Historic Preservation Office, P.O Box 507, Fort Apache, AZ 85926



## White Mountain Apache Tribe

Office of Historic Preservation

PO Box 507

Fort Apache, AZ 85926

Ph: (928) 338-3033 Fax: (928) 338-6055

**To:** Linda Davis, ADOT Historic Preservation Specialist

**Date:** February 21, 2013

**Project:** NH-202-D(ADY) TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C 202L South Mtn Freeway DRC/EIS

.....  
The White Mountain Apache Tribe Historic Preservation Office appreciates receiving information on the proposed project, January 31, 2013. In regards to this, please attend to the following checked items below.

► ***There is no need to send additional information unless project planning or implementation results in the discovery of sites and/or items having known or suspected Apache Cultural affiliation.***

N/A - The proposed project is located within an area of probable cultural or historical importance to the White Mountain Apache tribe (WMAT). As part of the effort to identify historical properties that maybe affected by the project we recommend an ethno-historic study and interviews with Apache Elders. The tribe's ***Cultural Heritage Resource Director Mr. Ramon Riley*** may be contacted at (928) 338-3033 for further information should this become necessary.

► Please refer to the attached additional notes in regards to the proposed project:

We have received and reviewed the information regarding ADOT's continuing technical studies in support of the EIS for 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report proejct, Arizona, and we have determined the proposed project ***will not have an adverse impact*** on the White Mountain Apache tribe's (WMAT) historic properties and/or traditional cultural resources. Regardless, we recommend any/all ground disturbing activities be monitored ***if*** there are reasons to believe that there are human remains and/or funerary objects are present, and if such remains and/or objects are encountered all project activities should cease and the proper authorities and/or ***affiliated*** tribe(s) be notified to evaluate the situation.

Thank you. We look forward to continued collaborations in the protection and preservation of place of cultural and historical significance.

Sincerely,

***Mark T. Altaha***

White Mountain Apache Tribe



U.S. Department  
of Transportation  
**Federal Highway  
Administration**

ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Chris Coder, Tribal Archaeologist  
Yavapai-Apache Nation  
2400 West Datsi Street  
Camp Verde, Arizona 86322

Dear Mr. Coder:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

4

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Yavapai-Apache Nation Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Arlen Quetawki Sr., Governor  
Pueblo of Zuni  
P. O. Box 339  
Zuni, New Mexico 87327

Dear Governor Quetawki:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Pueblo of Zuni Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure

cc:  
Kurt Dongoske, Director, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Heritage and Historic Preservation Office, P.O. Box 1149, Zuni, NM 87327 (with enclosure)

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O’odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA’s eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O’odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O’odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their “presence” in O’odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for  
Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Fort San Juan Southern Paiute Concurrence    Date  
NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosure



## ARIZONA DIVISION

January 31, 2013

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Terry Rambler, Chairman  
San Carlos Apache Tribe  
P.O. Box 0  
San Carlos, Arizona 85550

Dear Chairman Rambler:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

2

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP



- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA’s eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O’odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O’odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their “presence” in O’odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of “adverse effect” for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA’s determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
 Karla S. Petty  
 Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature for Fort San Carlos Apache Tribe Concurrence    Date  
 NH-202-D(ADY)

Enclosures

cc:  
 Vernelda Grant, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (with enclosure)



## ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Diane Enos, President  
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community  
10005 East Osborn Road  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85256

Dear President Enos:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

3

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

4

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for SRP-MIC Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosures

cc:

Shane Anton, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Cultural Preservation Program Manager, 10005 E. Osborn Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85256 (with enclosure)  
Angela Garcia-Lewis, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, NAGPRA Coordinator, Cultural Preservation Program, 10005 E. Osborn Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85256 (with enclosure)  
Jacob Butler, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Archaeologist, Cultural Preservation Program, 10005 E. Osborn Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85256 (with enclosure)



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Ms. Louise Lopez, Chairwoman  
Tonto Apache Tribe  
Tonto Apache Reservation #30  
Payson, Arizona 85541

Dear Chairwoman Lopez:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history

Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation

4

of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,



Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for Tonto Apache Tribe Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure



ARIZONA DIVISION

4000 North Central Avenue  
Suite 1500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3500  
Phone: (602) 379-3646  
Fax: (602) 382-8998  
<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/azdiv/index.htm>

January 31, 2013

In Reply Refer To:  
NH-202-D(ADY)  
HOP-AZ

NH-202-D(ADY)  
TRACS No. 202L MA 054 H5764 01C  
202L, South Mountain Freeway, DCR and EIS  
Continuing Section 106 Consultation  
Traditional Cultural Properties

Mr. Peter Steere, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer  
Mr. Joe Joaquin, Cultural Affairs Office  
Tohono O'odham Nation  
P. O. Box 837  
Sells, Arizona 85634

Dear Messrs. Steere and Joaquin:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) are continuing technical studies in support of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the 202L, South Mountain Freeway, EIS and Location/Design Concept Report project. The EIS addresses alternative alignments for the proposed South Mountain Freeway, which would extend around the southern side of South Mountains from Interstate 10 (I-10) in west Chandler to I-10 in west Phoenix. The project would be built entirely on new right-of-way (ROW). As this project employs federal funds, it is considered an undertaking subject to Section 106 review. Because alternatives are still under development, land ownership of the project area is not yet known.

In accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR 800.4), which requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, FHWA and ADOT have been performing cultural resources studies and consultations with Native American tribes to identify concerns regarding historic properties of traditional, religious, cultural, or historic importance. In prior consultation, the Gila River Indian Community (GRIC) expressed concern regarding the effects of the project on several traditional cultural properties (TCPs). The other southern tribes, Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, have deferred to GRIC to take the lead in Section 106 consultation regarding the TCPs. In response, FHWA and ADOT have facilitated a continuing open dialogue with GRIC's Cultural Resources Management Program (GRIC-CRMP) and Tribal Historic Preservation Office (GRIC-THPO) regarding the identification and evaluation of TCPs as they pertain to the South Mountain Freeway project. As a result of these discussions, GRIC has identified five TCPs that could be affected by construction of the South

Mountain Freeway and has developed treatment plans to mitigate and/or eliminate potential adverse effects that could result from the undertaking.

To protect confidential information associated with the TCPs, the evaluation reports and treatment plans have been provided to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO. Information regarding the identification, evaluation, and treatment of the TCPs is being provided to other consulting parties in a technical summary report entitled *Traditional Cultural Property Evaluations for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (HDR 2012), which is enclosed for your review and comment. This letter provides a summary of the TCP consultation for the project.

Consulting parties receiving the TCP technical summary include the Bureau of Indian Affairs and City of Phoenix, who have jurisdiction over the resources, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, the Chemehuevi Tribe, the Cocopah Tribe, the Colorado River Indian Tribes, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, the Fort Mojave Tribe, the Fort Yuma-Quechan Tribe, the Havasupai Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, the Hualapai Tribe, the Kaibab-Paiute Tribe, the Navajo Nation, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Pueblo of Zuni, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, the San Carlos Apache Nation, the San Juan Southern Paiute, the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Tonto Apache Tribe, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation.

During the initial Class III survey for the project, GRIC-CRMP identified ten properties as places of cultural importance that could potentially qualify as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) as TCPs: the South Mountains; two prehistoric Hohokam village sites, AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena) and AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo); two petroglyph sites, AZ T:12:198 (ASM) and AZ T:12:208 (ASM); four trail sites, AZ T:12:197 (ASM), AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM); and one archaeological site with a shrine, AZ T:12:112 (ASM). The report, entitled *A Class III Cultural Resource Survey of Five Alternative Alignments in the South Mountain Freeway Corridor Study Area, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Darling 2005), was provided in prior consultation.

At the request of FHWA and ADOT, HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), performed an NRHP evaluation of the ten potential TCPs. The results were provided in a report titled *An Evaluation of Traditional Cultural Properties for the 202L, South Mountain Transportation Corridor EIS & L/DCR Project, Maricopa County, Arizona* (Brodbeck 2012). To protect confidential information associated with TCPs, the report was sent to only SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review. Based on the results, and continuing discussion with GRIC-THPO and SHPO, FHWA determined that:

- the South Mountains were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and B as a TCP
- AZ T:12:9 (ASM) (Villa Buena), AZ T:12:52 (ASM) (Pueblo del Alamo), AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A as TCPs and under Criterion D as archaeological sites
- AZ T:12:197 (ASM) and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) were also NRHP-eligible under Criterion A as contributors to the South Mountains TCP

- AZ T:12:201 (ASM), AZ T:12:207 (ASM), AZ T:12:208 (ASM), and AZ T:12:211 (ASM) were eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion D as archaeological sites and not as TCPs

SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with FHWA's eligibility determinations (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Through ongoing Section 106 consultations, primarily through a series of discussions and meetings, FHWA, ADOT, and GRIC developed options for mitigating adverse effects on the TCPs. As a result of those discussions, avoidance alternatives were developed for two of the TCPs, a petroglyph site [AZ T:12:198 (ASM)] and a shrine site [AZ T:12:112 (ASM)]. They will now be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, there will be no direct impacts on these sites.

The South Mountains TCP cannot be avoided by project alternatives; therefore, a treatment plan that presents measures to mitigate potential adverse effects of the South Mountain Freeway project on the South Mountains TCP was developed by GRIC-CRMP entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Uses and Cultural Significance of Muhadagi Doag (South Mountain) Evaluation of Traditional Property and Adverse Effects of Transportation Corridor Development* (Darling 2009). SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the South Mountain TCP mitigation plan (Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] May 15, 2012; Lewis [GRIC-THO] to Petty [FHWA] July 3, 2012).

Because it may not be possible to avoid Villa Buena and/or Pueblo del Alamo during freeway construction, FHWA proposed that an alternative strategy be adopted to prevent potential adverse effects to these two sites as they pertain to Criterion A of the NRHP. At the request of FHWA, GRIC-CRMP prepared a TCP enhancement plan proposal for the two sites, entitled *South Mountain Freeway (SR 202L) Traditional Cultural Property Enhancement and Management Planning for Villa Buena (AZ T:12:9 [ASM]) and Pueblo del Alamo (AZ T:12:52 [ASM])* (Darling and Loendorf 2012), which was provided to SHPO and GRIC-THPO for review and comment. This document proposes that upon completion of the EIS review process, the TCP enhancement plan be developed and implemented, which would ensure the following:

- preparation of the site(s) and people for anticipated ground disturbance include traditional religious activities, exhibits and outreach, tribal consultation, cultural sensitivity training, and the projection of equivalent sites and sacred landscapes
- development of Programmatic Solutions for preservation, restoration, and perpetuation of the roles of Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo in O'odham culture and history


Cultural (TCP) enhancement purposes to elevate O'odham knowledge and awareness of these two sites so that any negative impact on their "presence" in O'odham cultural and history—the loss of connections, or of place, in traditional culture—are addressed prior to, during, and after freeway construction, and as part of project planning. Enhancement does not address or replace requirements for data recovery pertaining to adverse effects on Villa Buena or Pueblo del Alamo

with regard to their eligibility under Criterion D of the NRHP. However, through implementation of the enhancement plan proposal developed by GRIC-CRMP, it is believed that the potential for adverse effects on these two sites under Criterion A will be eliminated. SHPO and GRIC-THPO concurred with the adequacy of the TCP enhancement plan proposal and that its implementation would eliminate adverse effects on Villa Buena and Pueblo del Alamo under Criterion A (Lewis [GRIC-THPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 22, 2012; Jacobs [SHPO] to Petty [FHWA] October 25, 2012).

Based on the above discussion, FHWA and GRIC have agreed that the proposed South Mountain Freeway would adversely affect those characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the South Mountains TCP under Criteria A and B, and that the project would not adversely affect the characteristics that contribute to the NRHP eligibility of the Villa Buena, Pueblo del Alamo, AZ T:12:112 (ASM), and AZ T:12:198 (ASM) TCPs under Criterion A. Furthermore, FHWA has determined that a finding of "adverse effect" for the overall project remains appropriate.

Please review the information provided in this letter and the enclosed technical summary report. If you agree with the adequacy of the report and FHWA's determinations of project effect, NRHP eligibility, and management recommendations, please indicate your concurrence by signing below. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact Linda Davis at 602-712-8636 or at [ldavis2@azdot.gov](mailto:ldavis2@azdot.gov).

Sincerely yours,

  
for Karla S. Petty  
Division Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature for BIA Concurrence  
NH-202-D(ADY)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Enclosure

This page intentionally left blank