





Arizona's Five-year Transportation Facilities Construction Program



*Highways
Airports*



Fiscal Years
1991-1995



Transportation Facilities Construction Program FY 1991 - 95



GOVERNOR
Rose Mofford

We are proud to present the 1991 update of the Transportation Facilities Construction Program.

The five-year construction program is basically a budget spelling out what Arizona expects to receive in funds from various sources and how it proposes to spend them project by project.

Each year, the program is evaluated and updated through a comprehensive review process. Public hearings are held to help determine the final program. All citizens are invited to attend the hearings and present any questions or comments on the program to the State Transportation Board.


These are exciting times for our state, and our highway and airport construction programs will result in a better quality life for all our citizens. The improvements in this document will help us face the challenges and the growth that tomorrow will bring.

Contents

Transportation Board District Map	1
Engineering District Map	2
Highway System Map	3
Priority Programming Process	4
Summary of Board Policies	
on Highways and Aviation	10
Apache County	17
Cochise County	20
Coconino County	23
Gila County	28
Graham County	32
Greenlee County	34
La Paz County	36
Maricopa County	38
Mohave County	56
Navajo County	61
Pima County	65
Pinal County	71
Santa Cruz County	75
Yavapai County	77
Yuma County	79
Statewide	83
Footnotes	88
Primary Airport System Map	89
Secondary Airport System Map	90
Airport Development Program	91
Glossary of Terms	101


Transportation Board

CHAIRMAN



Andrew M. Federhar
Tucson
District 2

VICE-CHAIRMAN




Larry Chavez
Phoenix
Member-at-large

MEMBERS



Linda Brock-Nelson
Phoenix
District 1




James A. Soto
Nogales
District 3



Harold "Hank" Gletz
Safford
District 4




Verne D. Seldel
Flagstaff
District 5



Donald D. Denton
Parker
District 6

Arizona Department of Transportation



ADOT DIRECTOR
Charles L. Miller

Administrative Headquarters
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
206 South 17th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

DEPUTY DIRECTOR
James S. Creedon

ASSISTANT DIRECTORS

HIGHWAYS DIVISION
Thomas A. Bryant, II


ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION
Suzanne H. Sale

AERONAUTICS DIVISION
Gary Adams

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING DIVISION
Harry A. Reed

MOTOR VEHICLE DIVISION
Judi E. Ross

Engineering Districts



DISTRICT ENGINEERS

DISTRICT 1
Dan Powell

DISTRICT 2
Thomas G. Schmitt

DISTRICT 3
A.J. (Jim) Judd

DISTRICT 4
Richard Genteman

2120 W. Hilton Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85009

1221 S. 2nd Avenue
Tucson, Arizona 85726

1210 E. Sheldon
Prescott, Arizona 86302

1801 S. Milton Rd.
Flagstaff, Arizona 86001

Priority Programming Process

INTRODUCTION

For over a decade, the Arizona Department of Transportation has developed a Five Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program for highways and airports under the "priority programming law." The law sets guidelines which the Department follows in prioritizing projects for the program. The process of how our highways and airports are selected is important to all Arizonans who travel our roads.

This publication outlines the key features of the programming process and identifies the projects selected for the fiscal years 1991-92 through 1994-95. It will help to explain how the projects are prioritized and how every Arizona citizen can have a say in what is selected.

THE GOALS

Meet the Transportation Needs of the Citizens of Arizona

The primary goal at ADOT is to provide a transportation system; together with the means of revenue collection, licensing and safety programs, which meets the needs of the citizens of Arizona.

Set Objective Priorities

The "priority programming law" (A.R.S. 28-111) establishes a process and specific criteria used by the State Transportation Board in prioritizing road improvements and projects. This law is designed to establish a program that is responsive to citizens' needs throughout the state while remaining secure from special interest pressure. The current criteria established by the law are as follows:

- Safety Factors*
- User Benefits*
- Traffic Generators*
- Public Input*
- Environmental Factors*
- Sufficiency Ratings*
- Route Continuity*
- Funding Availability*

THE MEANS

Five Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program

ADOT's efforts to construct Arizona's transportation facilities are headed by the Five Year Transportation Facilities Construction program. This program is updated annually and must be adopted by the State Transportation Board by June 30 of each year. Highways and Airports are covered by separate elements.

THE PROCESS

Board Sets Guiding Policies

The statutory power to prioritize individual airport and highway projects is placed on the State Transportation Board, a seven member panel appointed by the Governor. The members of the panel serve a six-year term and represent all geographic regions of the state. This seven citizen panel not only presides over the establishment of priorities but also awards all highway construction contracts.

The Transportation Board is assisted in setting priorities by a committee appointed by the ADOT Director. The committee, known as the Priority Planning Committee, consists of a representative of the ADOT Planning, Highways, Aeronautics, and Administrative Services Division Directors, the Deputy State Engineer for Highway Development, a representative of the Department of Commerce and Joint Legislative Budget Committee. They are guided by a number of policies which are established by the Transportation Board. The current policies address the following topics:

- Commitment To State Highway System*
- Commitment To Take Full Advantage of Federal Aid*
- Commitment To Value Engineering*
- Program Categories*
- General Criteria For Prioritizing Highway Projects*
- Joint Sponsorship Criteria*
- Interstate Funding*
- Controlled Access Systems*
- Transportation Systems Management*
- Non-Interstate System Rest Area*
- Non-Interstate System Landscaping*
- Interstate System Rest Area*
- Passing Lanes*
- Ports-Of-Entry*

Board policies are reviewed periodically and updated as needed to meet ever-changing transportation needs. A summary of the current Board policies is included in this publication. A complete set of policies is available from the ADOT Transportation Planning Division.

Highway Needs Identified

The highway construction program is a product of input from citizens, local governments, state legislators, councils of governments, planning organizations, chambers of commerce, the business community, and ADOT professionals. All of these parties are involved with our transportation system in one way or another.

ADOT planners and engineers rely on a number of technical measures to identify highway needs. These measures include the ADOT pavement management system, sufficiency ratings, traffic counts and projections, truck studies, accident studies, route corridor studies, and the State Highway Plan.

Highway improvement needs identified through public input and technical studies typically far exceed the revenues available over the five year construction program. This leads to the next and most difficult phase of the programming process—prioritizing highway improvement needs.

Highway Projects Prioritized

There are many different ways to prioritize a project. One key criteria used by ADOT to prioritize projects on existing highways is a technical measure called the sufficiency rating system. The sufficiency rating system is an objective tool that incorporates a number of roadway characteristics, including pavement conditions, accidents and traffic volumes.

Other criteria are also used to prioritize projects. Among these are the significance of the route, route continuity, cost effectiveness measured by the project cost per motorist served, and finally, the recommendations of our experts in the field, the District Engineers.

All of the criteria listed are summarized to an overall ranking of candidate projects for each program category. The highest ranked projects are then considered for inclusion in the construction program to the extent that funding is available. Typically, funding is available for fewer than half of the projects considered.

The MAG Freeway System project priorities are established through a two-step process. First, the MAG Regional Council established and approved in 1986 the system priorities by route segment. These priorities were identified in five-year increments. The second step establishes priorities for specific projects. In setting these priorities ADOT is guided by the system priorities established in step 1. Other criteria include, project readiness, route continuity, service, and revenue availability. This second step is accomplished through extensive staff discussion with MAG and its member agencies.

Aviation Needs Identified

Aviation needs are identified in a fashion similar to the highway process. There are, however, a number of factors and technical measures that are unique to the aviation planning effort. With the exception of the Grand Canyon airport, airport facilities are not owned and operated by ADOT. As a result, heavy reliance is placed on the airport managers throughout the state to identify needed airport improvements. Projects are often drawn from master plans prepared for individual airports and from the National Airport System Plan.

The ADOT Aeronautics Division also assists the various airports in identifying needs through the development of the State Airport System Plan and the Regional Airport System Plan. In addition, ADOT maintains an airport priority rating system that draws from an extensive data base of airport conditions.

Airport needs exceed available revenues, making it essential to prioritize projects.

Aviation Projects Prioritized

Aviation projects are prioritized based on the Airport Priority System. Included in this system are ADOT Board policies, importance of the project to the airport, importance of the airport to the citizens, and provisions from the priority programming law (A.R.S. 28-111).

The airport project rating system is an open-ended scale. Points are awarded according to the following factors:

*Project Is On Main Runway
Project Is New Construction
Number Of Aircraft On Wait List*

Annual Passengers Enplaned For Scheduled Air Service
Annual Aircraft Operations
Annual Aircraft Operations To Capacity Ratio

The total points awarded to each airport are used to rank all project requests. The highest ranked projects are then considered according to funding availability.

Tentative Program Is Presented to the Board


Once all highway and airport project requests have been prioritized and matched with available funding, the Priority Planning Committee presents a tentative update of the five year program to the State Transportation Board. The Board authorizes release of the tentative program for review by the public.

Public Hearings On Your Views

After release by the Transportation Board, the tentative program is distributed widely throughout the state to local elected officials, transportation agencies, and other interested parties. Public hearings are then conducted to obtain input from anyone who wishes to comment on the program.

Historically, only one public hearing on the program was held each year in Phoenix. In an effort to gain wider participation and make it more convenient for the citizens of Arizona to convey their comments to the Board, hearings are now scheduled in other areas of the state. Hearing locations are established in January of each year when the Transportation Board sets its annual meeting schedule. In addition, written comments are accepted and all comments, written and verbal, are considered before the program is adopted in final form.

Priority Programming Process



THE BUDGET

Highway Funds

Primary funding sources for the five-year highway construction program are derived from federal highway trust funds, transportation excise tax monies, and state highway user revenues. Bond proceeds are used as needed to attain a balance between critical construction requirements and revenue availability. Funding for the FY 1991-1995 five-year highway construction program totals \$2.44 billion.


The programming of both state and federal monies is guided by numerous stipulations regarding the use of the monies. The end result is

a categorization of projects by program funding category. The major categories are:

*New Construction And Reconstruction
Pavement Preservation
Other (Includes Safety, Research, Mapping,
Minor Projects)*


Over the five-year program period, the Maricopa County urban freeway system will receive over \$860 million of the expected funds. The primary source for this program is the transportation excise tax assessed by voters in Maricopa County. A minor portion of this program will be financed by 15% controlled access funds (a dedicated portion of ADOT's highway user revenues).

Distribution of Highway Funds FY 1991 - 1995



* Includes bond funds and some local participation

Airport Development Program FY 1991 - 1995



Aviation Funds

The five-year aviation program totals \$282 million. Federal, state and local funds are the primary sources for financing airport construction projects. Federal monies are derived mainly from taxes on airline tickets and are distributed by the Federal Aviation Administration to local airports

through the National Airport Improvement Act. State funds come mainly from flight property tax, lieu taxes on aircraft and aviation fuel taxes.

Federal grant monies will finance \$213 million of the program while the state share is around \$55 million. Local sponsors contribute another \$14 million.

Schedule for 5-Year Program Update

	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE
Compile and evaluate project requests												
Revenue forecasts												
Project requests prioritized and balanced with revenue								○ ●				
Tentative program adopted									○ ●			
Public hearings												
Final program adopted											○ ●	
Program published												

○ Priority Planning Committee review/recommendation

● State Transportation Board review/approval

THE SCHEDULE

A.R.S. 28-1825 identifies a number of statutory deadlines that must be met. The statutory dates are:

On or before the first Monday in May - publish a public hearing notice.

On or before the third Monday in May - conduct a public hearing.

On or before June 30 - adopt the final updated program.

On or before the first Monday in August - publish a public document summarizing the five year program.

To meet these required deadlines, work on the program update must begin in the late summer or early fall of each year. The chart below identifies a typical schedule for the program update.

QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS:

If you have any questions about how you can become involved in the ADOT priority programming process, write or call:

Director, ADOT Transportation Planning
206 South 17th Avenue
Room 320B
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
255-7562

Director, ADOT Aeronautics Division
2612 S. 46th Street
Room 426M
Phoenix, Arizona 85034
255-7691

Summary of Board Policies

HIGHWAY PROGRAMMING COMMITMENTS

COMMITMENT TO STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM

The State Transportation Board and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) have a strong commitment to the State Highway System and to the development of an integrated transportation network to serve the citizens of Arizona.

To achieve the desired exemplary State Highway System, the State's highest level of commitment is to the following types of arterial highways:

- The principal arterial system in the rural areas and the controlled-access system in the urban areas;*
- The remaining core system of state highways; and*
- The supplemental system of state highways.*

COMMITMENT TO TAKE FULL ADVANTAGE OF FEDERAL AID

In addition to the department's commitment to the highway system, ADOT is also fully committed to take full advantage of federal aid, which is an important supplement to state-derived revenues.

COMMITMENT TO VALUE ENGINEERING

A third major commitment of ADOT is to employ value engineering techniques to ensure that the most efficient, effective and economical design determinations are made during the highway development process.

PROGRAM CATEGORIES

Generally, program categories, which reflect highway program requirements and types of funding, are employed by the ADOT Board and staff in determining programming. Funding levels for each of these categories are determined annually, based on designated gasoline and sales tax collections, federal-aid levels, funding constraints and needs in each category, and the system priorities and standards. Those program areas and their average annual allocations are as follows:

<i>Interstate Reconstruction Projects</i>	<i>\$56,000,000</i>
<i>MAG and PAG Controlled-Access Routes</i>	<i>\$250,000,000</i>
<i>Pavement Preservation Projects</i>	<i>\$68,000,000</i>
<i>Non-Interstate Major Construction Projects</i>	<i>\$135,000,000</i>
<i>Bridge, Rail Crossing and Hazard Elimination</i>	<i>\$4,000,000</i>
<i>Transportation Systems Management and Minor Projects</i>	<i>\$2,000,000</i>
<i>Other Projects: Landscaping, Rest Areas, Park Access, And Passing Lanes</i>	<i>\$27,000,000</i>

CRITERIA FOR PRIORITIZING HIGHWAY PROJECTS

The general criteria for prioritizing highway projects reflect ADOT's objectives:

- A balanced, safe and efficient State Highway System;*
- A system compatible with the five year construction program, and*
- A system that furthers economic development objectives.*

The five-year construction program is the mechanism for implementing longer term statewide and regional transportation plans.

Criteria to be considered in evaluating projects are outlined in A.R.S. 28-111 and may differ in each highway program category listing.

Specific criteria in each category include appropriate combinations of items such as (but not limited to) the following:

- Sufficiency ratings*
- User benefits vs. cost*
- Safety factors*
- Route continuity*
- Economic development*
- Environmental impacts*
- Local participation and support*
- Funding availability*
- Tourism*

JOINT SPONSORSHIP CRITERIA

ADOT uses a "qualitative analysis" approach in program development, which includes joint sponsorship as only one positive consideration in support of a project's candidacy.

Joint funding, however, will be taken into consideration after the normal priority rating process. In all cases, jointly sponsored projects must meet state design and operations standards, and a mutually acceptable plan must be agreed upon before a jointly sponsored project can be undertaken.

POLICIES FOR CONTROLLED ACCESS PROJECTS

INTERSTATE FUND

The following priorities are used to determine the distribution of federal-aid Interstate funds:

Projects which preserve the State Highway System include those which replace non-serviceable roadway features, forestall potential failures, or achieve and maintain ADOT's pavement standards.

Projects Which Address Hazard Elimination

Projects designed to eliminate hazards are critical safety projects, projects to make rest areas safe, or those which upgrade the overall safety of the system.

Projects Which Upgrade Levels of Service

Projects designed to upgrade levels of service are those aimed at upgrading overall system efficiency, increasing capacity, meeting current standards, or increasing access, specifically encouraging economic development.

Projects Which Provide Highway-related Service

Besides fulfilling all roadside development commitments made to the federal government and local jurisdictions, ADOT programs projects which enhance the environment, upgrade roadside rest area facilities or otherwise create new roadside enhancements.

CONTROLLED ACCESS FUND

The following guidelines are the basis for the programming of ADOT's 15%, and "Special" 15% Funds and Regional Area Road Funds (RARF), collectively referred to as Controlled Access Funds.

Programming Criteria

The State Transportation Board follows statutory guidelines and other prerequisites in determining funding criteria as well as priorities established in the MAG and PAG plans.

Level and Continuity of Access Control

To ensure a level and continuity of access control consistent with regional transportation plans, the Transportation Board has consented to allow staged construction under special circumstances.

Jurisdictional Responsibilities

ADOT assumes all jurisdictional responsibilities prescribed by law. A breakdown of responsibilities concerning state routes receiving controlled access funds follows:

ADOT Responsibilities: Setting minimum design and construction standards; approving plans and contracts; and providing inspection and final acceptance of projects.

Local Jurisdiction Responsibilities: Responsible for the operation, administration, liability and maintenance of the facility until it is designated a state highway.

RARF / URBAN CONTROLLED ACCESS SYSTEM

The following policy definitions and development policies are applicable to urban Controlled Access System facilities in counties which have passed a transportation excise tax that is administered by the ADOT, such as the MAG Freeway System. Urban Controlled Access System Descriptions:

System Descriptions- Provides increased traffic capacity, serves high-speed regional trips, and designated maintenance responsibilities to the state.

Design Description- Achieves higher levels of design features or traffic movement than major streets by controlling access, provides for features such as grade separations to manage traffic volumes where feasible and accommodates preferential access features for transit and high occupancy vehicles (carpools and vanpools).

Urban Controlled Access Development Policies

Development Policy- Coordinates location, design and mitigation features with other governmental entities;

Right of Way Policy- Coordinates joint uses of land with the involved governmental agencies and private developers, or acts as purchasing agent for these activities.

Construction Policy- Provides for staged or interim construction and encourages local governmental private financial participation.

Safety Policy: Aims for safe movement of people as well as goods and vehicles.

Environmental Policy- Incorporates air quality and energy goals and environmental mitigation measures, and provides route-by-route analyses of environmental impacts.

Noise Abatement Policy- Attempts to reduce highway noise impacts on existing adjacent land uses.

Landscaping Policy- Enhances aesthetics as well as considering items such as erosion mitigation, water conservation, headlight glare screening.

SPECIAL HIGHWAY POLICIES

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (TSM)

ADOT funds a TSM program to support relatively low-cost projects designed to reduce traffic congestion, improve the flow of traffic and increase capacity on existing state highways. Typical TSM projects might address signing and signalization, turn lanes and traffic channelization, vehicle turn-outs, one-way streets, and access parking controls.

NON-INTERSTATE SYSTEM REST AREAS

Two basic types of rest area facilities on state highways are considered for Board approval and inclusion in the program:

The isolated rural location (designed, constructed and maintained by ADOT).

The rest area requested by other levels of government (designed and constructed by ADOT and then transferred to the requesting jurisdiction for operation, liability, and maintenance).

NON-INTERSTATE SYSTEM LANDSCAPING

Assuming the availability of funds, ADOT will provide the landscape architectural construction plans, construction administration, and up to 75% of the construction costs for landscape projects. The requesting community will provide the remaining construction costs and maintenance.

INTERSTATE SYSTEM REST AREAS

The goal here is to meet the public's need for modern, convenient, and safe Interstate rest areas, and to enhance the motoring public's impression of Arizona.

PASSING AND CLIMBING LANES

To increase the operational efficiency and safety of high volume rural routes with limited passing opportunities, passing and climbing lanes are constructed at the highest priority locations.

PORTS OF ENTRY

To provide for safe and efficient motor carrier movements in and through the state of Arizona, state or federal funds may be spent on right-of-way, construction, and new technology, such as weigh-in-motion and automatic vehicle identification, at the ports-of-entry.

AVIATION PROGRAMMING COMMITMENTS

COMMITMENT TO STATE AIRPORT SYSTEM

The State Transportation Board also has the responsibility to ensure a safe and efficient airport system within the state of Arizona, one which serves the overall best interests of the state and maximizes the available resources. To achieve this goal, the Board has adopted a set of policies similar to those followed in creating the five year highway construction plan.

PROGRAM CATEGORIES

Program categories which reflect aviation program requirements and categories of funding are one criterion used to prepare the five year airport development program. The four categories of projects include:

Projects carried forward from a prior year that are certain to be accomplished.

Projects at commercial service/reliever airports.

Projects at public airports which are general aviation facilities.

Miscellaneous projects of a specific nature or an identifiable purpose, either of which must be related to administrative or system needs.

PROGRAM DEFINITIONS

Program categories are defined according to either the National Plan of Integrated Airport System (NPIAS) or the State Airport System Plan (SASP), and fall into the following three divisions:

Federal Airport Definitions- An existing airport may be included in the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) plan if it meets specific requirements such as location, number of based aircraft and appropriate sponsorship.

State Airport Definitions- Primary airports must meet (or be projected to meet within the next ten years) one of three criteria-sufficient based aircraft or operations, air carrier service or commuter service.

Secondary Airport Definitions- This system is comprised of those publicly-owned airports not on the Primary System.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION

A DOT continually examines the Resource Allocation policies to assure that the state's limited aviation funds are programmed equitably and efficiently. The current allocation formulas aim to address the most necessary projects in the five-year construction program and to ensure a broad disbursement of available monies.

Overall Allocations

State revenue amounts available for construction are distributed using the following guidelines:

70% designated to state grants for commercial service/reliever airports;

17% to state grants for public primary airports;

2% to state grants for secondary airports;

5% to match federal projects;

1% for contingencies, and

6% for miscellaneous set-asides.

This distribution formula is based partially on the location/distribution of aircraft registrations and the type of airports, and partially on recommendations from the aviation community.

Federal Program

The state program attempts to take full advantage of federal funding by first matching the sponsor's share of the anticipated federal projects. Federal projects and allocations shown in the program are based on the best information available at the time of programming. Final grants with the sponsor may differ from the state program.

Maximum Grant Amount (Minimum Match)

The maximum state grant for any airport project in the program is based on the policy of not exceeding 6% of the net dollars available for construction each fiscal year.

Sponsor Matching Ratios

On state/local projects, the sponsor of a primary airport project must fund a minimum of 10% of the cost of the project and the sponsor of a secondary airport project must fund a minimum of 5% of the cost of the project. On federal/state/local projects, the sponsor must provide a minimum of 4.47% of the cost of the project.

Contingencies

This line item has been set aside for emergency and/or minor temporary airport repairs at the discretion of the ADOT Director and Transportation Board.

Secondary Airport System

Secondary airport projects meet minimum standards as established by ADOT and further enhance the State Airport System. Most secondary projects provide access to the airport system for small towns or remotely located communities and cannot normally be funded by any other means.

Miscellaneous

The miscellaneous set-aside includes projects such as:

Pavement Management System: Provides pavement management and evaluation for all primary airports.

Automatic Weather Observation System (AWOS) and Nav aids: Identifies and studies with the objective of providing remedial demonstration programs to upgrade airports in remote locations which have a significant lack of accurate weather information.

Master Plans and State Aviation System Plan (SASP) Update: Furnishes funding for comprehensive planning for primary and secondary airports, for new and emerging airports and for the State Airport System.

AIRPORT PRIORITY RATING SYSTEM

The airport priority rating system considers essentially the same factors as the highways priority rating system but considers them in a different way. The system is a two-step process which includes the screening of proposed projects and the assignment of priority ratings.

SCREENING STEP

A subcommittee of the Priority Planning Committee screens proposed projects, basing its evaluation of each project request on a series of items:

User Benefits: Annual operations indicate the economic importance of the airport to the surrounding communities.

Continuity of Service and Improvement: Airports with scheduled passenger service connect their communities directly to the national commercial airline network.

Social Factors: Schedules passenger service also constitutes a social benefit to residents of such communities.

Recreational Use: The number of operations is also an indicator of the recreational importance of an airport.

Availability of State and Federal Funds: This is, of course, the ultimate determinant of whether a project is funded.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

Development standards and planning guidelines are essential to assure Arizonans an airport system which is safe and efficient. Many of these standards and guidelines are promulgated by the FAA; others have been established by ADOT.

Primary and secondary airports (to include airports in the basic utility, general utility, transport and commercial service categories) will incorporate design features which are compatible with FAA and/or ADOT standards and guidelines.

New/emerging airports (areas within the state that demonstrate a need for an airport with minimum design standards to be used for general aviation, recreation and/or emergency services) will incorporate design features which will bring the airport into compliance with ADOT minimum standards. Further development will comply with FAA and/or ADOT standards and guidelines.

Airport standards are grouped into one of the following categories:

- Approach Aids*
- Buildings*
- Design Clearance*
- Land Area*
- Lighting*
- New/Emerging Airports*
- Parking Aprons*
- Pavement Preservation*
- Runways*
- Taxiways*
- Unlisted Items*

Jurisdictional lines are clearly drawn. ADOT is responsible for setting minimum design and construction standards, when FAA standards are not available or applicable. Local jurisdictions will be responsible for the operation, administration, liability and maintenance of the airport facility.

Quality of Plans: The existing airport master plan and the current airport layout plan are evaluated, as well as the airport's relationship to the National Aviation System Plan, the State Aviation System Plan and other applicable plans.

Life Expectancy: The sponsor must give satisfactory assurances that the airport will be maintained at least for the life expectancy of the project.

Land Use: The sponsor must provide satisfactory assurances that compatibility with the surrounding land use will be protected.

Aesthetics and Conservation: These conditions must not suffer serious adverse effects as a result of the project.

Standards and guidelines: The project must be aimed at bringing an airport into compliance with minimum standards and planning guidelines.

Airports which the committee qualifies at this stage go on to the next step.

PRIORITY RATING STEP

The purpose of the priority rating formulas is to incorporate into one relatively objective measurement many different factors, such as ADOT policy, the importance of the proposed projects to the airport, the importance of the airport to the people of Arizona and the statutory conditions.

Priority rating formulas establish a ranking of projects based only in the technical aspects.

Priority Rating Formula - Primary Airports

An initial rating is given, depending on the type of project. A bonus is added if the project is the main runway or taxiway or if the project is a new lighting system rather than the upgrade of an existing installation. Additional points are given for items such as:

Sufficiency rating and safety factors: This rating is a measure of the airport's condition, safety and service. Several numerical measures, such as the ratio of annual operations to service volume are used to measure congestion and the need for expansion. Other factors used to evaluate sufficiency might include the annual tie-down waiting list, accident rates, emergency air evacuation facilities and the distance from the airport to the nearest public-use airport.

Priority Rating Formula - Secondary Airports

Although the criteria are similar, projects at secondary airports are ranked separately from projects at primary airports.



Minimum Standards: The first priority is to meet the minimum standards as set by ADOT's Aeronautics Division and adopted by the Transportation Board. Only those projects which will bring the substandard secondary airport up to the minimum standards will be considered before other improvements are permitted.

The criteria for primary airport projects (see above) are also used to evaluate secondary airport projects.

FINAL STEPS

The proposed projects with their priority ratings are sent to the Priority Planning Committee which makes its recommendations to the State Transportation Board. Several drafts of the program may be developed as the Priority Planning Committee and Transportation Board review the projects and gain public input in preparation for publishing the final program.

Apache County





Apache County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
40	307.2	APACHE COUNTY LINE-PINTA TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	LEVEL WITH ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & FINISHING COURSE	11.6	\$ 7,400,000	91-92	
40	330.6	MCCARROLL TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE-CEDAR POINT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MILL, REPLACE & PASSING LANE	11.6	\$ 6,200,000	90-91	
40	342.2	CEDAR POINT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE-LUPTON TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MILL, REPLACE & FINISHING COURSE	16.5	\$ 6,700,000	91-92	
40	357.5	WINDOW ROCK TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT	0.1	\$ 2,100,000	90-91	
40	357.5	WINDOW ROCK TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	DESIGN (TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE DRAINAGE)	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
40	358.6	PAINTED CLIFFS REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,200,000	90-91	
40	358.6	PAINTED CLIFFS REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 140,000	90-91	
40	358.6	PAINTED CLIFFS REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
40	358.6	PAINTED CLIFFS REST AREA	WELCOME CENTER (DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION)	NA	\$ 300,000	90-91	
40	358.6	PAINTED CLIFFS REST AREA	DESIGN (WELCOME CENTER) (DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION)	NA	\$ 60,000	90-91	
60	360.9	SEPULVEDA WASH BRIDGE #0174	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	0.3	\$ 500,000	92-93	
60	365.2	BUTLER RANCH BRIDGE #4167	REPLACE CONCRETE BOX CULVERT	0.1	\$ 450,000	92-93	
60	375.9	VERNON-SPRINGERVILLE	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	7.5	\$ 120,000	91-92	
60	387.4	CITY OF SPRINGERVILLE, MAIN STREET	RECONSTRUCT CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALKS	1.0	\$ 300,000	91-92	22
60	391.0	CITY OF SPRINGERVILLE	CONSTRUCT REST AREA FACILITY	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
61	353.0	JUNCTION US 60-EAST SECTION	SEAL COAT	7.0	\$ 125,000	91-92	
61	360.0	ORTEGA LAKE SECTION	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	8.0	\$ 130,000	91-92	
180	405.0	PICNIC HILL	CONSTRUCT REST AREA FACILITY, PARKING	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24

Apache County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
180	ASP	LYMAN LAKE STATE PARK	DAY USE ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
191	15.5	MILE POST 15.5-KLAGETOH	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	7.5	\$ 870,000	91-92	
191	23.0	KLAGETOH-GANADO	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE, SEAL COAT & GUARDRAIL	14.6	\$ 2,210,000	90-91	
260	396.0	TOWN OF EAGAR	LANDSCAPE	0.2	\$ 0	90-91	24
260S	396.1	EAGAR-SPRINGERVILLE	MILL, REPLACE, SEAL COAT, CURB & GUTTER, SIDEWALKS	1.6	\$ 1,700,000	91-92	22
264	446.0	GANADO	LANDSCAPE	2.0	\$ 0	90-91	24
264	474.0	WINDOW ROCK	LANDSCAPE	2.0	\$ 0	90-91	24
666	315.7	ST JOHNS-NORTH	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	6.5	\$ 950,000	91-92	
666	365.0	SANDERS	CONSTRUCT SHOULDERS	3.1	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	

Cochise County





Cochise County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	296.2	COCHISE COUNTY LINE-BENSON	REMOVE & REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	7.7	\$ 4,500,000	92-93	
10	303.0	WEST BENSON TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE-NEW MEXICO STATE LINE	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	87.0	\$ 1,300,000	90-91	
10	303.9	BENSON BYPASS	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.2	\$ 4,000,000	92-93	
10	307.1	BENSON-TEXAS CANYON	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	9.4	\$ 3,100,000	91-92	
10	316.5	DRAGOON ROAD-MILE POST 328	REMOVE & REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	11.5	\$ 6,400,000	92-93	
10	320.3	TEXAS CANYON REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 150,000	92-93	
10	320.3	TEXAS CANYON REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
10	356.6	LUZENA-BOWIE	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	6.0	\$ 3,200,000	91-92	
10	367.8	BOWIE-SAN SIMON	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	10.6	\$ 6,700,000	90-91	
10	383.3	SAN SIMON PORT OF ENTRY	CONSTRUCT CONCRETE TRUCK RAMP	0.4	\$ 2,600,000	92-93	
10	384.1	SAN SIMON PORT OF ENTRY-NEW MEXICO STATE LINE	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	7.1	\$ 1,800,000	92-93	
10	388.5	SAN SIMON REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,300,000	92-93	
10	388.5	SAN SIMON REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 140,000	90-91	
10	388.5	SAN SIMON REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 95,000	90-91	
80	299.6	ST DAVID RAILROAD CROSSING #741-722-C	UPGRADE LIGHTS	0.3	\$ 5,000	90-91	
80	366.0	15 AVENUE-G AVENUE, CITY OF DOUGLAS	REMOVE UNDERPASS, RECONSTRUCT JUNCTION	0.3	\$ 400,000	90-91	
82	52.0	JUNCTION SR 90-JUNCTION US 80	SEAL COAT	15.5	\$ 390,000	90-91	
90	312.8	HUACHUCA CITY	LANDSCAPE	0.2	\$ 0	90-91	

Cochise County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
90	313.2	HUACHUCA CITY-SOUTH	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.8	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
90	ASP	KARTCHNER CAVERNS STATE PARK	CAMPGROUNDS, ENTRY & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
92	322.7	SIERRA VISTA	LANDSCAPE	0.3	\$ 0	90-91	24
181	50.0	TURKEY CREEK-CHIRICAHUA NATIONAL MONUMENT	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	15.0	\$ 2,250,000	92-93	
186	342.9	DOS CABEZAS-JUNCTION SR 181	ASHPALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	16.5	\$ 1,775,000	91-92	

Coconino County



Coconino County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
17	323.7	CHRISTENSEN REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 850,000	93-94	
17	323.7	CHRISTENSEN REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 100,000	91-92	
17	323.7	CHRISTENSEN REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 95,000	90-91	
40	148.1	COCONINO COUNTY LINE-MILE POST 152	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	4.0	\$ 2,700,000	92-93	
40	158.6	DEVIL DOG TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE-WILLIAMS	RESAW & SEAL CONCRETE JOINTS	2.8	\$ 750,000	90-91	
40	181.7	PARKS REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,200,000	93-94	
40	181.7	PARKS REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 150,000	91-92	
40	181.7	PARKS REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 95,000	90-91	
40	195.3	I-17 & I-40 TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MODIFY TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	0.6	\$10,000,000	93-94	
40	195.3	I-17 & I-40 TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	DESIGN (TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE)	NA	\$ 800,000	91-92	
40	195.3	I-17 & I-40 TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY, PHASE II	NA	\$ 350,000	90-91	
40	196.0	LONE TREE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (FLAGSTAFF PROJECT)	CONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (ADOT SHARE)	NA	\$ 3,000,000	92-93	18
40	210.1	WALNUT CANYON BRIDGE (WESTBOUND)	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	0.4	\$ 2,500,000	94-95	
40	212.2	WINONA-TWIN ARROWS TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES (WESTBOUND)	MILL, REPLACE, FRICTION COURSE, GUARDRAIL, REPLACE SELECTED SLABS (EASTBOUND)	5.7	\$ 2,400,000	90-91	
40	218.6	CANYON PADRE BRIDGE #0671 (WESTBOUND)	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	0.5	\$ 3,125,000	90-91	
40	235.2	METEOR CRATER REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,500,000	94-95	
40	235.2	METEOR CRATER REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 180,000	90-91	
40	235.2	METEOR CRATER REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 95,000	90-91	

Coconino County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
40B	192.5	CITY OF FLAGSTAFF	TRAFFIC SIGNAL COORDINATION	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	
40B	198.7	CITY OF FLAGSTAFF	LANDSCAPE	0.5	\$ 0	90-91	6
64	213.4	VALLE JUNCTION	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.5	\$ 300,000	91-92	
64	213.4	VALLE JUNCTION	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	0.5	\$ 60,000	90-91	
64	270.7	DESERT VIEW-MULE SHOE BEND, UNIT I	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	5.8	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
64	270.7	DESERT VIEW-MULE SHOE BEND, UNIT I	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	5.8	\$ 3,300,000	90-91	
64	288.3	CAMERON-WEST	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	6.5	\$ 4,600,000	90-91	
64	288.3	CAMERON-WEST	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	6.5	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
67	595.5	PARK BOUNDARY-NORTH, PHASE II	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	7.4	\$ 5,500,000	91-92	
67	603.9	PARK BOUNDARY-NORTH, PHASE III	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.7	\$ 2,800,000	94-95	
87	277.1	STRAWBERRY-CLINTS WELL	SEAL COAT	13.3	\$ 275,000	90-91	
89	420.0	US 89 & TOWNSEND/WINONA ROAD	TRAFFIC SIGNAL	NA	\$ 75,000	90-91	
89	425.6	FERNWOOD ROAD-DIVIDE	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	5.7	\$ 4,900,000	94-95	
89	425.6	FERNWOOD ROAD-DIVIDE	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 390,000	92-93	
89	430.3	JUNCTION US 89 & SUNSET CRATER ROAD	LEFT TURN CHANNELIZATION	0.2	\$ 170,000	90-91	
89	434.5	DEADMAN FLAT-WUPATKI, UNIT I	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	8.8	\$ 8,500,000	91-92	
89	434.5	DEADMAN FLAT-WUPATKI, UNIT I	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	8.8	\$ 250,000	91-92	
89	442.5	FOREST BOUNDARY-GRAY MOUNTAIN	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	9.5	\$ 9,000,000	90-91	


Coconino County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
89	524.1	JUNCTION US 89A AT BITTER SPRINGS	REALIGN ROADWAY AT INTERSECTION	0.5	\$ 820,000	90-91	
89	531.0	ROSSMAN HILL-COLORADO RIVER	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	18.5	\$ 2,200,000	92-93	
89	550.2	NORTH & SOUTH WAHWEAP JUNCTIONS	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.7	\$ 425,000	90-91	
89A	3/1.0	CITY OF SEDONA	LANDSCAPE	0.3	\$ 0	90-91	
89A	374.0	OAK CREEK CANYON	GEOLOGIC & ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY	NA	\$ 125,000	90-91	
89A	376.0	OAK CREEK CANYON	SCALING	12.0	\$ 250,000	90-91	
89A	389.9	US 89A AT MILE POST 389.9	RECONSTRUCT CURVE	0.2	\$ 420,000	90-91	
89A	394.6	WOODY WASH BRIDGE #0159	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.3	\$ 450,000	92-93	
89A	396.4	BRIDGE # 1649	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.4	\$ 430,000	92-93	
89A	524.0	BITTER SPRINGS-NAVAJO SPRINGS	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	8.0	\$ 1,500,000	90-91	
89A	537.9	NAVAJO BRIDGE #051	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	0.1	\$12,800,000	91-92	
89A	537.9	NAVAJO BRIDGE #051	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.1	\$ 200,000	90-91	
89A	543.4	SOUTH FORK, BADGER CREEK BRIDGE #0100	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.3	\$ 450,000	90-91	
89A	546.6	SOAP CREEK BRIDGE #0101	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.5	\$ 850,000	90-91	
89A	559.6	JACOB WASH BRIDGE #0113	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.3	\$ 550,000	90-91	
89A	562.2	BLUE CLAY WASH BRIDGE #0114	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.3	\$ 460,000	90-91	
89A	562.7	HOUSE ROCK CREEK BRIDGE #0115	WIDEN BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.3	\$ 775,000	90-91	
89A	613.0	CITY OF FREDONIA	REST ROOMS & PARKING FACILITY	NA	\$ 0	90-91	

Coconino County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
98	329.5	KAIBETO-INSCRIPTION HOUSE	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	10.5	\$ 2,050,000	92-93	
99	54.2	I-40-NAVAJO RESERVATION BOUNDARY	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	7.0	\$ 1,150,000	90-91	
160	321.9	TUBA CITY, IIS 160 & SR 264	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.4	\$ 400,000	90-91	
180	215.4	JUNCTION B40-COLUMBUS AVENUE	REMOVE, REPLACE, SEAL COAT, CURB & GUTTER	0.6	\$ 225,000	92-93	
180	219.4	FLAGSTAFF AREA	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY, PHASE II	NA	\$ 235,000	90-91	
180	235.0	KENDRICK PARK-FOREST BOUNDARY	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	15.2	\$ 1,950,000	91-92	
180	237.0	KENDRICK PARK	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE SHOULDERS, WIDEN ROADSIDE CLEARANCE	1.8	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
180	244.0	SLATE MOUNTAIN	SHOULDER, WIDEN ROADSIDE CLEARANCE	1.2	\$ 700,000	91-92	
260	289.0	FOREST LAKES	WIDEN SELECTED LOCATIONS	2.5	\$ 400,000	91-92	
260	289.0	FOREST LAKES	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 80,000	90-91	
389	27.0	SANDY CANYON WASH-FREDONIA	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	5.0	\$ 975,000	92-93	

Gila County



Gila County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
60	239.3	US 60 AT PINTO VALLEY ROAD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.2	\$ 200,000	91-92	
60	239.3	US 60 AT PINTO VALLEY ROAD	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 40,000	90-91	
60	244.3	TOWN OF MIAMI	REMOVE DIP SECTION	0.6	\$ 580,000	90-91	
60	245.2	MIAMI RAILROAD CROSSING #903-609-J	FLASHERS & GATES	0.0	\$ 100,000	90-91	
60	245.7	MIAMI-PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS	FRICTION COURSE	3.0	\$ 245,000	91-92	
60	247.0	US 60, MILE POST 246.96 AT RAILROAD CROSSING #742-367-U	RUBBER PLANKING	0.1	\$ 45,000	90-91	
60	292.0	SALT RIVER CANYON BRIDGE #129	BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.2	\$ 3,700,000	90-91	
60	292.9	SALT RIVER CANYON REST AREA	CONSTRUCT REST AREA FACILITY, PARKING	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
70	252.0	CITY OF GLOBE	LANDSCAPE	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
70	252.9	CITY OF GLOBE	CONSTRUCT REST AREA FACILITY, PARKING	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
77	134.7	WINKELMAN-CHRISTMAS	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	7.2	\$ 1,400,000	91-92	
77	154.3	CHRISTMAS-FOREST BOUNDARY	TRUCK ESCAPE RAMP	0.1	\$ 2,500,000	90-91	
87	235.0	MAZATZAL REST AREA (JUNCTION SR 87 & 188)	REST AREA & FACILITIES	NA	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
87	235.0	MAZATZAL REST AREA (JUNCTION SR 87 & 188)	DESIGN (REST AREA)	NA	\$ 200,000	90-91	
87	251.0	TOWN OF PAYSON	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	24
87	254.6	PAYSON-RIM	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	22.5	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
87	257.0	JUNCTION FLOWING SPRINGS ROAD	WIDENING TURNS LANES	0.9	\$ 180,000	90-91	
87	257.0	EAST VERDE ROAD	BRIDGE WIDENING & TURN LANES	0.2	\$ 1,200,000	91-92	



Gila County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
87	263.2	PAYSON-PINE	PASSING LANE	1.0	\$ 575,000	90-91	
87	271.0	STRAWBERRY HILL	INSTALL GUARDRAIL & RECESSED PAVEMENT MARKERS	1.1	\$ 400,000	90-91	
87	ASP	TONTO BRIDGE STATE PARK	ENTRY & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
88	241.0	GOVERNMENT HILL-ROOSEVELT BRIDGE	PAVE BRIDGE APPROACHES	1.8	\$ 500,000	90-91	
88	245.0	TONTO NATIONAL MONUMENT-JUNCTION US 60, PHASE I	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	5.0	\$ 4,000,000	94-95	
88	245.0	TONTO NATIONAL MONUMENT-JUNCTION US 60, PHASE I	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	5.0	\$ 1,000,000	94-95	
88	245.0	TONTO NATIONAL MONUMENT-JUNCTION US 60	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY, PHASE II	28.0	\$ 230,000	90-91	
170	274.6	SAN CARLOS RAILROAD CROSSING #742-333-N	FLASHERS & GATES	0.1	\$ 120,000	90-91	
188	247.0	VINEYARD CANYON-ASH CREEK	SLOPE PROTECTION	8.2	\$ 2,800,000	91-92	16
188	255.2	ASH CREEK-SYCAMORE CREEK	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.6	\$ 2,500,000	90-91	
188	257.8	SYCAMORE CREEK-PUNKIN CENTER	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.7	\$ 4,000,000	92-93	
188	257.8	SYCAMORE CREEK-PUNKIN CENTER	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 360,000	90-91	
188	257.8	SYCAMORE CREEK-MILE POST 270	LOCATION & ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY	12.2	\$ 500,000	90-91	
188	257.8	SYCAMORE CREEK-PUNKIN CENTER	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.7	\$ 100,000	91-92	
188	261.8	PUNKIN CENTER-MILE POST 265	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.7	\$ 4,000,000	93-94	
188	261.8	PUNKIN CENTER-MILE POST 265	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 320,000	91-92	
188	261.8	PUNKIN CENTER-MILE POST 265	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.7	\$ 100,000	92-93	
188	265.5	SR 188 AT MILE POST 265	FLATTEN CURVE	1.2	\$ 2,000,000	91-92	

Gila County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
188	266.7	SLATE CREEK SECTION	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.1	\$ 2,500,000	93-94	
188	268.9	SR 188 AT MILE POST 270	FLATTEN CURVE	1.2	\$ 1,270,000	91-92	
260	252.0	PAYSON-HEBER	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY PHASE II	58.0	\$ 850,000	90-91	
260	256.2	STAR VALLEY-DIAMOND POINT	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.0	\$ 3,000,000	93-94	
260	256.2	STAR VALLEY-DIAMOND POINT	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.0	\$ 100,000	92-93	
260	268.2	KOHL'S RANCH	INSTALL GUARDRAIL	0.1	\$ 100,000	90-91	
260	282.1	RIM ROAD-HEBER	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	20.6	\$ 330,000	91-92	
260	282.1	RIM ROAD-HEBER	DESIGN (FENCING)	NA	\$ 65,000	90-91	
288	262.5	SALT RIVER BRIDGE-NORTH	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	3.6	\$ 100,000	91-92	



Graham County



Graham County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
70	272.3	MOONBASE ROAD	TURNING LANE	0.4	\$ 315,000	90-91	
70	335.4	CITY OF THATCHER	REST AREA FACILITY, PARKING	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
70	338.4	CITY OF SAFFORD	REMOVE CURBED MEDIAN ISLAND & LIGHTING	1.7	\$ 200,000	91-92	19
70	338.4	CITY OF SAFFORD	DESIGN (CURBED MEDIAN CHANGE)	NA	\$ 40,000	90-91	
70	356.0	MILE POST 356.0-GREENLEE COUNTY LINE	SEAL COAT	9.0	\$ 250,000	90-91	
666	98.0	MILE POST 98-JUNCTION SR 266	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	6.4	\$ 1,150,000	91-92	
666	120.8	SAFFORD RAILROAD CROSSING #742-211-V	FLASHERS & GATES	0.1	\$ 100,000	90-91	


Greenlee County



Greenlee County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
70	378.0	TOWN OF DUNCAN	REST AREA PARKING FACILITY	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
70	378.2	TOWN OF DUNCAN	CHAIN LINK FENCE, CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALKS	1.0	\$ 300,000	91-92	21
70	378.2	TOWN OF DUNCAN	DESIGN (FENCING, CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALKS)	NA	\$ 60,000	90-91	
70	385.2	BRIDGE # 372	BRIDGE & APPROACHES	0.3	\$ 550,000	91-92	
75	378.9	DUNCAN-SANDWASH	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	5.0	\$ 575,000	91-92	
666	163.8	TOWN OF CLIFTON	REST AREA FACILITY, PARKING	NA	\$ 0	90-91	24
666	163.8	TOWN OF CLIFTON	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	24
666T	174.5	NORTHWEST EXTENSION OF US 666T	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.1	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	



La Paz County





La Paz County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	0.0	CALIFORNIA STATE LINE-SPUR 85	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	112.8	\$ 1,050,000	92-93	
10	4.5	EHRENBERG REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,300,000	93-94	
10	4.5	EHRENBERG REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION)	NA	\$ 160,000	90-91	
10	4.5	EHRENBERG REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
10	5.0	TOM WELLS, EAST QUARTZSITE, WEST QUARTZSITE TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 400,000	91-92	
10	5.8	TOM WELLS TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	WIDEN RAMPS	0.1	\$ 475,000	90-91	
10	52.0	BOUSE WASH REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 110,000	92-93	
10	52.5	BOUSE WASH REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 110,000	90-91	
95	96.0	NEW WATER ROAD-QUARTZSITE	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	8.0	\$ 1,300,000	91-92	
95	ASP	BUCKSKIN MOUNTAIN STATE PARK	CAMPGROUNDS & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3

Maricopa County



Phoenix Metro Area



Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
8	83.8	SENTINEL REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,200,000	91-92	
8	83.8	SENTINEL REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATE)	NA	\$ 180,000	90-91	
8	83.8	SENTINEL REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
8	135.0	GILA BEND REST AREA-FREEMAN OVERPASS	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	6.3	\$ 4,200,000	91-92	
8B	120.3	GILA BEND STREET	REMOVE, REPLACE & SEAL COAT	2.2	\$ 440,000	92-93	
10	70.6	COUNTY LINE-SALOME ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	NA	\$ 7,300,000	90-91	
10	86.0	BURNT WELL REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,200,000	92-93	
10	86.0	BURNT WELL REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 150,000	91-92	
10	86.0	BURNT WELL REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
10	90.0	BURNT WELL-TONOPAH	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	4.0	\$ 2,665,000	91-92	
10	94.0	TONOPAH-SPUR 85	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	18.0	\$10,900,000	92-93	
10	122.7	PERRYVILLE ROAD-DYSART ROAD	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	7.0	\$ 3,325,000	92-93	
10	127.7	BULLARD AVENUE GRADE SEPARATION	CONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (PRIVATE)	0.2	\$ 1,700,000	90-91	
10	132.7	107TH AVENUE GRADE SEPARATION	CONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	0.2	\$ 3,500,000	90-91	
10	138.7	59TH AVENUE-27TH AVENUE	SEAL CONCRETE JOINTS	4.0	\$ 625,000	92-93	
10	139.7	I-10 COMPLETION	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING & RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
10	141.0	35TH AVENUE-24TH STREET	INSTALL FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FIELD EQUIPMENT	10.0	\$ 9,050,000	91-92	
10	144.9	3RD AVENUE-3RD STREET	LANDSCAPE	0.6	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	4

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	144.9	3RD AVENUE-3RD STREET	LANDSCAPE (PHOENIX)	0.6	\$ 8,000,000	90-91	
10	146.0	I-10/SQUAW PEAK/EAST PAPAGO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	EROSION CONTROL	1.0	\$ 820,000	90-91	
10	146.0	I-10/SQUAW PEAK/EAST PAPAGO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	LANDSCAPE	1.0	\$ 490,000	90-91	
10	146.0	I-10/SQUAW PEAK/EAST PAPAGO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	LANDSCAPE	1.0	\$ 240,000	90-91	
10	151.2	32ND STREET-44TH STREET	LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION	1.4	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	
10	152.6	44TH STREET-SOUTHERN AVENUE	LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION	2.0	\$ 2,850,000	91-92	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT I	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & WIDEN MAINLINE	0.7	\$ 2,500,000	91-92	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT II	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & WIDEN MAINLINE	1.7	\$ 2,400,000	92-93	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT I	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & WIDEN MAINLINE	0.7	\$29,700,000	91-92	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT II	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & WIDEN MAINLINE	1.7	\$20,700,000	92-93	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT II	DESIGN (MAINLINE WIDENING)	NA	\$ 400,000	90-91	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT II	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.7	\$ 2,900,000	91-92	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT I	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.7	\$21,300,000	90-91	
10	154.6	SUPERSTITION-BASELINE ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES, UNIT I	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.7	\$ 3,900,000	90-91	
10	155.6	BASELINE-CHANDLER BOULEVARD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGES	ADD MEDIAN LANES	5.2	\$ 5,500,000	93-94	
10	157.7	ELLIOTT ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (JOINT FUNDED PROJECT WITH/TEMPE)	ADOT PAYBACK TO TEMPE, LIMITED TO 50% : \$ 5.0 MILLION CAP)	0.2	\$ 5,000,000	93-94	
10	157.7	ELLIOTT ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (TEMPE PROJECT)	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (ADOT PAYBACK IN FISCAL YEAR 1994)	0.2	\$10,000,000	90-91	
10	160.5	CHANDLER BOULEVARD-PRINCE ROAD	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	55.2	\$ 1,110,000	90-91	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	163.9	QUEEN CREEK GRADE SEPARATION	CONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	0.2	\$ 3,950,000	90-91	5
10	163.9	QUEEN CREEK GRADE SEPARATION	CONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (PRIVATE)	0.2	\$ 1,500,000	90-91	5
10	163.9	QUEEN CREEK GRADE SEPARATION	DESIGN (TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE)	NA	\$ 540,000	90-91	
17	194.0	I-10-THOMAS ROAD	INSTALL FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FIELD EQUIPMENT	NA	\$ 7,250,000	91-92	
17	194.8	16TH STREET-DUCKEYE ROAD	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	4.0	\$ 1,200,000	91-92	
17	196.2	3RD STREET RAILROAD CROSSINGS (#741-503-N & #741-501-A)	RUBBER PLANKING, FRONTAGE ROAD	0.1	\$ 100,000	90-91	
17	197.3	11TH AVENUE RAILROAD CROSSINGS #25-845-P & #25-843-B	UPGRADE LIGHTS, FRONTAGE ROAD	0.1	\$ 5,000	90-91	
17	198.1	I-17 (11) LOCATIONS	UPGRADE PUMPHOUSES	NA	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
17	198.3	(EASTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD (DURANGO) 22ND AVENUE/23RD AVENUE	RECONSTRUCT 2 WAY ROADWAY	0.2	\$ 280,000	90-91	6
17	198.3	EASTBOUND FRONTAGE ROAD (DURANGO) 22ND AVENUE/23RD AVENUE	RECONSTRUCT 2 WAY ROADWAY (CITY OF PHOENIX SHARE)	0.2	\$ 100,000	90-91	6
17	200.3	I-10 & I-17	CONTROL CENTER EQUIPMENT	NA	\$10,900,000	90-91	
17	200.5	I-10 & I-17	INSTALL FREEWAY CORRIDOR CONTROLS	NA	\$ 5,700,000	92-93	
17	200.6	I-10 & I-17	INSTALL FREEWAY CORRIDOR CONTROLS	NA	\$10,800,000	94-95	
17	201.9	THOMAS ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD, PHASE I	WIDEN MAINLINE	9.0	\$20,000,000	93-94	
17	201.9	THOMAS ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD, PHASE II	WIDEN MAINLINE	9.0	\$20,000,000	94-95	
17	201.9	THOMAS ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD, PHASE I	DESIGN (MAINLINE WIDENING)	NA	\$ 1,400,000	91-92	
17	201.9	THOMAS ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD, PHASE I	CONCEPT DESIGN & ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY	NA	\$ 400,000	90-91	
17	201.9	THOMAS ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD, PHASE II	DESIGN (MAINLINE WIDENING)	NA	\$ 1,400,000	92-93	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
17	203.0	INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & RAMPS	WIDEN STRUCTURE & UPDATE SIGNS	0.1	\$ 6,100,000	90-91	
17	203.0	INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & RAMPS	WIDEN STRUCTURE & UPDATE SIGNS (PHOENIX)	0.1	\$ 250,000	90-91	
17	203.0	INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & PUMP STATION	PUMP STATION & UTILITIES	0.1	\$ 1,800,000	90-91	
17	208.0	ARIZONA CANAL-CHERRY ROAD	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	72.0	\$ 1,200,000	94-95	
17	208.0	I-17 NORTH BOUND OFF RAMP AT PEORIA AVENUE	LENGTHEN LEFT TURN LANE	0.2	\$ 150,000	90-91	
17	209.9	CACTUS ROAD-PINNACLE PEAK ROAD (FRONTAGE ROADS & RAMPS)	SEAL COAT FRONTAGE ROADS & ASPHALTIC CONCRETE RAMPS	7.2	\$ 425,000	91-92	
17	210.9	THUNDERBIRD ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (PHOENIX PROJECT)	MODIFY CROSS ROAD. (SOUTHWEST QUADRANT) (ADOT SHARE)	0.1	\$ 150,000	91-92	
17	210.9	THUNDERBIRD ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MODIFY NORTHWEST QUADRANT FRONTAGE ROAD (PRIVATE)	0.1	\$ 500,000	90-91	
17	210.9	THUNDERBIRD ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MODIFY NORTHWEST QUADRANT FRONTAGE ROAD (ADOT SHARE)	0.1	\$ 420,000	90-91	
17	211.0	I-17 SOUTHBOUND FRONTAGE ROAD	CONSTRUCT MASONRY NOISE WALL	0.4	\$ 35,000	90-91	
17	213.4	BELL ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RAMPS, CROSS ROADS & LANDSCAPE	0.2	\$ 3,000,000	92-93	
17	214.0	UNION HILLS TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (PHOENIX PROJECT)	DRAINAGE & CROSS ROAD IMPROVEMENT (ADOT SHARE)	0.2	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	11
17	227.7	DESERT HILLS REST AREA	RECONSTRUCT FACILITIES & PARKING	NA	\$ 4,500,000	92-93	
50	0.0	PARADISE	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 800,000	90-91	
50	0.0	PARADISE	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 800,000	91-92	
50	0.0	PARADISE	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 800,000	92-93	
50	0.0	PARADISE	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 800,000	93-94	
50	0.0	PARADISE	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 400,000	94-95	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
50	0.0	PARADISE, JUNCTION SR 51-JUNCTION SR 101L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	13.5	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	
50	0.0	PARADISE, JUNCTION SR 51-JUNCTION SR 101L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	13.5	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
50	0.0	PARADISE, JUNCTION SR 51-JUNCTION SR 101L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	13.5	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
50	0.0	PARADISE, JUNCTION SR 51-JUNCTION SR 101L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	13.5	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
50	0.0	PARADISE, JUNCTION SR 51-JUNCTION SR 101L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	13.5	\$ 1,000,000	94-95	
51	0.0	SQUAW PEAK, I-10-THOMAS ROAD	INSTALL FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FIELD EQUIPMENT	NA	\$ 900,000	91-92	
51	0.0	SQUAW PEAK	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,600,000	90-91	
51	0.0	SQUAW PEAK	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,600,000	91-92	
51	0.0	SQUAW PEAK	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,200,000	92-93	
51	0.0	SQUAW PEAK	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 800,000	93-94	
51	0.0	SQUAW PEAK	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 800,000	94-95	
51	5.0	SQUAW PEAK, GLENDALE AVENUE-NORTHERN AVENUE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	1.4	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
51	5.0	SQUAW PEAK, GLENDALE AVENUE-26TH STREET	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.4	\$ 575,000	90-91	
51	6.0	SQUAW PEAK, NORTHERN AVENUE-29TH STREET	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	1.4	\$ 1,100,000	94-95	
51	6.0	SQUAW PEAK, NORTHERN AVENUE-29TH STREET	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.4	\$15,335,000	92-93	
51	6.0	SQUAW PEAK, NORTHERN AVENUE-29TH STREET	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 100,000	92-93	
51	7.0	SQUAW PEAK, 29TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.1	\$27,360,000	90-91	
51	7.0	SQUAW PEAK, 29TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	1.1	\$ 900,000	92-93	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
51	7.0	SQUAW PEAK, 29TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 100,000	91-92	
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-CACTUS ROAD	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.1	\$32,000,000	93-94	
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD	R/W ACQUISITION (SWEETWATER ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD)	0.5	\$ 0	*	1
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 3,000,000	91-92	
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-SWEETWATER ROAD	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 0	*	
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.0	\$ 9,000,000	91-92	
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.5	\$14,000,000	92-93	
51	9.0	SQUAW PEAK, SHEA BOULEVARD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.5	\$ 4,000,000	93-94	
51	9.5	SQUAW PEAK, SWEETWATER ROAD-THUNDERBIRD ROAD	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	0.5	\$ 0	**	2
51	10.0	SQUAW PEAK, GREENWAY ROAD-BELL ROAD	SEWER SYSTEM RELOCATION (PHOENIX PROJECT)	NA	\$ 500,000	90-91	
51	12.0	SQUAW PEAK, BELL ROAD-UNION HILLS DRIVE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 2,500,000	90-91	
60	84.0	AGUILA-WICKENBURG	SEAL COAT	21.0	\$ 575,000	92-93	
60	116.0	HASSAYAMPA REST AREA	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	0.2	\$ 50,000	91-92	
60	129.3	WITTMANN-BEARDSLEY	BRIDGE REPLACEMENTS	7.0	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
60	137.8	GRAND AVENUE, BEARDSLEY-VAN BUREN (TRAFFIC SYSTEM MANAGEMENT - PHASE I)	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	8.0	\$ 3,000,000	91-92	
60	137.8	GRAND AVENUE, BEARDSLEY ROAD-AGUA FRIA	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	8.0	\$16,300,000	92-93	
60	137.8	GRAND AVENUE, BEARDSLEY-VAN BUREN (TRAFFIC SYSTEM MANAGEMENT - PHASE II)	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	8.0	\$ 3,000,000	92-93	
60	137.8	GRAND AVENUE, BEARDSLEY-VAN BUREN (TRAFFIC SYSTEM MANAGEMENT)	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	8.0	\$ 300,000	90-91	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
60	137.8	GRAND AVENUE, BEARDSLEY-AGUA FRIA	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	8.0	\$ 5,000,000	90-91	
60	146.2	GRAND AVENUE, AGUA FRIA BRIDGE #312 & #313	BRIDGES & APPROACHES	0.2	\$ 9,200,000	91-92	
60	146.2	GRAND AVENUE, AGUA FRIA BRIDGE #312 & #313	DESIGN (BRIDGE & APPROACHES)	NA	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	
60	152.7	CITY OF GLENDALE	LANDSCAPE	4.9	\$ 0	90-91	24
60	157.0	GRAND AVENUE, CAMELBACK ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	DESIGN (TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE)	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
60	161.8	MCDOWELL ROAD-VAN BUREN STREET (PHOENIX PROJECT)	WIDEN ROADWAY (ADOT SHARE)	1.4	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	11
60	176.4	CITY OF MESA (MESA PROJECT)	CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALK (ADOT SHARE)	NA	\$ 325,000	90-91	
60	184.0	LINDSAY ROAD-SOSSAMAN ROAD (MESA PROJECT)	MEDIAN CURB GUTTER, SIDEWALKS & CATCH BASIN (ADOT SHARE)	6.0	\$ 300,000	90-91	
60	185.6	POWER ROAD-SOSSAMAN ROAD (MESA PROJECT)	STORM DRAIN (ADOT SHARE)	NA	\$ 200,000	90-91	13
60	186.0	HIGLEY-MESA EAST CITY LIMIT	LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION	3.0	\$ 1,035,000	90-91	
74	22.3	LAKE PLEASANT-CAREFREE HIGHWAY	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 110,000	90-91	
74	22.3	LAKE PLEASANT-CAREFREE HIGHWAY	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.7	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
85	120.3	JUNCTION B 8 & SR 85, GILA BEND	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY	NA	\$ 200,000	90-91	
85	146.9	GILA RIVER BRIDGE #1274	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	2.4	\$16,200,000	90-91	
85	146.9	GILA RIVER BRIDGE #1274	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.4	\$ 150,000	90-91	
85	148.6	GILA RIVER BRIDGE-I-10 (SR 85 & SR 85S)	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	5.8	\$ 6,000,000	94-95	
85	148.6	JUNCTION I-10-JUNCTION SR 85 (SR 85 & SR 85S)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 300,000	92-93	
85	148.6	JUNCTION I-10-JUNCTION SR 85 (SR 85 & SR 85S)	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	5.8	\$ 530,000	93-94	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
85	150.5	4TH STREET, TOWN OF BUCKEYE	DRAINAGE	NA	\$ 85,000	90-91	
87	160.0	CITY OF CHANDLER	LANDSCAPE	10.0	\$ 0	90-91	24
87	162.7	OCOTILLO ROAD-FRYE ROAD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.4	\$ 4,000,000	93-94	
87	162.7	OCOTILLO ROAD-FRYE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.4	\$ 200,000	92-93	
87	162.7	OCOTILLO ROAD-FRYE ROAD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 300,000	91-92	
87	165.0	CITY OF CHANDLER	TRAFFIC SIGNAL COORDINATION	NA	\$ 550,000	90-91	
87	168.9	THOROBRED-ELLIOT ROAD (NORTHBOUND)	MILL & REPLACE	0.7	\$ 170,000	91-92	
87	173.8	BROADWAY ROAD-RED MOUNTAIN	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	4.0	\$12,500,000	94-95	25
87	178.0	MCDOWELL ROAD-SHEA BOULEVARD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	10.9	\$20,000,000	92-93	
87	178.0	MCDOWELL ROAD-SHEA BOULEVARD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,500,000	90-91	
87	178.0	MCDOWELL ROAD-SHEA BOULEVARD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	10.9	\$ 100,000	90-91	
87	188.5	SHEA BOULEVARD-TONTO FOREST BOUNDARY	RECONSTRUCT, STRUCTURES & PAVE	5.7	\$19,875,000	90-91	
87	188.5	SHEA BOULEVARD-TONTO FOREST BOUNDARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	5.7	\$ 3,500,000	90-91	
87	194.1	TONTO FOREST BOUNDARY-SAGUARO LAKE ROAD	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	7.0	\$ 840,000	90-91	
87	202.4	FOUR PEAKS ROAD-SUGARLOAF ROAD (A)	EXTEND INTERIM ROADWAY	1.4	\$ 1,100,000	91-92	
87	202.4	FOUR PEAKS ROAD-SUGARLOAF ROAD (A)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	
87	203.8	SUGARLOAF ROAD-MESQUITE WASH (B)	NEW (SOUTHBOUND) ROADWAY	3.0	\$10,000,000	91-92	
87	203.8	SUGARLOAF ROAD-MESQUITE WASH (B)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,500,000	90-91	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
87	206.2	MESQUITE WASH-SYCAMORE CREEK (C)	NEW (NORTHBOUND) ROADWAY	6.4	\$ 8,900,000	92-93	
87	206.2	MESQUITE WASH-SYCAMORE CREEK (C)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 625,000	90-91	
87	211.3	CAMP CREEK-SYCAMORE CREEK (D)	NEW (SOUTHBOUND) ROADWAY WITH BRIDGE	1.3	\$ 2,900,000	92-93	
87	211.3	CAMP CREEK-SYCAMORE CREEK (D)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 380,000	90-91	
87	212.6	SYCAMORE CREEK-SUNFLOWER (E)	NEW (SOUTHBOUND) ROADWAY	4.7	\$15,000,000	92-93	
87	212.6	SYCAMORE CREEK-SUNFLOWER (E)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,150,000	90-91	
87	217.0	SUNFLOWER-WEST FORK OF SYCAMORE CREEK	NEW ROADWAY	6.0	\$30,600,000	92-93	7
87	222.7	WEST FORK SYCAMORE CREEK-MILE POST 226	WIDEN EXISTING ROADWAY	3.2	\$ 7,400,000	92-93	7
89	253.0	TOWN OF WICKENBURG	LANDSCAPE	0.8	\$ 0	90-91	24
101L	0.0	AGUA FRIA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	
101L	0.1	AGUA FRIA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
101L	0.2	AGUA FRIA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
101L	0.3	AGUA FRIA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
101L	0.4	AGUA FRIA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	94-95	
101L	1.0	AGUA FRIA, BUCKEYE ROAD-JUNCTION I-10	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.5	\$ 2,150,000	94-95	
101L	1.0	AGUA FRIA, BUCKEYE ROAD-JUNCTION I-10	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 110,000	92-93	
101L	1.0	AGUA FRIA, BUCKEYE ROAD-JUNCTION I-10	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.8	\$ 500,000	92-93	
101L	2.0	AGUA FRIA, JUNCTION I-10-THOMAS ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.2	\$ 0	**	2

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
101L	2.0	AGUA FRIA, JUNCTION I-10-THOMAS ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.2	\$ 0	*	1
101L	3.0	AGUA FRIA, THOMAS ROAD-CAMELBACK ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.0	\$ 0	**	2
101L	3.2	AGUA FRIA, THOMAS ROAD-CAMELBACK ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.0	\$ 0	*	1
101L	14.0	AGUA FRIA, BELL ROAD AT NEW RIVER (PEORIA PROJECT)	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	0.2	\$ 350,000	90-91	
101L	14.0	AGUA FRIA, SKUNK CREEK-75TH AVENUE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	2.0	\$ 2,035,000	91-92	
101L	14.0	AGUA FRIA, BELL ROAD-51ST AVENUE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.0	\$10,000,000	90-91	
101L	15.0	AGUA FRIA, 27TH AVENUE TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURE	0.2	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
101L	15.5	AGUA FRIA, ROSE GARDEN TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	CONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	NA	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
101L	16.5	AGUA FRIA, 75TH AVENUE-59TH AVENUE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	2.0	\$ 1,570,000	94-95	
101L	18.0	AGUA FRIA, 75TH AVENUE-31ST AVENUE	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	5.0	\$26,510,000	92-93	
101L	18.0	AGUA FRIA, 59TH AVENUE-35TH AVENUE	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 200,000	90-91	
101L	22.9	AGUA FRIA, I-17 TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	CONSTRUCT CENTRAL STRUCTURES (WEST LEG)	1.0	\$33,160,000	93-94	
101L	23.0	PIMA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 4,500,000	90-91	
101L	23.1	PIMA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 3,000,000	91-92	
101L	23.2	PIMA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
101L	23.3	PIMA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
101L	23.4	PIMA	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
101L	23.4	PIMA, I-17 TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.1	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
101L	23.5	PIMA, JUNCTION I-17-CAVE CREEK ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	6.3	\$10,000,000	94-95	
101L	23.6	PIMA, 19TH AVENUE-12TH STREET	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,270,000	91-92	
101L	25.0	PIMA, 12TH STREET - CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT CANAL	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,630,000	93-94	
101L	25.5	PIMA, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT CANAL - SCOTTSDALE ROAD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,500,000	92-93	
101L	27.6	PIMA, CAVE CREEK ROAD-SCOTTSDALE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	6.0	\$ 0	*	1
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET & ARIZONA CANAL	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	0.5	\$ 8,080,000	91-92	
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET-MCKELLIPS ROAD	GRADE AND DRAIN	8.0	\$22,590,000	92-93	
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET-MCKELLIPS ROAD	PAVE ROADWAY	8.0	\$32,290,000	94-95	
101L	41.3	PIMA, VIA DE VENTURA, MCDONALD DRIVE, INDIAN BEND ROAD	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	NA	\$ 4,410,000	90-91	
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.0	\$ 0	*	1
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 0	*	1
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,000,000	94-95	
101L	41.3	PIMA, 90TH STREET-SHEA BOULEVARD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.3	\$ 0	*	1
101L	41.4	PIMA, 90TH STREET-MCKELLIPS ROAD	ARCHAEOLOGICAL	NA	\$ 500,000	90-91	
101L	43.5	PIMA, SCOTTSDALE ROAD-DOUBLETREE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 0	*	1
101L	45.5	PIMA, CHAPARRAL ROAD-MCKELLIPS ROAD	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	0.5	\$11,360,000	90-91	
101L	46.5	PIMA, INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD-MCKELLIPS ROAD	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 125,000	92-93	
101L	49.5	PIMA, MCKELLIPS ROAD-RED MOUNTAIN TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.5	\$46,700,000	93-94	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
101L	49.5	PIMA, MCKELLIPS ROAD-RED MOUNTAIN TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.5	\$ 7,500,000	92-93	
101L	50.0	PIMA, TUNNEL EXTENSION & OUTFALL	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	0.2	\$10,760,000	90-91	
101L	51.5	PIMA, UNIVERSITY DRIVE-SOUTHERN AVENUE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	2.0	\$ 2,440,000	91-92	
101L	52.0	PIMA, RED MOUNTAIN TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (WEST & SOUTH LEGS)	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	0.2	\$28,880,000	90-91	
101L	52.0	PIMA, SOUTH RESERVATION BOUNDARY-1ST STREET (RED MT TI, W & S LEGS)	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.4	\$17,500,000	90-91	
101L	54.0	PIMA, JUNCTION SR 360-JUNCTION 101L (CENTRAL STRUCTURES)	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	0.1	\$71,220,000	90-91	
101L	54.0	PIMA, SR 360 & RAMPS	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	*	1
101L	54.0	PIMA, MCKELLIPS ROAD-SR 360	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.5	\$ 3,030,000	91-92	
101L	55.0	PRICE, SUPERSTITION TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE & MAINLINE-GUADALUPE	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.0	\$ 0	*	
101L	55.5	PRICE, SOUTH OF ELLIOT ROAD	ARCHAEOLOGICAL	NA	\$ 1,500,000	94-95	
101L	55.5	PRICE, SOUTH OF ELLIOT ROAD	DESIGN (ARCHAEOLOGICAL)	NA	\$ 120,000	91-92	
101L	55.5	PRICE, JUNCTION SR 360-WESTERN CANAL	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.2	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
101L	55.7	PRICE, CARRIAGE LANE OUTFALL	CONSTRUCT OUTFALL	0.2	\$ 3,000,000	93-94	
101L	55.7	PRICE, CARRIAGE LANE OUTFALL	DESIGN (OUTFALL)	NA	\$ 30,000	93-94	
101L	57.5	PRICE, GUADALUPE ROAD-ELLIOT ROAD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 0	*	1
101L	57.5	PRICE, BASELINE ROAD-GUADALUPE ROAD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,500,000	93-94	
101L	57.5	PRICE, WESTERN CANAL-JUNCTION 202L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.0	\$ 9,000,000	94-95	
101L	57.5	PRICE, WESTERN CANAL-JUNCTION 202L	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.0	\$ 0	*	1

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
101L	65.9	PRICE/SAN TAN/SOUTH MOUNTAIN	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	
101L	66.0	PRICE/SAN TAN/SOUTH MOUNTAIN	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
101L	66.0	PRICE/SAN TAN/SOUTH MOUNTAIN	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
101L	66.0	PRICE/SAN TAN/SOUTH MOUNTAIN	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
101L	66.0	PRICE/SAN TAN/SOUTH MOUNTAIN	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
101L	73.5	SOUTH MOUNTAIN, 19TH AVENUE-51ST AVENUE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 0	*	1
101L	201.3	SAN TAN, JUNCTION I-10S-PRICE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.5	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
101L	201.3	SAN TAN, JUNCTION I-10S-PRICE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.5	\$ 2,000,000	91-92	
101L	201.3	SAN TAN, JUNCTION I-10S-PRICE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.5	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
101L	201.3	SAN TAN, JUNCTION I-10S-PRICE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.5	\$ 3,000,000	93-94	
143	0.0	HOHOKAM, EAST PAPAGO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	0.2	\$ 1,500,000	92-93	
143	0.0	HOHOKAM	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
143	0.0	HOHOKAM	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 50,000	91-92	
143	0.0	HOHOKAM, EAST PAPAGO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 150,000	91-92	
143	0.8	HOHOKAM, UNIVERSITY DRIVE-WASHINGTON STREET	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	0.4	\$ 1,700,000	91-92	
153	0.0	SKY HARBOR	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
153	0.0	SKY HARBOR	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 50,000	91-92	
202L	147.0	EAST PAPAGO	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
202L	147.0	EAST PAPAGO	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 100,000	91-92	
202L	147.0	EAST PAPAGO	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 250,000	92-93	
202L	147.0	EAST PAPAGO	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 100,000	93-94	
202L	147.0	EAST PAPAGO, I-10-HOHOKAM	INSTALL FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FIELD EQUIPMENT	NA	\$ 1,900,000	91-92	
202L	147.3	EAST PAPAGO, JUNCTION I-10-40TH STREET	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	6.5	\$ 1,800,000	91-92	
202L	147.3	EAST PAPAGO, JUNCTION I-10-40TH STREET	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 125,000	90-91	
202L	149.0	EAST PAPAGO, 48TH STREET-PRIEST DRIVE	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	2.1	\$38,500,000	90-91	
202L	149.0	EAST PAPAGO, 48TH STREET-PRIEST DRIVE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	1.0	\$ 1,500,000	93-94	
202L	149.0	EAST PAPAGO, 48TH STREET-PRIEST DRIVE	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 150,000	91-92	
202L	151.0	EAST PAPAGO, PRIEST DRIVE-INDIAN BEND WASH	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	2.0	\$34,500,000	90-91	
202L	151.0	EAST PAPAGO, PRIEST DRIVE-MCCLINTOCK DRIVE	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.9	\$13,200,000	91-92	
202L	151.0	EAST PAPAGO, PRIEST DRIVE-JUNCTION 101L	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	2.0	\$ 1,900,000	93-94	
202L	151.0	EAST PAPAGO, PRIEST DRIVE-JUNCTION 101L	DESIGN (LANDSCAPE)	NA	\$ 190,000	92-93	
202L	151.1	EAST PAPAGO, MILL AVE BRIDGE	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURE	0.2	\$ 4,200,000	91-92	
202L	152.0	EAST PAPAGO, INDIAN BEND WASH-JUNCTION 101L	CONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	2.9	\$73,300,000	90-91	
202L	152.0	EAST PAPAGO, INDIAN BEND WASH-JUNCTION 101L	GEOTECHNICAL	2.9	\$10,100,000	90-91	
202L	156.0	RED MOUNTAIN, PRICE ROAD-DOBSON ROAD	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.0	\$ 0	*	1
202L	156.0	RED MOUNTAIN, OUTER LOOP-JUNCTION SR 360	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	


Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
202L	156.0	RED MOUNTAIN, PRICE ROAD-DOBSON ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.0	\$10,000,000	93-94	
202L	157.3	RED MOUNTAIN, DOBSON ROAD-COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 1,860,000	93-94	
202L	201.4	SAN TAN, JCT SR 360-PRICE ROAD	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
303L	0.0	ESTRELLA, BROADWAY ROAD-US 60	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 1,200,000	90-91	
303L	3.5	ESTRELLA, JUNCTION I-10-GLENDALE AVENUE	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	5.5	\$ 2,430,000	90-91	
303L	9.0	ESTRELLA, GLENDALE AVENUE-CACTUS ROAD	CONSTRUCT ROADWAY	4.0	\$ 2,205,000	90-91	
303L	13.0	ESTRELLA. I-10-GRAND AVENUE	DESIGN (INTERIM ROADWAY)	6.0	\$ 500,000	90-91	
360	12.4	VAL VISTA ROAD-HIGLEY ROAD	LANDSCAPE & EROSION CONTROL	2.0	\$ 1,900,000	90-91	
360	14.4	HIGLEY ROAD-POWER ROAD	LANDSCAPE & EROSION CONTROL	2.0	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
360	16.5	POWER ROAD-ELLSWORTH ROAD	LANDSCAPE & EROSION CONTROL	3.0	\$ 2,150,000	92-93	
999	0.0	MAG SYSTEM	ENGINEERING CONTINGENCIES	NA	\$ 3,000,000	90-91	
999	0.0	MAG SYSTEM	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
999	0.1	MAG SYSTEM	ENGINEERING CONTINGENCIES	NA	\$ 680,000	91-92	
999	0.2	MAG SYSTEM	ENGINEERING CONTINGENCIES	NA	\$ 1,100,000	92-93	
999	0.3	MAG SYSTEM	ENGINEERING CONTINGENCIES	NA	\$ 1,900,000	93-94	
999	0.4	MAG SYSTEM	ENGINEERING CONTINGENCIES	NA	\$ 2,050,000	94-95	
999	0.5	MAG SYSTEM	MATCHING FUNDS FOR JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS & RIGHT-OF-WAY TIME PAYMENTS	NA	\$10,000,000	90-91	
999	0.6	MAG SYSTEM	MATCHING FUNDS FOR JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS & RIGHT-OF-WAY TIME PAYMENTS	NA	\$10,000,000	91-92	

Maricopa County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	0.7	MAG SYSTEM	MATCHING FUNDS FOR JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS & RIGHT-OF-WAY TIME PAYMENTS	NA	\$10,000,000	92-93	
999	0.8	MAG SYSEYM	MATCHING FUNDS FOR JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS & RIGHT-OF-WAY TIME PAYMENTS	NA	\$10,000,000	93-94	
999	0.9	MAG SYSTEM	MATCHING FUNDS FOR JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS & RIGHT-OF-WAY TIME PAYMENTS	NA	\$10,000,000	94-95	
999	200.1	MAG SYSTEM	INSTALL FREEWAY CORRIDOR CONTROLS	NA	\$ 4,000,000	92-93	
999	200.2	MAG SYSTEM	INSTALL FREEWAY CORRIDOR CONTROLS	NA	\$ 7,300,000	93-94	
999	200.3	MAG SYSTEM	INSTALL FREEWAY CORRIDOR CONTROLS	NA	\$ 3,600,000	94-95	

Mohave County



Mohave County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
15	0.0	NEVADA STATE LINE-UTAH STATE LINE	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	30.0	\$ 240,000	91-92	
15	12.0	LITTLEFIELD-UTAH STATE LINE	SLOPE STABILIZATION	17.4	\$ 500,000	93-94	
15	12.0	VIRGIN RIVER-UTAH STATE LINE	REMOVE & REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	17.4	\$ 5,600,000	92-93	
15	18.3	GEDAR POCKET REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,200,000	91-92	
15	18.3	CEDAR POCKET REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
15	18.3	CEDAR POCKET REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
15	28.2	ST GEORGE PORT OF ENTRY	ARIZONA/UTAH PORT OF ENTRY, PHASE II (ADOT SHARE)	NA	\$ 250,000	90-91	
40	0.0	CALIFORNIA STATE LINE- MCCONNICO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	44.3	\$ 630,000	91-92	
40	2.4	NEEDLE MOUNTAIN TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE-SR 95	OVERLAY & PARTIAL RECONSTRUCTION	5.9	\$ 6,200,000	90-91	
40	3.0	TOPOCK PORT OF ENTRY	RECONSTRUCT PARKING & SCALE APPROACHES	NA	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
40	14.4	HAVILAND REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION) PHASE II	NA	\$ 110,000	91-92	
40	14.4	HAVILAND REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 95,000	90-91	
40	33.0	WALNUT CREEK-MCCONNICO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	13.0	\$ 7,900,000	92-93	
40	39.7	I-40 (15 LOCATIONS)	APPROACH SLABS, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT	NA	\$ 3,500,000	93-94	
40	46.2	MCCONNICO TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE-EAST KINGMAN TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	6.8	\$ 5,000,000	91-92	
40	86.0	WILLOW CREEK-JOLLY ROAD	MILL & FRICTION COURSE	13.0	\$ 3,600,000	91-92	
40B	52.7	CITY OF KINGMAN	LANDSCAPE	1.2	\$ 0	90-91	24
66	72.0	HUALAPAI RESERVATION-PEACH SPRINGS	SEAL COAT	28.0	\$ 830,000	91-92	
66	100.0	PEACH SPRINGS-CAVERNS	SEAL COAT	15.0	\$ 450,000	90-91	

Mohave County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
66	103.0	PEACH SPRINGS	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	24
68	3.3	MILE POST 3.3-MILE POST 4.6	PASSING LANE	1.3	\$ 225,000	90-91	
68	7.1	EAST OF BULLHEAD	REALIGN CURVE	0.6	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
68	8.0	BULLHEAD CITY-KINGMAN	SEAL COAT	8.0	\$ 200,000	91-92	
68	14.2	SACRAMENTO WASH-WEST	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.6	\$ 5,000,000	90-91	
68	17.8	SACRAMENTO WASH-TWIN WASH	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.6	\$13,200,000	90-91	
68	20.4	TWIN WASH-JUNCTION US 93	WIDEN 4 LANE SECTION	6.7	\$ 6,000,000	90-91	
93	0.0	BLACK CANYON BRIDGE & APPROACHES	CORRIDOR LOCATION STUDY (ADOT SHARE)	NA	\$ 250,000	90-91	
93	5.8	HOOVER DAM-SOUTH, PHASE III	UPGRADE GUARDRAIL	11.2	\$ 100,000	90-91	
93	36.0	DETRITAL WASH-COTTONWOOD RD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	11.0	\$13,000,000	90-91	
93	46.7	COTTONWOOD RD-MILE POST 59	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	11.6	\$14,000,000	91-92	
93	46.7	COTTONWOOD RD-MILE POST 59	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	11.6	\$ 200,000	90-91	
93	59.0	MILE POST 59-JUNCTION SR 68	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	7.0	\$11,300,000	92-93	
93	59.0	MILE POST 59-JUNCTION SR 68	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	7.0	\$ 300,000	91-92	
93	59.1	KINGMAN PORT OF ENTRY	RECONSTRUCT PORT OF ENTRY	0.1	\$ 6,000,000	93-94	
93	59.1	KINGMAN PORT OF ENTRY	DESIGN (PORT OF ENTRY)	NA	\$ 600,000	91-92	
93	66.0	JUNCTION SR 68-KINGMAN	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	4.3	\$ 5,700,000	93-94	
93	66.0	JUNCTION SR 68-KINGMAN	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 400,000	91-92	



Mohave County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
93	66.0	JUNCTION SR 68-KINGMAN	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY	NA	\$ 300,000	90-91	
93	66.0	JUNCTION SR 68-KINGMAN	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.3	\$ 200,000	92-93	
93	91.0	JUNCTION I-40-SOUTH	FRICTION COURSE	5.0	\$ 410,000	91-92	
93	124.0	WIKIEUP-SANTA MARIA RIVER	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY, PHASE II	NA	\$ 340,000	90-91	
93	126.3	BIG SANDY BRIDGE #0327	REPLACE STRUCTURE & APPROACHES	1.3	\$ 5,600,000	91-92	
93	126.3	BIG SANDY BRIDGE #0327	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.3	\$ 100,000	90-91	
93	127.0	BIG SANDY-BURRO CREEK, PHASE I	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	12.0	\$10,000,000	93-94	
93	127.0	BIG SANDY-BURRO CREEK, PHASE II	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	12.0	\$ 5,000,000	94-95	
93	138.0	BURRO CREEK-JUNCTION SR 97	RECYCLE PAVEMENT	17.0	\$ 2,450,000	91-92	
95	181.5	LAKE HAVASU CITY	LANDSCAPE	0.8	\$ 0	90-91	24
95	227.0	HIGGINS CORNER-NORTH	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	11.0	\$ 1,500,000	91-92	
95	227.3	NEEDLES JUNCTION-MARINA BOULEVARD	DRAINAGE STUDY	16.6	\$ 100,000	90-91	
95	227.3	NEEDLES JUNCTION ROAD	WIDEN LEFT TURN LANES, LIGHTING	0.5	\$ 150,000	90-91	
95	227.3	NEEDLES JUNCTION ROAD-VALENCIA ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	11.3	\$ 2,500,000	90-91	
95	237.4	EL RODEO ROAD (JOINT FUNDED PROJECT WITH/MOHAVE COUNTY)	INTERSECTION, DRAINAGE STRUCTURES (ADOT SHARE LIMITED TO 50 % OR \$500K CAP)	0.1	\$ 500,000	90-91	15
95	239.0	VALENCIA ROAD-COTTONTAIL LANE	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.0	\$ 3,900,000	94-95	
95	239.0	VALENCIA ROAD-COTTONTAIL LANE	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 275,000	92-93	
95	239.0	VALENCIA ROAD-COTTONTAIL LANE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.0	\$ 1,300,000	93-94	

Mohave County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
95	242.0	COTTONTAIL LANE-MARINA BOULEVARD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	1.9	\$ 3,430,000	93-94	
95	242.0	COTTONTAIL LANE-MARINA BOULEVARD	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 240,000	91-92	
95	242.0	COTTONTAIL LANE-MARINA BOULEVARD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.9	\$ 1,900,000	92-93	
95	246.0	BULLHEAD CITY	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	24
95	ASP	LAKE HAVASU STATE PARK	CENTER PARKING & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
95	ASP	LAKE HAVASU CATTAIL COVE STATE PARK	PARKING AREA & WINDSOR INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3

Navajo County



Navajo County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
40	250.0	COUNTY LINE-MINNETONKA	MILL, REPACE FULL WIDTH, WEST WINSLOW TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE CROSS ROAD	8.7	\$ 2,000,000	91-92	
40	251.0	WEST WINSLOW TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (NORTH WEST QUADRANT-FRONTAGE ROAD)	LENGTHEN CONCRETE BOX CULVERT & RECONSTRUCT ROADWAY	1.1	\$ 675,000	90-91	
40	268.2	JACKRABBIT ROAD-JOSEPH CITY TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	9.2	\$ 3,000,000	92-93	
40	286.9	HOLBROOK TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	TRAFFIC INTETCHANGE IMPROVEMENT	1.0	\$ 3,000,000	94-95	
40	286.9	HOLBROOK TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.0	\$ 100,000	93-94	
40	290.2	HOLBROOK-STATE LINE	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	69.4	\$ 750,000	92-93	
40	292.8	KEAMS CANYON TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT & LIGHTING	0.4	\$ 1,325,000	90-91	
40	294.5	SUN VALLEY TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT & LIGHTING	1.0	\$ 500,000	93-94	
40B	252.0	WINSLOW STREETS	RECONSTRUCT CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALKS (3 LOCATIONS)	3.1	\$ 200,000	91-92	20
40B	275.3	ST JOSEPH BRIDGE #0046	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	0.3	\$ 1,700,000	94-95	
40B	285.8	CITY OF HOLBROOK	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	24
40B	286.5	NAVAJO BOULEVARD, HOLBROOK	MILL, REPLACE, CURB, GUTTER, SIDEWALKS & FRICTION COURSE	2.3	\$ 880,000	91-92	20
60	319.0	HAGEN HILL	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY, PHASE II	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	
60	322.7	CEDAR CANYON BRIDGE #215	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	1.4	\$ 3,025,000	90-91	
60	328.6	CORDUROY CREEK BRIDGE #216	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	0.6	\$ 2,850,000	90-91	
60	341.4	COOLEY-SHOW LOW CREEK	CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALKS (ADOT SHARE)	0.4	\$ 220,000	90-91	4
60	341.4	COOLEY-SHOW LOW CREEK	CURB, GUTTER & SIDEWALKS (CITY SHARE)	0.4	\$ 125,000	90-91	4
60	343.3	JUNCTION US 60 & AIRPORT ROAD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.1	\$ 200,000	91-92	



Navajo County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
60	343.3	JUNCTION US 60 & AIRPORT ROAD	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 40,000	90-91	
60	343.9	SHOW LOW-MILE POST 348.18	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	4.3	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
73	337.9	WHITERIVER STREETS	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.1	\$ 3,200,000	90-91	
73	337.9	WHITERIVER STREETS	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 275,000	90-91	
77	343.3	SHOW LOW-SHUMWAY, UNIT II	WIDEN WITH PASSING LANES	6.1	\$ 2,950,000	91-92	
77	349.0	WHITE MOUNTAIN LAKE ROAD-SHUMWAY	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	4.0	\$ 5,000,000	90-91	
77	360.3	SNOWFLAKE STREETS & COTTONWOOD WASH BRIDGE	RECONSTRUCT, STRUCTURE & PAVE	1.4	\$ 3,100,000	91-92	
77	360.3	SNOWFLAKE STREETS & COTTONWOOD WASH BRIDGE	DESIGN (ROADWAY & STRUCTURE)	NA	\$ 220,000	90-91	
77	360.3	SNOWFLAKE STREETS AND COTTONWOOD WASH BRIDGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.4	\$ 100,000	90-91	
87	361.7	NAVAJO RESERVATION BOUNDARY-MILE POST 368	SPOT LEVEL, ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	6.3	\$ 880,000	91-92	
160	402.0	BABY ROCKS-DENNEHOTSO	OVERLAY & SEAL COAT	14.0	\$ 3,100,000	90-91	
163	393.5	JUNCTION US 160-NORTH	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	1.2	\$ 2,800,000	94-95	
260	292.0	NAVAJO COUNTY LINE-HEBER	SEAL COAT	10.7	\$ 150,000	90-91	
260	302.0	HEBER-OVERGAARD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	7.0	\$11,000,000	92-93	8
260	302.0	HEBER-OVERGAARD	PHASE II DESIGN	NA	\$ 880,000	90-91	
260	321.3	COTTONWOOD WASH-MILE POST 330.46	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	9.2	\$ 2,700,000	92-93	
260	338.4	LINDEN-JUNCTION US 60	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	1.7	\$ 2,700,000	94-95	
260	341.7	SHOW LOW SECTION	SEAL COAT	7.3	\$ 280,000	91-92	



Navajo County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
260	349.0	LAKESIDE-PINETOP	SEAL COAT	5.0	\$ 215,000	90-91	
260	351.0	SR 260 & YEAGER LANE	TRAFFIC SIGNAL	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	
260	354.0	PINETOP-MCNARY	SEAL COAT	6.5	\$ 330,000	90-91	
260	357.5	JUNCTION SR 73 AT HON DAH	REALIGN INTERSECTION	0.6	\$ 800,000	90-91	
260	357.5	JUNCTION SR 73 AT HON DAH	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 70,000	90-91	
260	385.6	GREER-EAGAR	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	1.2	\$ 20,000	91-92	
264	NA	NAVAJO & HOPI RESERVATION	FENCE & CATTLE GUARD (MATERIAL ONLY)	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	9
264	NA	NAVAJO & HOPI RESERVATION	FENCE & CATTLE GUARD (MATERIAL ONLY)	NA	\$ 100,000	91-92	9
264	359.6	COUNTY LINE-ORAIBI WASH	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	13.3	\$ 2,520,000	90-91	
264	367.0	HOTEVILLA INTERSECTION	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.2	\$ 200,000	91-92	
264	367.0	HOTEVILLA INTERSECTION	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 40,000	90-91	
264	373.9	ORAIBI WASH-JUNCTION SR 87	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	10.1	\$ 2,200,000	90-91	
264	403.0	KEAMS CANYON-STEAMBOAT	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	23.0	\$ 3,190,000	91-92	
277	312.5	JUNCTION SR 377-PULP MILL	SPOT REMOVE & REPLACE & SEAL COAT	9.5	\$ 1,700,000	92-93	

Pima County



Tucson Metro Area



Pima County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	232.4	I-10 GENERAL PLAN	GENERAL PLAN DEVELOPEMENT	NA	\$ 600,000	90-91	
10	237.0	MARANA-AVRA VALLEY	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	7.2	\$ 4,050,000	91-92	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-RUTHRAUFF ROAD, FRONTAGE ROAD	CONSTRUCT (EASTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD, BRIDGES & RAMPS	3.7	\$11,000,000	93-94	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-PRINCE ROAD	MAIN LINE WIDENING (INTERIM)	5.6	\$10,000,000	93-94	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-RUTHRAUFF ROAD, FRONTAGE ROAD	CONSTRUCT (WESTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD, BRIDGES & RAMPS	3.7	\$10,000,000	94-95	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-PRINCE ROAD	DESIGN (INTERIM MAINLINE)	NA	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
10	248.7	INA ROAD - RUTHRAUFF ROAD FRONTAGE ROAD	DESIGN (WESTBOUND FRONTAGE ROAD, BRIDGES & RAMPS)	3.7	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-RUTHRAUFF ROAD, FRONTAGE ROAD	DESIGN (EASTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD, BRIDGES & RAMPS)	3.7	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-29TH STREET	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	10.8	\$ 8,000,000	90-91	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-29TH STREET	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	10.8	\$ 7,300,000	91-92	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-29TH STREET	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	10.8	\$ 4,000,000	92-93	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-29TH TREET	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	10.8	\$ 4,000,000	93-94	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-29TH STREET	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	10.8	\$ 2,300,000	94-95	
10	248.7	INA ROAD-PRINCE ROAD	LANDSCAPE	3.7	\$ 500,000	93-94	
10	250.1	ORANGE GROVE ROAD-PRINCE ROAD	MILL, REPLACE & SEAL COAT	4.5	\$ 1,550,000	90-91	
10	252.4	RUTHRAUFF ROAD-PRINCE ROAD, FRONTAGE ROAD	CONSTRUCT (EASTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD & RAMPS	1.9	\$ 4,000,000	92-93	
10	252.4	RUTHRAUFF ROAD-PRINCE ROAD, FRONTAGE ROAD	DESIGN (EASTBOUND FRONTAGE ROAD)	NA	\$ 360,000	90-91	
10	255.2	WEST MIRACLE MILE TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	0.4	\$12,400,000	91-92	

Pima County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	255.2	WEST MIRACLE MILE-SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, FRONTAGE ROADS	CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROADS	2.1	\$10,000,000	92-93	
10	255.2	WEST MIRACLE MILE-SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, FRONTAGE ROADS	DESIGN (FRONTAGE ROADS)	NA	\$ 920,000	90-91	
10	255.2	WEST MIRACLE MILE-SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, FRONTAGE ROADS	LANDSCAPE	2.1	\$ 750,000	93-94	
10	257.3	SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD-29TH STREET, FRONTAGE ROAD	MILL & REPLACE	2.5	\$ 740,000	90-91	
10	257.7	ST MARYS ROAD-CONGRESS STREET, FRONTAGE ROAD	CONSTRUCT (WESTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD	0.6	\$ 1,800,000	91-92	
10	257.7	ST MARYS ROAD-CONGRESS STREET, FRONTAGE ROAD	LANDSCAPE	0.6	\$ 500,000	92-93	
10	258.1	CASA GRANDE-TUCSON RAILROAD CROSSING #741-328-A	RELOCATE FLASHERS & GATES	0.1	\$ 60,000	90-91	
10	260.0	JUNCTION I-19-PARK AVENUE	LANDSCAPE & IRRIGATION	2.4	\$ 1,500,000	91-92	
10	270.0	WILMONT ROAD-WEST BENSON	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	33.0	\$ 600,000	91-92	
10	273.0	RITA ROAD-HOUGHTON ROAD	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	3.4	\$ 2,500,000	90-91	
10	288.7	MARSH STATION SECTION	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURES	1.4	\$ 3,000,000	93-94	
10	288.7	MARSH STATION SECTION	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY	3.8	\$ 300,000	90-91	
10	288.7	MARSH STATION SECTION	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.4	\$ 100,000	92-93	
10B	248.0	PARK AVENUE-VALENCIA ROAD (LESS KINO)	REHABILITATE PAVEMENT WITH SELECTED TURN BAYS	4.8	\$ 2,600,000	91-92	
10B	248.0	PARK AVENUE-VALENCIA ROAD (LESS KINO)	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 110,000	90-91	
19	35.9	GREEN VALLEY (NORTHBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	1.2	\$ 750,000	93-94	
19	35.9	GREEN VALLEY (NORTHBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	1.2	\$ 150,000	92-93	
19	59.0	VALENCIA TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (JOINT FUNDED W/TUCSON)	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE (ADOT SHARE LIMITED TO 50%: \$5.0 MILLION CAP)	0.3	\$ 5,000,000	93-94	10



Pima County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
19	60.0	IRVINGTON ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	3.5	\$ 2,600,000	91-92	
86	68.0	MILE POST 68-TRACY	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE & SEAL COAT	12.0	\$ 1,700,000	92-93	
86	150.9	ROBLES JUNCTION-AVRA VALLEY ROAD	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	4.2	\$ 6,500,000	90-91	
86	150.9	ROBLES JUNCTION-AVRA VALLEY ROAD	UTILITIES	4.2	\$ 100,000	90-91	
86	167.3	KINNEY ROAD-MISSION ROAD (WESTBOUND)	OVERLAY & FRICTION COURSE	3.8	\$ 850,000	90-91	
86	170.3	JUNCTION SR 86 AT MISSION ROAD (TUCSON PROJECT)	REPLACE SIGNALS (ADOT SHARE)	NA	\$ 80,000	90-91	
89	67.8	ORACLE ROAD-STONE AVENUE	WIDENING, SIDEWALKS, CURBING	0.2	\$ 725,000	90-91	
89	74.5	US 89 & INA ROAD (JOINT PROJECT WITH PIMA COUNTY)	DUAL LEFT TURN LANES (ADOT SHARE LIMITED TO 50%: \$150 THOUSAND CAP)	0.6	\$ 150,000	90-91	14
89	74.9	INA ROAD-CANADA DEL ORO	OVERLAY & FRICTION COURSE	4.2	\$ 1,200,000	90-91	
89	ASP	CATALINA STATE PARK	CAMPGOUNDS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
210	0.0	ST MARYS ROAD-BROADWAY TRAFFIC INTECHANGE (TUCSON PROJECT)	CORRIDOR STUDY	NA	\$ 400,000	90-91	
210	1.0	AVIATION CORRIDOR	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 2,300,000	90-91	
210	1.0	AVIATION CORRIDOR	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 900,000	91-92	
210	1.0	AVIATION CORRIDOR	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 700,000	92-93	
210	1.0	AVIATION CORRIDOR	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	NA	\$ 400,000	93-94	
210	1.1	8TH STREET-GOLF LINKS	RAILROAD & UTILITIES	5.0	\$ 2,250,000	90-91	
210	1.1	RAILROAD WASH CULVERT	CONSTRUCT BOX CULVERT & CHANNEL	0.1	\$ 750,000	90-91	
210	1.1	BROADWAY TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	GRADE, DRAIN, PAVE, STRUCTURES & SEWER	0.2	\$11,250,000	90-91	

Pima County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
210	1.1	8TH STREET-GOLF LINKS	RAILROAD & UTILITIES	5.0	\$ 750,000	91-92	
210	1.2	BROADWAY BOULEVARD-PARK AVENUE	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	0.8	\$ 500,000	93-94	
210	1.2	BROADWAY BOULEVARD-PARK AVENUE	MID RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.7	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
210	1.2	BROADWAY BOULEVARD-PARK AVENUE	MID RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.7	\$ 4,250,000	90-91	
210	1.3	EUCLID/PARK SECTION	GRADE, DRAIN, PAVE & STRUCTURES	0.5	\$17,000,000	90-91	
210	1.6	PARK AVENUE-RAILROAD WASH	LANDSCAPE	0.9	\$ 750,000	93-94	
210	1.7	KINO BOULEVARD & EAST SECTIONS	GRADE, DRAIN, PAVE & STRUCTURES	0.8	\$25,500,000	91-92	
210	2.0	PARK AVENUE-GOLF LINKS	SOUTHEAST RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.1	\$ 7,000,000	90-91	
210	2.0	PARK AVENUE-GOLF LINKS	SOUTHEAST RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.1	\$ 2,750,000	91-92	
210	2.5	RAILROAD WASH-31ST STREET	CONSTRUCT STORM DRAIN	1.5	\$ 2,750,000	90-91	
210	2.5	RAILROAD WASH-EASTMORE PARK	CONSTRUCT LANDSCAPE	1.0	\$ 500,000	93-94	
210	2.7	YARD OFFICE & PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
210	3.4	EASTMORE PARK-GOLF LINKS	LANDSCAPE	1.5	\$ 500,000	93-94	
210	3.6	31ST STREET-GOLF LINKS ROAD	CONSTRUCT STORM DRAIN	1.0	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
286	12.0	BAILEY WASH-MILE POST 30	SPOT LEVEL, SEAL COAT	18.0	\$ 640,000	91-92	
286	30.0	MILE POST 30-JUNCTION SR 86	SEAL COAT	15.2	\$ 435,000	90-91	
989	35.0	TANGERINE ROAD (1ST AVENUE-US 89)	CONSTRUCT, STRUCTURES & PAVE	1.0	\$ 8,300,000	90-91	

Pinal County



Pinal County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
10	181.8	SACATON REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,500,000	90-91	
10	181.8	SACATON REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 180,000	90-91	
10	181.8	SACATON REST AREA	CONSTRUCT INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
10	200.1	SUNLAND GIN ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT	0.2	\$ 3,000,000	90-91	
10	200.1	SUNLAND GIN ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.2	\$ 1,000,000	90-91	
10	226.0	REDROCK-MARANA (WESTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD	SPOT LEVEL, SEAL COAT (WESTBOUND) FRONTAGE ROAD	10.0	\$ 450,000	91-92	
10	231.9	PINAL AIR PARK-MARANA	REMOVE, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	5.1	\$ 3,000,000	92-93	
10	ASP	PICACHO PEAK STATE PARK	CAMPGROUNDS & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
60	194.0	MERIDIAN ROAD-ROYAL PALM ROAD	MEDIAN, OUTSIDE CURB & SIDEWALKS	2.0	\$ 150,000	90-91	
60	194.4	DELAWARE DRIVE	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT & SIGNALS	0.2	\$ 50,000	90-91	
60	196.2	WINCHESTER ROAD	MEDIAN IMPROVEMENT	0.2	\$ 150,000	90-91	
60	196.5	ROYAL PALM BOULEVARD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT (ADOT SHARE)	0.2	\$ 300,000	90-91	
60	198.1	SR 88-SR 360 (EAST BOUND)	MILL & REPLACE	2.4	\$ 800,000	90-91	
60	198.1	STARR ROAD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.2	\$ 250,000	90-91	
60	199.3	JUNCTION SR 360-JUNCTION US 89	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	12.9	\$ 500,000	90-91	
60	214.3	EAST FLORENCE JUNCTION RAILROAD CROSSING #853-084-D	CANTILEVERS, FLASHERS, GATES, PLANKING & ROAD WORK	0.1	\$ 445,000	90-91	
60	223.5	QUEEN CREEK-JUNCTION SR 177	MILL & REPLACE	2.7	\$ 650,000	91-92	
60	ASP	BOYCE THOMPSON STATE PARK	PARKING AREA & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3


Pinal County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
60	ASP	LOST DUTCHMAN STATE PARK	GROUP USE & RESIDENCE ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	
77	104.7	OLD 77-MAMMOTH	MILL, REPLACE & SEAL COAT	8.9	\$ 2,150,000	91-92	
77	ASP	ORACLE STATE PARK	ENTRY & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
84	175.9	BURRIS ROAD-CASA GRANDE	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	1.8	\$ 3,600,000	90-91	
84	182.9	SR 84 AT I-10	REMOVE CURB MEDIAN & INSTALL GUARDRAIL	0.5	\$ 140,000	90-91	
84	191.6	ELOY STREETS	REMOVE, REPLACE & SEAL COAT	1.9	\$ 475,000	92-93	
87	128.6	JUNCTION SR 287 & RANDOLPH ROAD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.5	\$ 240,000	90-91	
87	128.6	JUNCTION SR 287 & RANDOLPH ROAD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT (PRIVATE)	0.5	\$ 90,000	90-91	
87	136.7	SKOUSAN ROAD	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.2	\$ 300,000	91-92	
87	136.7	SKOUSAN ROAD	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 60,000	90-91	
88	195.7	SR 360-SCENIC DRIVE (IDAHO ROAD)	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.1	\$ 4,750,000	90-91	
89	87.8	PINAL COUNTY LINE-ORACLE JUNCTION	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.3	\$ 6,000,000	90-91	
89	87.8	PINAL COUNTY LINE-ORACLE JUNCTION	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.3	\$ 100,000	90-91	
89	91.1	ORACLE JUNCTION NORTH	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	5.0	\$ 80,000	91-92	
89	133.0	TOWN OF FLORENCE	LANDSCAPE	0.5	\$ 0	90-91	24
89	136.3	WEST FLORENCE RAIL ROAD CROSSING #742-407-P	FLASHERS, GATES & RUBBER PLANKING	0.1	\$ 130,000	90-91	
177	138.8	HAYDEN RAILROAD CROSSING #742-390-N	FLASHERS & GATES	0.1	\$ 175,000	90-91	
177	157.5	RAY MINE-SUPERIOR	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	7.1	\$ 1,165,000	91-92	

Pinal County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
238	41.5	VEKOL WASH AREA	PAVE, CONCRETE BOX CULVERTS	1.0	\$ 1,700,000	90-91	
287	111.7	JUNCTION SR 84-PUEBLO STREET	MILL, REPLACE & SEAL COAT	1.2	\$ 250,000	91-92	
287	134.6	COOLIDGE SUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD OVERPASS #188	WIDEN STRUCTURE	0.6	\$ 3,000,000	94-95	
287	134.6	COOLIDGE SUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD OVERPASS #188	DESIGN (STRUCTURE)	NA	\$ 225,000	92-93	
287	134.6	COOLIDGE SUTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD OVERPASS #188	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.6	\$ 100,000	93-94	
347	0.0	MARICOPA ROAD, (FEDERAL SECONDARY FUNDS ONLY) (PINAL COUNTY PROJECT)	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	NA	\$ 7,500,000	90-91	17
360	19.5	ELLSWORTH ROAD-IRONWOOD ROAD	LANDSCAPE & EROSION CONTROL	4.0	\$ 2,900,000	92-93	
360	23.5	IRONWOOD DRIVE-JUNCTION US 60	LANDSCAPE & EROSION CONTROL	3.5	\$ 2,500,000	91-92	
387	2.5	CASA GRANDE-JUNCTION I-10	MILL, REPLACE & SEAL COAT	3.8	\$ 1,650,000	90-91	
387	2.8	CITY OF CASA GRANDE	LANDSCAPE	3.5	\$ 0	90-91	24



Santa Cruz County



Santa Cruz County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
19	0.0	NOGALES-TUCSON	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	60.0	\$ 1,600,000	93-94	
19	32.9	CANOA RANCH REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 95,000	90-91	
19	ASP	TUBAC STATE PARK	PARKING AREA	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3
89	1.0	CITY OF NOGALES	TRAFFIC SIGNAL COORDINATION	NA	\$ 140,000	90-91	
19	32.9	CANOA RANCH REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 180,000	91-92	
289	2.9	FOREST BOUNDARY-PENA BLANCA LAKE ROAD	SPOT LEVEL & SEAL COAT	7.9	\$ 300,000	91-92	
289	2.9	FOREST BOUNDARY-PENA BLANCA LAKE ROAD	DRAINAGE STUDY	7.9	\$ 150,000	91-92	

Yavapai County




Yavapai County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
17	245.0	BLACK CANYON-SUNSET POINT (SOUTHBOUND)	MILL, REPLACE, FRICTION COURSE & ROCK SCALING (SOUTHBOUND)	4.8	\$ 2,200,000	90-91	
17	251.7	SUNSET POINT REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 700,000	91-92	
17	251.7	SUNSET POINT REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 85,000	90-91	
17	251.7	SUNSET POINT REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 30,000	90-91	
17	296.9	MCGUIREVILLE REST AREA	RECONSTRUCT FACILITIES & PARKING	NA	\$ 4,000,000	90-91	
17	296.9	MCGUIREVILLE REST AREA	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 200,000	90-91	
40	123.4	SELIGMAN-PINEVETA (EASTBOUND), UNIT II	MILL, REPLACE & FRICTION COURSE	8.6	\$ 5,200,000	90-91	
69	263.0	CORDES JUNCTION-MAYER	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	6.6	\$12,100,000	90-91	
69	263.0	CORDES JUNCTION-MAYER	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY, PHASE II	NA	\$ 235,000	90-91	
69	263.0	CORDES JUNCTION-MAYER	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	6.6	\$ 200,000	90-91	
69	269.6	MAYER-POLAND JUNCTION	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	4.7	\$ 200,000	93-94	
69	269.6	MAYER-POLAND JUNCTION	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	4.7	\$ 8,000,000	94-95	
69	269.6	MAYER-POLAND JUNCTION	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	4.7	\$ 420,000	92-93	
69	278.6	HUMBOLDT-JUNCTION SR 169	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.2	\$ 4,300,000	91-92	
69	278.6	HUMBOLDT-JUNCTION SR 169	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.2	\$ 130,000	90-91	
89	252.6	WICKENBURG-JUNCTION SR 93	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE	5.4	\$ 650,000	92-93	
89A	348.0	TOWN OF CLARKDALE	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	24
89A	355.0	COTTONWOOD-SEDONA	CONCEPT DESIGN STUDY	19.0	\$ 500,000	90-91	

Yavapai County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
89A	355.0	COTTONWOOD-NORTH	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.8	\$ 100,000	92-93	
89A	355.3	COTTONWOOD-NORTH	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 260,000	90-91	
89A	367.5	DRY CREEK-SEDONA	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	3.3	\$ 4,500,000	93-94	
89A	367.5	DRY CREEK-SEDONA	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	3.3	\$ 260,000	92-93	
89A	ASP	DEAD HORSE RANCH STATE PARK	CAMPGROUNDS, ENTRY & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	
89A	ASP	RED ROCK STATE PARK	UPPER LOOP ROAD AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	
93	161.0	SANTA MARIA RIVER	REST ROOMS & PARKING FACILITY	NA	\$ 0	90-91	
93	174.2	DATE CREEK BRIDGE # 413	REPLACE STRUCTURE	0.3	\$ 1,500,000	91-92	
97	163.0	SR 93-BAGDAD	RIGHT-OF-WAY FENCING	4.0	\$ 50,000	90-91	
179	305.7	VILLAGE OF OAK CREEK-NORTH	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	6.3	\$ 5,500,000	92-93	
179	305.7	VILLAGE OF OAK CREEK-NORTH	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	6.3	\$ 100,000	91-92	
260	206.4	US 89A-FOREST BOUNDARY	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	2.7	\$ 6,200,000	94-95	
260	206.4	US 89A-FOREST BOUNDARY	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 425,000	92-93	
260	206.4	US 89A-FOREST BOUNDARY	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	2.7	\$ 230,000	93-94	
260	221.3	MAIN STREET-FINNIE FLAT-MONTEZUMA CASTLE	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.1	\$ 230,000	91-92	
260	221.3	MAIN STREET-FINNIE FLAT-MONTEZUMA CASTLE	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
260	234.4	HISTORICAL SITE TURNOUT	SLIDE REPAIR	0.1	\$ 300,000	91-92	
260	ASP	FORT VERDE STATE PARK	ENTRY & INTERIOR ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	3

Yuma County



Yuma County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
8	0.4	GISS PARKWAY	REVISE DRAINAGE SYSTEM	0.1	\$ 500,000	93-94	
8	0.4	GISS PARKWAY	DESIGN (DRAINAGE)	NA	\$ 40,000	91-92	
8	12.2	FORTUNA ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RECONSTRUCT TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	0.4	\$ 7,000,000	94-95	
8	12.2	FORTUNA ROAD TRAFFIC INTERCHANGE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.4	\$ 250,000	93-94	
8	55.9	MOHAWK REST AREA	REHABILITATE REST AREA	NA	\$ 1,200,000	94-95	
8	55.9	MOHAWK REST AREA	DESIGN (REHABILITATION), PHASE II	NA	\$ 150,000	91-92	
8	55.9	MOHAWK REST AREA	INFORMATION BOARDS/MAPS/SHELTER	NA	\$ 150,000	90-91	
8	66.0	DATELAND-PINAL COUNTY LINE	SIGN REHABILITATION & UPDATE	81.0	\$ 840,000	92-93	
8B	ASP	QUARTERMASTER DEPOT STATE PARK	PARKING AREA & ROADS	NA	\$ 0	90-91	
95	0.5	CITY OF SAN LUIS	REST ROOMS & PARKING FACILITY	NA	\$ 0	90-91	
95	1.0	CITY OF SAN LUIS	LANDSCAPE	0.1	\$ 0	90-91	
95	4.2	COUNTY 19TH STREET	RECONSTRUCT INTERSECTION	0.6	\$ 925,000	92-93	
95	4.2	COUNTY 19TH STREET	DESIGN (INTERSECTION)	NA	\$ 75,000	90-91	
95	8.9	SOMERTON-YUMA	MILL, REPLACE, FRICTION COURSE & INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	11.1	\$ 2,650,000	90-91	
95	11.0	CITY OF SOMERTON	LANDSCAPE		\$ 0	90-91	
95	22.0	CITY OF YUMA	SIGNAL COORDINATION	1.8	\$ 100,000	90-91	
95	24.0	ARIZONA AVENUE-HOTEL LANE, TSM	RECONSTRUCT & PAVE	0.8	\$ 1,860,000	91-92	
95	24.0	ARIZONA AVENUE-HOTEL LANE, TSM	DESIGN (ROADWAY)	NA	\$ 130,000	90-91	

Yuma County

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
95	24.0	ARIZONA AVENUE-HOTEL LANE, TSM	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	0.8	\$ 200,000	90-91	
95	47.4	YUMA PROVING GROUNDS	INTERSECTION TURN LANES	0.5	\$ 100,000	91-92	
280	3.9	I-8/AVENUE 3E	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT	0.1	\$ 200,000	90-91	

Statewide

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	902.5	DISTRICT 1	CULVERT HEADWALL SAFETY TREATMENT	NA	\$ 25,000	90-91	
999	902.6	DISTRICT 2	CULVERT HEADWALL SAFETY TREATMENT	NA	\$ 375,000	90-91	
999	902.7	DISTRICT 3	CULVERT HEADWALL SAFETY TREATMENT	NA	\$ 350,000	90-91	
999	902.8	DISTRICT 4	CULVERT HEADWALL SAFETY TREATMENT	NA	\$ 200,000	90-91	
999	910.0	STATEWIDE	CONSTRUCTION PREPARATION	NA	\$20,300,000	90-91	
999	910.4	STATEWIDE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 8,000,000	90-91	
999	910.6	RESEARCH & TRAINING	CONTRACT RESEARCH PROJECTS	NA	\$ 1,860,000	90-91	
999	910.7	TRAFFIC ENGINEERING	TRAFFIC SIGNALS & RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS	NA	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
999	910.8	BRIDGE PRESERVATION	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,500,000	90-91	
999	910.9	EMERGENCY PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 800,000	90-91	
999	910.9	NON-INTERSTATE LANDSCAPE PROGRAM	JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS	NA	\$ 300,000	90-91	
999	911.0	SPECIAL SIGNS	SCENIC, HISTORIC & TOURIST SIGNS	NA	\$ 100,000	90-91	
999	911.1	GENERAL HIGHWAY MAPPING	DIGITAL MAPPING & GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	
999	911.2	STATEWIDE	ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDIES	NA	\$ 1,800,000	90-91	
999	911.3	STATEWIDE, PASSING LANE	SITE SELECTION & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	90-91	
999	911.4	CONTINGENCY	PROGRAM COST ADJUSTMENTS	NA	\$ 5,000,000	90-91	
999	911.5	STATEWIDE	GUARDRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	NA	\$ 900,000	90-91	
999	911.6	MOTOR VEHICLE DIVISION	HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM	NA	\$ 50,000	90-91	

Statewide

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	911.7	MOTOR VEHICLE DIVISION	PORT OF ENTRY SCALES	NA	\$ 285,000	90-91	
999	911.8	STATEWIDE	NON-INTERSTATE REST AREAS	NA	\$ 250,000	90-91	
999	ASP	STATE PARKS	DESIGN, RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITIES, CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 5,125,000	90-91	
999	920.1	STATEWIDE	CONSTRUCTION PREPARATION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	91-92	
999	920.2	STATEWIDE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
999	920.3	RESEARCH & TRAINING	CONTRACT RESEARCH PROJECTS	NA	\$ 2,205,000	91-92	
999	920.4	TRAFFIC ENGINEERING	TRAFFIC SIGNALS & RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS	NA	\$ 2,000,000	91-92	
999	920.5	BRIDGE PRESERVATION	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,500,000	91-92	
999	920.6	EMERGENCY PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 800,000	91-92	
999	920.7	SPECIAL SIGNS	SCENIC, HISTORIC, TOURIST SIGNS	NA	\$ 100,000	91-92	
999	920.8	STATE PARKS	DESIGN, RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITIES & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 5,000,000	91-92	
999	920.9	NON-INTERSTATE LANDSCAPE PROGRAM	JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS	NA	\$ 300,000	91-92	
999	921.0	GENERAL HIGHWAY MAPPING	DIGITAL MAPPING & GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	NA	\$ 50,000	91-92	
999	921.2	STATEWIDE, PASSING LANE	SITE SELECTION & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	91-92	
999	921.3	CONTINGENCY	PROGRAM COST ADJUSTMENTS	NA	\$ 5,000,000	91-92	
999	921.4	STATEWIDE	GUARDRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	NA	\$ 1,000,000	91-92	
999	921.5	STATEWIDE	ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDIES	NA	\$ 950,000	91-92	
999	921.6	STATEWIDE	NON-INTERSTATE REST AREAS	NA	\$ 250,000	91-92	
999	930.1	STATEWIDE	CONSTRUCTION PREPARATION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	

Statewide

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	930.2	STATEWIDE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
999	930.3	RESEARCH & TRAINING	CONTRACT RESEARCH PROJECTS	NA	\$ 2,205,000	92-93	
999	930.4	TRAFFIC ENGINEERING	TRAFFIC SIGNALS & RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS	NA	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
999	930.5	BRIDGE PRESERVATION	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,500,000	92-93	
999	930.6	EMERGENCY PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 800,000	92-93	
999	930.7	SPECIAL SIGNS	SCENIC, HISTORIC & TOURIST SIGNS	NA	\$ 100,000	92-93	
999	930.8	STATE PARKS	DESIGN, RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITIES & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 5,000,000	92-93	
999	930.9	NON-INTERSTATE LANDSCAPING PROGRAM	JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS	NA	\$ 300,000	92-93	
999	931.0	GENERAL HIGHWAY MAPPING	DIGITAL MAPPING & GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	NA	\$ 50,000	92-93	
999	931.1	STATEWIDE	ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDIES	NA	\$ 450,000	92-93	
999	931.2	STATEWIDE, PASSING LANE	SITE SELECTION & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
999	931.3	CONTINGENCY	PROGRAM COST ADJUSTMENTS	NA	\$ 5,000,000	92-93	
999	931.4	STATEWIDE	GUARDRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	NA	\$ 1,000,000	92-93	
999	931.5	STATEWIDE	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (TSM)	NA	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
999	931.6	MINOR PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,950,000	92-93	
999	931.7	TITLE II SAFETY	HAZARD ELIMINATION SAFETY	NA	\$ 2,000,000	92-93	
999	931.9	MOTOR VEHICLE DIVISION	HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM	NA	\$ 50,000	92-93	
999	932.0	STATEWIDE	NON-INTERSTATE REST AREAS	NA	\$ 250,000	92-93	

Statewide

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	940.1	STATEWIDE	CONSTRUCTION PREPARATION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
999	940.2	STATEWIDE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
999	940.3	RESEARCH & TRAINING	CONTRACT RESEARCH PROJECTS	NA	\$ 2,540,000	93-94	
999	940.4	TRAFFIC ENGINEERING	TRAFFIC SIGNALS & RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS	NA	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
999	940.5	BRIDGE PRESERVATION	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,500,000	93-94	
999	940.6	EMERGENCY PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 800,000	93-94	
999	940.7	SPECIAL SIGNS	SCENIC, HISTORIC & TOURIST SIGNS	NA	\$ 100,000	93-94	
999	940.8	STATE PARKS	DESIGN, RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITIES & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 5,000,000	93-94	
999	940.9	NON-INTERSTATE LANDSCAPING PROGRAM	JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS	NA	\$ 300,000	93-94	
999	941.0	GENERAL HIGHWAY MAPPING	DIGITAL MAPPING & GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	NA	\$ 50,000	93-94	
999	941.1	STATEWIDE	ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDIES	NA	\$ 500,000	93-94	
999	941.2	STATEWIDE, PASSING LANE	SITE SELECTION & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
999	941.3	CONTINGENCY	PROGRAM COST ADJUSTMENTS	NA	\$ 5,000,000	93-94	
999	941.4	STATEWIDE	GUARDRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	NA	\$ 1,000,000	93-94	
999	941.5	STATEWIDE	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (TSM)	NA	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
999	941.6	MINOR PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,950,000	93-94	
999	941.7	TITLE II SAFETY	HAZARD ELIMINATION SAFETY	NA	\$ 2,000,000	93-94	
999	941.8	PAVEMENT PRESERVATION	RESURFACE & SEAL COAT	NA	\$68,000,000	93-94	

Statewide

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	941.9	MOTOR VEHICLE DIVISION	HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM	NA	\$ 50,000	93-94	
999	942.0	STATEWIDE	NON-INTERSTATE REST AREAS	NA	\$ 250,000	93-94	
999	950.1	STATEWIDE	CONSTRUCTION PREPARATION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
999	950.2	STATEWIDE	RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	NA	\$ 1,000,000	94-95	
999	950.3	RESEARCH & TRAINING	CONTRACT RESEARCH PROJECTS	NA	\$ 2,540,000	94-95	
999	950.4	TRAFFIC ENGINEERING	TRAFFIC SIGNALS & RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
999	950.5	BRIDGE PRESERVATION	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,500,000	94-95	
999	950.6	EMERGENCY PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 800,000	94-95	
999	950.7	SPECIAL SIGNS	SCENIC, HISTORIC & TOURIST SIGNS	NA	\$ 100,000	94-95	
999	950.8	STATE PARKS	DESIGN, RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITIES & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 5,000,000	94-95	
999	950.9	NON-INTERSTATE LANDSCAPING PROGRAM	JOINT FUNDED PROJECTS	NA	\$ 300,000	94-95	
999	951.0	GENERAL HIGHWAY MAPPING	DIGITAL MAPPING & GEOLOGICAL SURVEY	NA	\$ 50,000	94-95	
999	951.1	STATEWIDE	ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDIES	NA	\$ 450,000	94-95	
999	951.2	STATEWIDE, PASSING LANE	SITE SELECTION & CONSTRUCTION	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
999	951.3	CONTINGENCY	PROGRAM COST ADJUSTMENTS	NA	\$ 5,000,000	94-95	
999	951.4	STATEWIDE	GUARDRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	NA	\$ 1,000,000	94-95	
999	951.5	STATEWIDE	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (TSM)	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
999	951.6	MINOR PROJECTS	CONTRACT REPAIR	NA	\$ 1,950,000	94-95	


Statewide

SR NUMBER	BEGIN MP	PROJECT LOCATION	TYPE OF WORK	LENGTH	PROGRAM BUDGET	FY PROGRAM	FOOT NOTE
999	951.7	TITLE II SAFETY	HAZARD ELIMINATION SAFETY	NA	\$ 2,000,000	94-95	
999	951.8	PAVEMENT PRESERVATION	RESURFACE & SEAL COAT	NA	\$68,000,000	94-95	
999	951.9	MOTOR VEHICLE DIVISION	HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM	NA	\$ 50,000	94-95	
999	952.0	STATEWIDE	NON-INTERSTATE REST AREAS	NA	\$ 250,000	94-95	


Footnotes

- 1 Fiscal Year 1996 project - candidate for advancement if additional funding becomes available.
- 2 Fiscal Year 1997 project - candidate for advancement if additional funding becomes available
- 3 State Parks project subject to design and funding availability. Unexpended funds carry over up to a maximum of \$5 million. In the event funds are expended in a fiscal year, an advance of \$2.5 million of next year's funds are available.
- 4 Local jurisdiction funding contribution to construction project.
- 5 Project subject to private participation.
- 6 Project subject to local participation.
- 7 Project location subject to outcome of environmental study.
- 8 Project construction subject to right-of-way dedication.
- 9 Joint project with Navajo tribe.
- 10 ADOT's participation limited to 50% of construction cost or \$5 million, whichever is less.
- 11 ADOT share of construction cost, City of Phoenix design and construction.
- 12 Project construction subject to route turn-back agreement with local governments.
- 13 ADOT share of construction cost on US 60, City of Mesa design and construction.
- 14 ADOT's participation limited to 50% of construction cost or \$150,000, whichever is less.
- 15 ADOT's participation limited to 50% of construction cost or \$500,000, whichever is less.
- 16 Project subject to intergovernmental agreement.
- 17 Pinal County project with ADOT participation.
- 18 ADOT's participation limited to 50% of construction cost or \$3 million, whichever is less and turn-back of Business Route 40 in the City of Flagstaff.
- 19 Subject to City of Safford participation in relocating lights in median.
- 20 Subject to maintenance agreement with local jurisdiction.
- 21 Subject to joint funding and maintenance agreement with local governments.
- 22 Subject to joint funding and maintenance agreement with local governments or transfer of SR 260 spur.
- 23 Subject to jurisdictional transfer.
- 24 Project subject to intergovernmental agreement and/or funding availability.
- 25 ADOT share of SR 87 work to be performed by the City of Mesa. Agreement to be developed to further define amount and terms of ADOT participation. Route to be transferred to City of Mesa.

Primary Airport System



Secondary Airport System



AIRPORT OWNERSHIP

- PUBLIC
- PRIVATE
- ◉ INDIAN

Secondary System definition: All public use facilities not in the State Primary System

Aeronautics Div.6/4/90

Fiscal Year 1990 - 91

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
		COMMERCIAL SERVICE/ RELIEVER AIRPORT PROJECTS				
Bullhead Laughlin	Mohave	Surface Rwy 17/35, Parallel Txwy (Pave Pres); Utilities; Land Acquisition; Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 16/34. Txwy & Apron	432,000	250,640	4,644,060	5,326,700
Chandler	Maricopa	Surface Main Rwy 4L/22R (Pave Pres) (Ph I); Land Acquisition	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Flagstaff-Pulliam	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy Ext & Width; Auto Parking; Fencing; Terminal	432,000	141,805	2,149,800	2,723,605
Glendale	Maricopa	Surface Rwy, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres); NDB; Helistop (Downtown)	207,000	23,000	0	230,000
Grand Canyon	Coconino	Grade and Drain Apron; Grade, Drain & Surface Access Rd & Auto Parking; Utilities; Terminal (PhII)*	2,604,600	0	1,277,000	3,881,600
Kingman	Mohave	Surface Apron, Access Rd (Pave Pres); NAVAIDS	299,700	33,300	0	333,000
Lake Havasu City	Mohave	Grade, Drain and Surface Rwy Ext; NDB; Terminal	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Mesa Falcon Field	Maricopa	Surface Rwy 4L/22R, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres); Security Lighting; Fencing	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Page	Coconino	Surface Rwy 15/33, Parallel Txwy (Pave Pres)	135,000	15,000	0	150,000
Phoenix-Deer Valley	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 7L/25R Ext; EA	432,000	194,066	3,347,518	3,973,584
Phoenix-Goodyear	Maricopa	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Apron & Txwy	323,490	103,490	1,548,020	1,975,000
Phoenix-Sky Harbor	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	432,000	206,933	3,642,400	4,281,333
Phoenix-Love Field	Yavapai	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 3L/21R (Phase II) and Related Items; Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy; Fencing; Land Acquisition	432,000	204,152	3,578,658	4,214,810
Scottsdale	Maricopa	Surface Rwy 3/21 & Txwys (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Txwys; NAVAIDS; Fencing; Drainage Improvements	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Sierra Vista	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Access Rd; Hydrology Study; Terminal Expansion	18,997	18,997	387,005	424,999
Tucson Intn'l	Pima	Surface Rwy 11L/29R; Surface Txwys, Access Rd & Aprons (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Land Acquisition	432,000	639,907	13,565,208	14,637,115
Tucson Ryan Field	Pima	Security Upgrade; EA Land Acquisition; Surface Txwys, Aprons (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy	432,000	111,176	1,447,854	1,991,030
Yuma Intn'l	Yuma	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Obstruction Removal; Signage; Lighting	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
		Commercial Service/Reliever Sub-Total	\$8,772,787	\$2,182,467	\$35,587,523	\$46,542,777

*Includes local share and reflects Grand Canyon Airport revenues

Fiscal Year 1990 - 91

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
PUBLIC AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Avra Valley	Pima	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Drainage Improvements; NDB	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Benson	Cochise	Land Acquisition; EA	40,230	40,230	819,540	900,000
Bisbee	Cochise	NDB; GVGI	90,000	10,000	0	100,000
Buckeye	Maricopa	Land Acquisition; Grade, Drain & Surface Txyw; Fencing; NAVAIDS	58,110	58,110	1,183,780	1,300,000
Casa Grande	Pinal	Grade, Drain & Surface Txyws, Access Rd; Install Tie-Downs; Fencing; Utilities	24,540	24,540	499,919	548,999
Cochise College	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Ext, Txyw Width; Surface Txyw (Pave Pres); GVGI	234,900	26,100	0	261,000
Colorado City	Mohave	Land Acquisition; Grade Drain & Surface Rwy 2/20	432,000	70,588	517,676	1,020,264
Coolidge	Pinal	MIRL; MITL; Guidance Signs; Wind Cone	171,000	19,000	0	190,000
Douglas Municipal	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Txyw; MITL	270,000	30,000	0	300,000
Globe	Gila	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Beacon; Master Plan	7,286	7,286	148,428	163,000
Greenlee County	Greenlee	Master Plan; MIRL	144,000	16,000	0	160,000
Nogales Intn'l	Santa Cruz	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Land Acquisition; Access Rd	34,419	34,419	701,162	770,000
Payson	Gila	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Ext, Txyw Width & Ext; MIRL; MITL; Lighting; NDB; EA	323,910	35,990	0	359,900
Safford	Graham	MITL; Grade, Drain & Surface Txyws 12/30 Ext and Rwy 8/26 Connector	17,209	17,209	350,581	384,999
Springerville	Apache	Land Acquisition; Grade, Drain & Surface Main Rwy Ext 3/21	12,272	12,272	250,000	274,544
St. Johns	Apache	Grade, Drain & Surface Txyw; GVGI; NDB; REILS; MITL	11,585	11,585	236,000	259,170
Taylor	Navajo	Land Acquisition; Structural Upgrade-Rwy; Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Width; Surface Rwy (Pave Pres)	33,257	33,257	677,486	744,000
Wickenburg	Maricopa	Surface Main Rwy 5/23, Txyw & Apron (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Txyw, Apron	300,899	33,433	0	334,332
Williams	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Txyw	17,880	17,880	364,240	400,000
Winslow	Navajo	Surface Rwy 11/29 (Pave Pres) Distance Remaining Signs; Surface Exit Txyws & Parallel Txyw to Rwy 4/22 (Pave Pres)	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Public Sub-Total			\$3,087,497	\$593,900	\$5,748,812	\$9,430,209
SPECIAL PROJECTS						
Secondary Airports			265,000	13,947	0	278,947
FAA Match Set Aside			663,655	340,406	6,111,409	7,115,470
Contingencies			130,000	14,444	0	144,444
SASP and Master Plans			395,000	53,488	220,000	668,488
Pavement Management System			130,000	14,444	0	144,444
Set Aside Sub-Total			\$1,583,655	436,730	6,331,409	8,351,794
Total 1990-1991			\$13,443,939	\$3,213,098	\$47,667,744	\$64,324,781

Fiscal Year 1991 - 92

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
COMMERCIAL SERVICE/ RELIEVER AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Bullhead Laughlin	Mohave	Grade, Drain and Surface Rwy 16/34, Txwys & Apron; MIRL; MITL; REIL; GVGI; Guidance Signs; Land Acquisition	441,000	455,869	9,324,544	10,221,413
Chandler	Maricopa	Surface Main Rwy and Txwy Ext (Pave Pres) (Ph II)	441,000	49,000	0	490,000
Flagstaff-Pulliam	Coconino	Surface Apron (Pave Pres) (Commercial Service Apron)	441,000	49,000	0	490,000
Glendale	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	441,000	49,000	0	490,000
Grand Canyon	Coconino	Terminal (Ph III); Surface Apron; Grade, Drain & Surface Access Rd Auto Parking; Utilities	441,000	0	1,390,000	1,831,000
Kingman	Mohave	Surface Rwy 17/35 & Txwy; Guidance Signs	112,500	112,500	0	125,000
Lake Havasu City	Mohave	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy Ext & Structural Upgrade; Rwy Structural Upgrade; MITL	441,000	104,627	1,274,840	1,820,467
Mesa-Falcon Field	Maricopa	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Security Lighting	441,000	49,000	0	490,000
Phoenix-Deer Valley	Maricopa	Land Acquisition; Utilities	441,000	580,340	11,740,365	12,761,705
Phoenix-Goodyear	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 3L/21R, Parallel Txwy & HS Turnoff	441,000	116,547	1,548,020	2,105,567
Phoenix-Sky Harbor	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	441,000	207,933	3,642,400	4,291,333
Prescott-Love Field	Yavapai	Structural Upgrade Apron; Fencing; Access Rd; Land Acquisition; Security Lighting; Utilities	441,000	109,597	1,388,743	1,939,340
Scottsdale	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy; GVGI; Relocate Beacon; MITL	441,000	54,364	122,931	618,295
Sedona	Yavapai	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	441,000	49,000	0	490,000
Sierra Vista	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy 3 Ext; Drainage Improvements; Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	201,456	41,456	437,088	680,000
Tucson Intn'l	Pima	Land Acquisition; Surface Txwys, Access Rd & Aprons (Pave Pres); Security Upgrade; EA; Security Fencing; Surface Roadway (Pave Pres)	441,000	404,693	6,151,691	6,997,384
Tucson Ryan Field	Pima	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 6L/24R, Txwy; Obstruction Removal; Land Acquisition	441,000	115,315	1,519,791	2,076,106
Yuma Intn'l	Yuma	Relocate FBO Building	33,525	33,525	682,950	750,000
Commercial Service Reliever Sub-Total			\$6,962,481	\$2,481,765	\$41,223,363	\$50,667,609

Fiscal Year 1991 - 92

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
PUBLIC AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Ajo	Pima	Surface Main Rwy 17/35, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres); Drainage Improvements	208,800	23,200	0	232,000
Avra Valley	Pima	Surface Rwy 12/30 (Pave Pres); Drainage Improvements; MITL Rwy 12/30	432,000	48,000	0	480,000
Benson	Cochise	Design for Rwy, Txwy	135,000	15,000	0	150,000
Bisbee	Cochise	Land Acquisition	671	671	13,659	15,001
Bisbee-Douglas Int'l	Cochise	MITL (Rwy 17/35)	99,000	11,000	0	110,000
Buckeye	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 17/35 Ext & Txwy Ext; MIRL; MITL	44,700	44,700	910,600	1,000,000
Casa Grande	Pinal	Surface Rwy 5/23, and Apron (Pave Pres)	161,595	17,955	0	179,550
Cochise County	Cochise	Grade & Drain Rwy 13/31	9,000	1,000	0	10,000
Colorado City	Mohave	Land Acquisition for Rwy 11/29	7,219	7,219	147,062	161,500
Coolidge	Pinal	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy	58,500	6,500	0	65,000
Cottonwood	Yavapai	Master Plan; EA	1,788	1,788	36,424	40,000
Gila Bend	Maricopa	Surface Main Rwy 4/22, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres)	104,800	11,644	0	116,444
Globe	Gila	Surface Main Rwy 9/27, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres); Txwy Delineators; Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; NDB	61,286	13,278	148,284	222,812
Greenlee County	Greenlee	Surface Main Rwy 7/25, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres)	36,000	4,000	0	40,000
Holbrook	Navajo	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Auto Parking; Txwy Delineators	21,870	2,430	0	24,300
Nogales Intn'l	Santa Cruz	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy & Txwy Ext; Fencing	54,093	54,093	1,101,940	1,210,126
Payson	Gila	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Width, Apron; Surface Rwy, Apron (Pave Pres); Security Fencing; Lighting; Utilities; Terminal Site Prep	30,577	30,577	622,892	684,046
Safford	Graham	Surface Txwy, Apron (Pave Pres)	108,000	12,000	0	120,000
Show Low	Navajo	Surface Main Rwy 3/21; MIRL; GVGI; MITL	238,500	26,500	0	265,000
Springerville	Apache	Land Acquisition; Surface Txwy 3/21 (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy 11/29	25,064	25,063	510,574	560,701
St. Johns	Apache	Land Acquisition	17,880	17,880	364,240	400,000
Wickenburg	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	15,556	15,556	316,889	348,001
Williams	Coconino	Surface Rwy, Txwy, Apron (Pave Pres)	90,000	10,000	0	100,000
Winslow	Navajo	Surface Runway 4/22 (Pave Pres)	32,408	32,408	660,185	725,001
Public Sub-Total			\$1,994,307	\$432,461	\$4,832,713	\$7,259,481
SPECIAL PROJECTS						
Secondary Airports			205,000	10,789	0	215,789
FAA Match Set Aside			510,000	510,000	10,389,396	11,409,396
Contingencies			100,000	11,111	0	111,111
NAVAIDS			102,000	11,333	0	113,333
SASP and Master Plans			305,000	43,488	220,000	568,488
Pavement Management System			100,000	11,111	0	111,111
Set Aside Sub-Total			\$1,322,000	\$597,833	10,609,396	\$12,529,229
Total 1991-1992			\$10,278,788	\$3,512,060	\$56,665,472	\$70,456,320

Fiscal Year 1992 - 93

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
		COMMERCIAL SERVICE/ RELIEVER AIRPORT PROJECTS				
Bullhead Laughlin	Mohave	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Surface Perimeter Rd; Security Fencing & Gates; Land Acquisition	366,105	126,105	1,957,790	2,450,000
Chandler	Maricopa	Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	459,000	51,000	0	510,000
Flagstaff-Pulliam	Coconino	Land Acquisition	459,000	51,000	0	510,000
Glendale	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Land Acquisition	459,000	51,000	0	510,000
Grand Canyon	Coconino	Utilities; Terminal	459,000	0	1,515,000	1,974,000
Kingman	Mohave	Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	283,000	31,444	0	314,444
Lake Havasu City	Mohave	Surface Rwy, Txwy (Pave Pres); Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	22,797	22,797	464,406	510,000
Mesa-Falcon Field	Maricopa	Surface Rwy 4R/22L, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres); Security Lighting; Fencing	459,000	51,000	0	510,000
Phoenix-Deer Valley	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron, Rwy 7L/25R Ext	459,000	190,067	3,187,100	3,836,167
Phoenix-Goodyear	Maricopa	Utilities; Drainage; Surface Rwy 3R/21L (Pave Pres)	459,000	129,751	1,804,809	2,393,560
Phoenix-Sky Harbor	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	459,000	209,933	3,642,400	4,311,333
Prescott-Love Field	Yavapai	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Security Fencing; Utilities	459,000	74,720	410,681	944,401
Scottsdale	Maricopa	Surface Rwy 3/21, Txwy B, Apron (Pave Pres); Access Rd	459,000	51,000	0	510,000
Sedona	Yavapai	Land Acquisition; Access Rd	459,000	51,000	0	510,000
Sierra Vista	Cochise	Land Acquisition; Auto Parking	51,288	7,288	36,424	95,000
Tucson Intn'l	Pima	Land Acquisition; Surface Txwys, Access Rd, Aprons (Pave Pres); EA	459,000	424,573	8,561,461	9,445,034
Tucson Ryan Field	Pima	MIRL; MITL; GVGI; Land Acquisition	459,000	89,541	883,282	1,431,823
Yuma Intn'l	Yuma	Fencing; Utilities; Access Rd; Grade, Drain & Surface Taxilane; Auto Parking	89,700	47,713	865,070	1,002,483
Commercial Service Reliever Sub-Total			\$6,779,890	\$1,659,933	\$23,328,423	\$31,768,246

Fiscal Year 1992 - 93

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
PUBLIC AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Avra Valley	Pima	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy Ext to Rwy 12/30;	45,600	24,271	440,130	510,001
Bagdad	Yavapai	Structural Upgrade to Txwy to Rwy 12/30	135,000	15,000	0	150,000
Benson	Cochise	MIRL; GVGI; Lighted Wind Cone	193,410	33,410	273,180	500,000
Bisbee	Cochise	Fire Protection; Grade, Drain & Surface Access Rd	242,508	32,508	127,484	402,500
Bisbee-Douglas Int'l Buckeye	Cochise Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 17/35 & Txwy Ext; MIRL; Surface Rwy, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres)	57,600	6,400	0	64,000
Casa Grande	Pinal	Surface Apron (Pave Pres) widen & Structural Upgrade Main Rwy 17/35	33,525	33,525	682,950	750,000
Cochise County	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Perimeter Access Rd	4,917	4,917	100,166	110,000
Colorado City	Mohave	Surface Main Rwy 3/21 (Pave Pres)	11,734	11,734	239,032	262,500
Cottonwood	Yavapai	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 11/29	39,001	39,001	794,499	872,501
Globe	Gila	Surface Rwy, Txwy, Apron (Pave Pres)	90,000	10,000	0	100,000
Greenlee County	Greenlee	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron, Access Rd, Auto Parking; Terminal	86,153	12,553	68,295	167,001
Holbrook	Navajo	Grade, Drain & Surface Access Rd	18,000	2,000	0	20,000
Nogales Intn'l	Santa Cruz	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; NDB; Fencing; Heliport	9,973	9,973	203,155	223,101
Payson	Gila	MIRL; GVGI; Fire Protection	9,163	9,163	186,673	204,999
Safford	Graham	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Surface Apron (Pave Pres); GVGI; Terminal; Auto Parking; Txwy Delineators	122,407	21,363	177,886	321,656
Show Low	Navajo	Install TVOR	90,000	10,000	0	100,000
Springerville	Apache	Grade, Drain & Surface Parallel Txwy 3/21; MITL	306,000	34,000	0	340,000
St. Johns	Apache	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 3/21 & Txwy Ext; Land Acquisition; REIL; Security Fence; Pavement Markings; Perimeter Road	26,485	26,485	539,530	592,500
Taylor	Navajo	Surface Rwy 2/20 (Pave Pres)	49,500	5,500	0	55,000
Wickenburg	Navajo	GVGI; REIL; NDB	3,352	3,352	68,295	74,099
Williams	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	297,000	33,000	0	330,000
Winslow	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy, Txwy Ext; MIRL	15,645	15,645	318,710	350,000
	Navajo	Surface Txwy to Rwy 4/22 & Apron (Pave Pres)	100,000	11,111	0	111,111
		Public Sub-Total	\$1,986,973	\$404,911	\$4,219,985	\$6,611,869
SPECIAL PROJECTS						
		Secondary Airports	200,000	10,526	0	210,526
		FAA Match Set Aside	505,000	505,000	10,287,539	11,297,539
		Contingencies	105,000	0	0	105,000
		NAVAIDS	105,000	11,667	0	116,667
		SASP and Master Plans	305,000	43,488	220,000	568,488
		Pavement Management System	105,000	11,667	0	116,667
		Set Aside Sub-Total	\$1,325,000	\$582,348	\$10,507,539	\$12,414,887
		Total 1992-1993	\$10,091,863	\$2,647,191	\$38,055,947	\$50,795,002

Fiscal Year 1993 - 94

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
COMMERCIAL SERVICE/ RELIEVER AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Bullhead Laughlin Chandler Flagstaff-Pulliam	Mohave Maricopa Coconino	Land Acquisition; Utilities Surface Apron (Pave Pres) Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Ext (Phase I)	75,990 477,000 477,000	75,990 53,000 53,000	1,548,020 0 0	1,700,000 530,000 530,000
Glendale Grand Canyon	Maricopa Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron Utilities; Drainage; Surface Rwy, Apron	477,000 477,000	53,000 0	0 1,650,000	530,000 2,127,000
Kingman Lake Havasu City	Mohave Mohave	Surface Rwy 3/21 Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Apron Structural Upgrade	477,000 58,470	72,867 10,470	455,300 91,060	1,005,167 160,000
Mesa-Falcon Field Phoenix-Deer Valley Phoenix-Goodyear Phoenix-Sky Harbor Prescott-Love Field	Maricopa Maricopa Maricopa Maricopa Yavapai	Land Acquisition Land Acquisition Land Acquisition Land Acquisition Land Acquisition; Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy	477,000 477,000 477,000 477,000 477,000	124,520 53,000 53,000 53,000 68,893	1,639,080 0 0 0 364,240	2,240,600 530,000 530,000 530,000 910,133
Scottsdale	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	477,000	53,000	0	530,000
Sedona	Yavapai	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	22,797	22,797	464,406	510,000
Sierra Vista	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron, Txwy 2	30,172	30,172	614,655	674,999
Tucson Intn'l	Pima	Land Acquisition; Surface Txwys, Access Rd, Aprons (Pave Pres); EA; Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 11R/29L and Txwys	477,000	1,215,478	26,641,424	28,333,902
Tucson Ryan Field	Pima	Land Acquisition; Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	477,000	69,529	378,810	925,339
Yuma Intn'l	Yuma	Structural Upgrade Apron, Drainage; Surface Txwy and Perimeter Roadway (Pave Pres)	477,000	82,800	682,950	1,242,750
Commercial Service Reliever Sub-Total			\$6,865,429	\$2,144,517	\$34,529,945	\$43,539,891

Fiscal Year 1993 - 94

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
PUBLIC AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Avra Valley	Pima	MIRL; GVGI; REIL; MITL Rwy 12/30	477,000	53,000	0	530,000
Benson	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy, Apron	51,405	51,405	1,047,190	1,150,000
Bisbee-Douglas Int'l	Cochise	Grade Improvements Access Rd	1,274	1,274	25,942	28,500
Buckeye	Maricopa	Surface Txwy, Apron	4,470	4,470	91,060	100,000
Casa Grande	Pinal	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron, Taxi lane	209,000	21,000	0	230,000
Colorado City	Mohave	Grade, Drain & Surface Access Rd	13,410	13,410	273,180	300,000
Cottonwood	Yavapai	Land Acquisition	20,338	20,338	414,323	454,999
Gila Bend	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Auto Parking, Access Rd	70,200	7,800	0	78,000
Greenlee County	Greenlee	Security Fencing	9,000	1,000	0	10,000
Holbrook	Navajo	Surface Rwy 3/21, Txwy, Access Rd (Pave Pres)	38,250	4,250	0	42,500
Nogales Intn'l	Santa Cruz	Txwy Delineators	4,500	500	0	5,000
Payson	Gila	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Construct Heliport; Surface Txwy (Pave Pres)	10,628	10,628	216,514	237,770
Safford	Graham	Surface Rwy 12/30 (Pave Pres)	13,410	13,410	273,180	300,000
Show Low	Navajo	Surface Apron (Pave Pres); Fencing	153,000	17,000	0	170,000
Springerville	Apache	Surface Rwy 3/21 (Pave Pres)	23,065	23,065	469,870	516,000
St. Johns	Apache	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 13/31 Ext; Utilities	49,410	17,410	273,180	340,000
Taylor	Navajo	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Ext	477,000	53,000	0	530,000
Wickenburg	Maricopa	Heliport	45,000	5,000	0	50,000
Williams	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	2,369	2,369	48,262	53,000
Winslow	Navajo	Surface Txwy to 11/29 (Pave Pres)	72,000	8,000	0	80,000
Public Sub-Total			\$1,744,729	\$328,330	\$3,132,711	\$5,205,770
SPECIAL PROJECTS						
Secondary Airports			200,000	10,526	0	210,526
FAA Match Set Aside			500,000	500,000	10,185,682	11,185,682
Contingencies			100,000	11,111	0	111,111
NAVAIDS			100,000	11,111	0	111,111
SASP and Master Plans			300,000	42,933	220,000	562,933
Pavement Management System			100,000	11,111	0	111,111
Set Aside Sub-Total			\$1,300,000	\$586,792	\$10,405,682	\$12,292,475
Total 1993-1994			\$9,910,158	\$3,059,639	\$48,068,338	\$61,038,135

Fiscal Year 1994 - 95

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
		COMMERCIAL SERVICE/ RELIEVER AIRPORT PROJECTS				
Bullhead Laughlin	Mohave	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	292,050	92,050	1,365,900	1,750,000
Chandler	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Flagstaff-Pulliam	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Ext (Phase II)	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Glendale	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Grand Canyon	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron, Access Rd	495,000	0	1,800,000	2,295,000
Kingman	Mohave	MITL; Grade, Drain & Surface Auto Parking; Drainage Study	198,998	38,998	387,005	625,001
Lake Havasu City	Mohave	Drainage Improvements; Security Fencing; Heliport	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Mesa-Falcon Field	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Phoenix-Deer Valley	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Phoenix-Goodyear	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Phoenix-Sky Harbor	Maricopa	Land Acquisition	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Prescott-Love Field	Yavapai	Grade, Drain & Surface Txwy; Land Acquisition; Terminal	495,000	111,819	1,302,158	1,908,977
Scottsdale	Maricopa	Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Sedona	Yavapai	Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	90,000	10,000	0	100,000
Sierra Vista	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Drainage Improvements	495,000	67,913	295,945	858,858
Tucson Intn'l	Pima	Land Acquisition	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Tucson Ryan Field	Pima	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	291,860	32,429	0	324,289
Yuma Intn'l	Yuma	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Surface Apron (Pave Pres)	146,852	56,050	910,600	1,113,502
		Commercial Service Reliever Sub-Total	\$7,454,760	\$949,259	\$6,061,608	\$14,475,627

Fiscal Year 1994 - 95

AIRPORT	COUNTY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	STATE AMOUNT	LOCAL AMOUNT	FEDERAL AMOUNT	TOTAL AMOUNT
PUBLIC AIRPORT PROJECTS						
Avra Valley	Pima	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	495,000	55,000	0	550,000
Benson	Cochise	Fencing; Utilities; Security Lighting	13,410	13,410	273,180	300,000
Bisbee-Douglas Int'l	Cochise	Surface Txwys (Pave Pres)	45,000	5,000	0	50,000
Buckeye	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron, Taxilane; Security Lighting	450,000	50,000	0	500,000
Casa Grande	Pinal	Grade, Drain & Surface Parallel Txwy Ext; Apron	22,350	22,350	455,300	500,000
cochise College	Cochise	Obstruction Removal	180,000	20,000	0	200,000
Colorado City	Mohave	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	13,410	13,410	273,180	300,000
Douglas Municipal	Cochise	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Width; Surface Rwy (Pave Pres); GVGI	367,200	40,800	0	408,000
Eloy	Pinal	Surface Main Rwy, Txwy & Apron (Pave Pres)	67,932	7,548	0	75,480
Greenlee County	Greenlee	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 18/36, Txwy to Rwy 7/25	41,571	41,571	846,858	930,000
Nogales Intn'l	Santa Cruz	Security Lighting	4,470	4,470	91,060	100,000
Payson	Gila	Surface Apron, Access Rd (Pave Pres); Auto Parking	35,470	3,941	0	39,411
Safford	Graham	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Heliport	4,470	4,470	91,060	100,000
SHOW LOW	Navajo	Surface Rwy 3/21, Txwy, Apron (Pave Pres)	103,500	11,500	0	115,000
Springerville	Apache	Surface Txwy (Pave Pres)	20,115	20,115	409,770	450,000
St. Johns	Apache	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy 2/20 Ext. Parallel Txwy	17,656	17,656	359,687	394,999
Taylor	Navajo	Surface Access Rd (Pave Pres)	8,381	8,381	170,738	187,500
Wickenburg	Maricopa	Grade, Drain & Surface Rwy Width	5,811	5,811	118,378	130,000
Williams	Coconino	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron	26,373	26,373	537,254	590,000
Winslow	Navajo	Grade, Drain & Surface Apron; Terminal	44,700	44,700	910,600	1,000,000
Public Sub-Total			\$1,966,819	\$416,507	\$4,537,065	\$6,920,391
SPECIAL PROJECTS						
Secondary Airports			220,000	11,579	0	231,579
FAA Match Set Aside			555,000	555,000	11,306,107	12,416,107
Contingencies			115,000	12,778	0	127,778
NAVAIDS			110,000	12,222	0	122,222
SASP and Master Plans			330,000	46,266	220,000	596,266
Pavement Management System			110,000	12,222	0	122,222
Set Aside Sub-Total			\$1,440,000	\$650,067	\$11,526,107	\$13,616,175
Total 1994-1995			\$10,861,579	\$2,025,833	\$22,124,780	\$35,012,192
Grand Total 1991-1995			\$54,586,327	\$14,457,821	\$212,582,282	\$281,626,430

Glossary of Terms

Highway Program

- Archaeological** -- Consists of searching for archeological artifacts before constructing roadway
- Bond** -- State/ non-federal aid
- BRF** -- Bridge Replace - federal aid primary
- BRS** -- Bridge Replace - federal aid secondary
- Bureau** -- Bureau of Reclamation
- DE** -- Demonstration project
- Design** -- Consists of developing plans for future construction of roadway
- Erosion Control** -- Protecting slopes along roadways by using landscaping
- F** -- Federal aid primary
- FH** -- Forest highways - federal aid
- FLH** -- Federal lands - federal aid
- Grade drain and structures** -- Rebuilding of bridge structure
- HES** -- Hazard elimination - federal aid
- I** -- Interstate funding sources
- Intersection Improvement** -- Consists of improving intersection by widening lanes, adding turn lanes, crosswalks, etc.
- IR(4R)** -- interstate, restore, resurface, rehabilitate and reconstruct
- M** -- Federal aid urban
- Marking** -- Placing proper traffic direction lines
- Mill** -- Removing deteriorated surface of the roadway
- RARF** -- Regional area road fund
- Reconstruct and pave** -- Replace asphalt
- Rehabilitate rest area** -- Upgrade and remodel rest area facilities
- Resurface** -- Replacing asphalt on milled roadway
- Right-of-way** -- Acquiring/purchasing of land on which to build roadway
- Rock scaling** -- Sealing mountains along roadway to prevent rock slides
- RS** -- Federal aid secondary
- Rubber planking** -- Replacing railroad ties at railroad crossings
- Seal coat** -- Chip seal or coating the roadway to prolong its use life
- Signing** -- Placing informational and directional signs along roadway
- SP 15%** -- ADOT contribution to joint funded projects in MAG and PAG
- State** -- Non-federal aid
- Utilities** -- Moving of utilities in order to build roadway
- 15%** -- MAG/PAG controlled access

Airport Program

- AWOS** -- Automatic Weather Observation System
- EA** -- Environmental Assessment
- FAA** -- Federal Aviation Administration
- FBO** -- Fixed Base Operator
- GVGI** -- General Visual Glideslope Indicator
- ILS** -- Instrument Landing System
- MIRL** -- Medium Intensity Runway Lights
- MITL** -- Medium Intensity Taxiway Lights
- NDB** -- Non Directional Beacon, a navigational aid to find airport
- Pave Pres** -- Pavement Preservation
- Ph 1** -- Phase 1 of a multi-year contract
- REILS** -- Runway End Identifier Lights
- Rwy** -- Runway
- SASP** -- State Airport System Plan
- Set Aside** -- A fund allocation for a specific reason
- TVOR** -- Terminal Very high frequency Omnidirectional Range navigation aid
- Txwy** -- Taxiway
- VASI** -- Visual Approach Slope Indicator