

# DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ADOT) PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PMS)

**Final Report 494** 

### Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Inc. 49 Frederick Street Kitchener, ON N2H 6M7

8211 S, 48<sup>th</sup> Street Phoenix, AZ 85044

### April 2006

#### Prepared for:

Arizona Department of Transportation 206 South 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007 in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Arizona Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. Trade or manufacturers' names which may appear herein are cited only because they are considered essential to the objectives of the report. The U.S. Government and the State of Arizona do not endorse products or manufacturers.

1. Report No. FHWA-A7-06-494		2. Government A	ccession No.	3. Recipient's C	atalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle				5. Report Date	
DEVELOPMENT AND		NTATION OF A		April 200	6
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PMS)			6. Performing 0	rganization Code	
7. Author				8. Performing O	rganization
Sameh Zaghloul, Ph.D	., Khaled H	elali, Ph.D., W	ael Bekheet, Ph.D.	. Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Nam	ne and Addres	S		10. Work Unit N	0.
49 Frederick Street				11 Contract or	Grant No
Kitchener ON N2H 6	M7				
8211 S. 48 <sup>th</sup> Street				SPR-PL-1(5)	7)-494
Phoenix, AZ 85044					, 101
12. Sponsoring Agency Name a	nd Address			13.Type of Rep	ort & Period
Arizona Department of	f Transporta	ation		Covered	
206 S. 17th Avenue				Final Rep	ort
Phoenix, Arizona 8500	)7				
				14. Sponsoring	Agency Code
Project Manager: Yon	gqi Li				
15. Supplementary Notes					
Prepared in cooperation	on with the	U.S. Departme	nt of Transportatio	n, Federal Highwa	у
Administration					
Arizona Dopartmont of Tr	ancoartatio		oon one of the nig	pooring states in t	ho
Anzona Department of Th		Devement Men	accoment Systems	(DMC) Since the	acrby 1090's
		Pavement fool		(FIVIS). SILLE LIE	early 1900 S,
ADOT has been using pa			k lo manaye, maini	ain anu preserve /	Alizolid S
highway network. ADOT s		were originally	based on a proba	ionistic approach io	br modeling
the pavement performance	e, which we	ere adequate id	or the original ADO	r requirements.	
Recently, ADOT has deci	ded to expa	and the use of t	he PMS tools to al	so support the pay	vement
maintenance operations.	This require	ed a change in	the existing ADOT	's PMS tools, whic	h prompted a
need to move to a different pavement management software. Subsequently, ADOT selected Stantec's				cted Stantec's	
Highway Pavement Mana	Igement Ap	plication (HPM	A) software to repl	ace its pavement r	nanagement
system, and retained Star	ntec's servi	ces for structuri	na. data loadina. r	nodel developmen	t. and
implementing the HPMA.			<b>U</b> , <b>U</b> ,	•	,
HPMA is a single software	e applicatio	n that provides	full database man	agement and anal	ysis
capabilities required by th	e two types	of users (PMS	and Maintenance	). The HPMA prov	lides
capability for users to wor	k at both th	e detailed high	way level and the	aggregated section	n level. Also it
provides a wide variety of	analysis ca	apabilities, inclu	iding corrective ma	aintenance, preven	itive
maintenance, and rehabil	itation analy	ysis.			
This report documents the	e approach	used to achiev	e the goals of this	project including th	ne
customization of the HPM	A to addres	s ADOT requir	ements the develo	opment of the anal	vsis models
which are based on ADO	T historic ne	erformance dat	a and the impleme	entation of these a	nalvsis
models in conducting a st	atewide an	alveis			laryoio
17. Key Words			18. Distribution State	ment	23. Registrant's
PMS, Rehabilitation, Prev	entive Mair	itenance,	Document is ava	llable to the U.S.	Seal
Corrective Maintenance,	Prediction N	/lodels,	public through the	e National	
Decision Trees			I echnical Inform	ation Service,	
	00.00		Springfield, Virgin	nia, 22161	4
19. Security Classification	20. Security		21. No. of Pages 210	22. Price	
Unclassifieu	Uncla	ISSIIIEU	219		

### **Technical Report Documentation Page**

	SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS								
	APPROXIMATE	CONVERSIO	NS TO SI UNITS			APPROXIMATE CC	<b>NVERSION</b>	S FROM SI UNITS	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply By	To Find	Symbol
		<u>LENGTH</u>					LENGTH		
in	Inches	25.4	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in
ft	Feet	0.305	meters	m	m	meters	3.28	feet	ft
yd	Yards	0.914	meters	m	m	meters	1.09	yards	yd
mi	Miles	1.61	kilometers	km	km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi
		AREA					AREA		
in <sup>2</sup>	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm <sup>2</sup>	mm <sup>2</sup>	Square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in <sup>2</sup>
ft <sup>2</sup>	square feet	0.093	square meters	m²	m²	Square meters	10.764	square feet	ft <sup>2</sup>
vd <sup>2</sup>	square vards	0.836	square meters	m²	m <sup>2</sup>	Square meters	1.195	square vards	vd <sup>2</sup>
ac	Acres	0.405	hectares	ha	ha	hectares	2.47	acres	ac
mi²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km <sup>2</sup>	km <sup>2</sup>	Square kilometers	0.386	square miles	mi²
		VOLUME					VOLUME		
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mL	mL	milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	fl oz
gal	Gallons	3.785	liters	L	L	liters	0.264	gallons	gal
ft <sup>3</sup>	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	m³	m <sup>3</sup>	Cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet	ft <sup>3</sup>
yd <sup>3</sup>	cubic yards	0.765	cubic meters	m³	m³	Cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards	yd³
	NOTE: Volumes g	reater than 1000L s	hall be shown in m <sup>3</sup> .						
		MASS					MASS		
oz	Ounces	28.35	grams	g	g	grams	0.035	ounces	οz
lb	Pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms	2.205	pounds	lb
Т	short tons (2000lb)	0.907	megagrams	mg	Mg	megagrams	1.102	short tons (2000lb)	Т
			(or "metric ton")	(or "t")		(or "metric ton")			
	<u>TEN</u>	IPERATURE (e	<u>xact)</u>			<u>TEMP</u>	<u>ERATURE (ex</u>	<u>(act)</u>	
۴	Fahrenheit	5(F-32)/9	Celsius temperature	°C	°C	Celsius temperature	1.8C + 32	Fahrenheit	۴
	temperature	or (F-32)/1.8						temperature	
		ILLUMINATION	<u>1</u>			<u>IL</u>	LUMINATION		
fc	foot candles	10.76	lux	lx	lx	lux	0.0929	foot-candles	fc
fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m <sup>2</sup>	cd/m <sup>2</sup>	cd/m <sup>2</sup>	candela/m <sup>2</sup>	0.2919	foot-Lamberts	fl
	FORCE AN	D PRESSURE	<u>OR STRESS</u>			FORCE AND	PRESSURE C	<u>DR STRESS</u>	
lbf	Poundforce	4.45	newtons	Ν	N	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lbf
lbf/in <sup>2</sup>	poundforce per	6.89	kilopascals	kPa	kPa	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per	lbf/in <sup>2</sup>
	square inch							square inch	

SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECU	JTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0 I	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	BACKGROUND	3
1.2	REPORT ORGANIZATION	3
2.0 I	PROJECT APPROACH OVERVIEW	5
2.1	PROJECT APPROACH OVERVIEW	5
2.2	OVERVIEW OF HPMA SOFTWARE	6
2.2	2.1 Database Management Subsystem	6 o
2.2	2.2 Network Analysis Subsystem	0 Q
2.2	2.4 Project Design & Analysis Subsystem	
3.0	ADOT PMS FUNCTIONALITY AND SOFTWARE MODIFICATION	11
3.1	HIGHWAY REFERENCING BASED ON MILEPOST RELATIVE DISTANCE	13
3.2	CONDITION DATA WITH MULTIPLE OCCURRENCES PER YEAR	13
3.3	OVERALL INDEX INCLUDING MAINTENANCE COSTS	
3.4	SECTIONAL LEVEL PREDICTION MODELS	
3.0	SUMMARY NETWORK PERFORMANCE PLOT SHOWING IRI	15
3.7	Additional Construction History Data Items	
3.8	MAINTENANCE HISTORY REPORTING	15
3.9	EXPANSION OF DISTRICT AND MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATION FIELDS	
3.10	OPTIMIZATION COST SUMMARY GRAPHIC REPORT	
3.11	NETWORK PERFORMANCE PLOTS BY ROUTE TYPE	
3.13	OPTIMIZATION PERFORMANCE CONSTRAINTS BY ROUTE TYPE	
4.0 I	DEVELOPMENT OF PMS DATABASE	21
4.1	HPMA DATABASE	
4.2	DATA SOURCES	22
4.3	PARAMETER CODE TABLE	22
4.3	3.1 Highway ID and Referencing	23
4.3	3.2 JUIISdiction	
4.0	3.3 Administrative	25
4.3	3.5 Pavement/Median	
4.3	3.6 Shoulder/Drainage	27
4.3	3.7 Construction	27
4.3	3.8 Distress Types	
4.3 1	3.9 ITAILIC Classes	28 20
4.4	DATA CONVERSION AND LOADING TO HPMA	

5.0	DEVE	LOPMENT OF PMS MODELS AND ANALYSIS PARAMETERS	33
5.1	Ove	RVIEW OF HPMA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE	33
5.2	ADO	OT PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE INDICES	34
5.3	De∨	ELOPMENT OF PAVEMENT DISTRESS INDEX (PDI)	35
5.	.3.1	Development of PDI for AC Pavements	36
5.	.3.2	Development of PDI for PC Pavements	38
5.4	Mai	NTENANCE AND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES	40
5.5	Moi	DELING THE IMPACT OF MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES ON	
	ΡΑ	EMENT PERFORMANCE	42
5.6	Dev	ELOPMENT OF PSR DEFAULT PREDICTION MODELS	44
5.	.6.1	Performance Classes	45
5.	.6.2	Performance Model Generation Procedure	46
5.	.6.3	Regression Analysis Approach	54
5.	.6.4	PSR Performance Models	55
5.7	DEV	ELOPMENT OF CRACKING DEFAULT PREDICTION MODELS	57
5.	.7.1	Cracking Model Form	58
5.	.7.2	Historical Cracking Data	58
5.	.7.3	Development of Cracking Models	59
5.	.7.4	Final Set of Cracking Prediction Models	64
5.8	APP	ROACH FOR MAINTENANCE INTEGRATION INTO PMS	65
5. r	.8.1	Creating Analysis Sections and Predicting Pavement Performance	65
5. F	.8.2	Freatment Selection	67
5.	.8.3 DEC	Final Program	69
5.9		JISION TREES	69
Э. Е	.9.1	Preventive Maintenance Decision Trees	70
Э. Б	.9.2	Corrective Maintenance Decision Trees	73
J.	.9.3		75
6.0	STAT	E WIDE ANALYSIS	83
6.1	Βυιι	LDING A SECTION DATA VIEW	83
6.2	M&	R ANALYSIS	84
6.3	Opt	IMIZATION ANALYSIS	85
6.	.3.1	Needs Analysis Settings	85
6.	.3.2	Needs Analysis Results	86
6.	.3.3	Budget Analysis Settings	92
6.	.3.4	Budget Analysis Results	93
7.0	IMPL	EMENTATION OF ADOT HPMA	99
			404
APPE			101
APPE		3. ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HPMA TRAININ	G
MANU	JAL		113

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: PMS Needs and HPMA Function	11
Table 4.1: HPMA Highway Database Tables with Identified Data Sources	30
Table 4.2: Code Tables	31
Table 5.1: Surface Distresses for PDI Development	35
Table 5.2: Proposed PDI Control Points	36
Table 5.3: Sample Distress Combinations and Corresponding PDI for AC Pavements	38
Table 5.4: Sample Distress Combinations and Corresponding PDI for PC Pavements	39
Table 5.5: Maintenance and Rehabilitation Activities	40
Table 5.6: Condition Improvement and Holding Period for G and M Activities	44
Table 5.7: Roughness Data Filtering Limits	47
Table 5.8: Historic Data Available for Regression	53
Table 5.9: Minimum Initial Roughness Levels	54
Table 5.10:      Roughness Trigger Level for Rehabilitation	54
Table 5.11: Development of PSR Models	55
Table 5.12: Cracking Groups and Corresponding Rehabilitation Activities	.60
Table 5.13: Approximate Service Life In Years for Cracking Prediction Models	.65
Table 5.14:      Variables      Considered for the Decision Trees	70
Table 5.15:      Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for Preventive Maintenance DT	72
Table 5.16: Decision Tree End Nodes for PCC Pavements	
Preventive Maintenance DT	73
Table 5.17: Performance Levels For AC Pavements Decision Trees	75
Table 5.18:      Performance Levels For PCC Pavements Decision Trees.	76
Table 5.19: Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for Interstate AC Pavements	.78
Table 5.20: Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for	
Non-Interstate AC Pavements	80
Table 5.21: Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for PCC Pavements	82
Table 6.1: Needs Analysis Perfromance Constraints	.85
Table 6.2: Budget Constraints for Optimization Anlaysis	.92
Table 6.3: Comparison of PSR Average for Interstate Sections	94
Table 6.4: Comparison of Sections with PSR < 3.5 for Interstate Sections	.96
Table 6.5: Comparison of PSR Average for Non-Interstate Sections	97
Table 6.6: Comparison of Sections with PSR < 3.2 for Non-Interstate Sections	.98
Table 7.1: HPMA Directory Structure	99

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Project Outline	4
Figure 2.2: Relationship between ADOT HPMA Subsystems	7
Figure 3.1: Highway Referencing	13
Figure 3.2: Maintenance Cost in the Overall Index Function	14
Figure 3.3: Maintenance History Report – Function 5-5	16
Figure 3.4: Total Cost Comparison of Multiple Optimization Runs	17
Figure 3.5: Activities Cost Comparison by Year for Multiple Optimization Runs	18
Figure 3.6: Total Cost Comparison by Stacked Activities Costs	18
Figure 3.7: Defining Performance Categories by Route Type	19
Figure 3.8: Defining Performance Constraints by Functional Classification	20
Figure 4.1: ADOT HPMA Database Population	22
Figure 5.1: M&R Analysis and Optimization	34
Figure 5.2: Impact of R and C Activities	43
Figure 5.3: Maintenance Activities as Holding Strategies	43
Figure 5.4: Roughness Outlier Limits	47
Figure 5.5: Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Desert Zone	49
Figure 5.6: Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Transition Zone	49
Figure 5.7: Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Maintain Zone	50
Figure 5.8: Regression Analysis Results for Interstate Highways by Environment Z	Zone
	50
Figure 5.9: Non-Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Desert Zone	51
Figure 5.10: Non-Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Transition Zone	51
Figure 5.11: Non-Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Mountain Zone	52
Figure 5.12: Regression Analysis for Non-Interstate Highways by Environment Zon	ne.52
Figure 5.13: PSR Filtered Data and Model for 201- Class 3	57
Figure 5.14: Distribution of Cracking Distress for ADOT Network in 2001	58
Figure 5.15: B1 Cracking Group Regression Data	61
Figure 5.16: B2 Cracking Group Regression Data	61
Figure 5.17: B3 Cracking Group Regression Data	62
Figure 5.18: B4 Cracking Group Regression Data	62
Figure 5.19: B5 Cracking Group Regression Data	63
Figure 5.20: B6 Cracking Group Regression Data	63
Figure 5.21: B7 Cracking Group Regression Data	64
Figure 5.22: Proposed Analysis Approach	66
Figure 5.23: Shifting of Default Index Prediction Models	67
Figure 5.24: Rehabiliation Activities Effectiveness – Area Under the Curve	69
Figure 5.25: Preventive Maintenance DT for Interstate Routes AC Pavements	71
Figure 5.26: Preventive Maintenance DT for Non-Interstate Routes AC Pavements	71
Figure 5.27: Preventive Maintenance DT for PCC Pavements	73
Figure 5.28: Corrective Maintenance DT for AC Pavements on Interstate Routes	
Figure 5.29: Corrective Maintenance DT for AC Pavements on Non-Interstate Rou	
Figure 5.20: Corrective Maintenance DT for DCC Devements	14 75
Figure 5.30. Corrective infamilentatice DT for PCC Pavements on Interacted Devices	13
Figure 5.31. War Decision Tree for AC Pavements on Man Interstate Roules	11
Figure 5.32. M&P Decision Tree for Interstate PCC Payaments	۲ ۵۱
Figure 5.35. MAR Decision Tree for Non Interstate PCC Pavements	۱۵
Figure 3.34. IVIAR DECISION THEE ION NON-INTERSTATE FOR PAVEINENTS	02

Figure 6.1: Building of a Section Data View	84
Figure 6.2: M&R Analysis Settings	85
Figure 6.3: Needs Analysis Performance Constraints	86
Figure 6.4: Budget Requirements based on Needs Analysis	87
Figure 6.5: Interstate Average PSR based on Needs Analysis	88
Figure 6.6: Percentage of Interstate Less than PSR based on Needs Analysis	88
Figure 6.7: Percentage of Interstate with 15% or more Cracking based on Needs	
Analysis	89
Figure 6.8: Non-Interstate Average PSR based on Needs Analysis	89
Figure 6.9: Percentage of Non-Interstate Less than PSR based on Needs Analysis .	90
Figure 6.10: Percentage of Non-Interstate with 15% or more Cracking based on Nee	eds
Analysis	90
Figure 6.11: Measured Cracking for Interstate (Year 2000)	91
Figure 6.12: Measured Cracking for Non-Interstate (Year 2000)	91
Figure 6.13: Budget Constraints for Optimization Analysis	92
Figure 6.14: Summary of the Average Interstate PSR Based on Budget Analysis	93
Figure 6.15: Summary of the Average Interstate PSR Based on Measured Data	94
Figure 6.16: Percentage Interstate Sections with PSR < 3.5 Based on Budget Analys	sis
	95
Figure 6.17: Percentage Interstate Sections with PSR < 3.5 Based on Measured Da	ta95
Figure 6.18: Summary of the Average Non-Interstate PSR Based on Budget Analysi	s 96
Figure 6.19: Summary of the Average Non-Interstate PSR Based on Measured Data	1.97
Figure 6.20: Percentage Non-Interstate Sections with PSR<3.2 Based on Budget	
Analysis	97
Figure 6.21: Percentage Non-Interstate Sections with PSR<3.2	98
Figure 7.1: Client/Server Implementation at ADOT Using SQL Server Database Server	/er
	99

Since the early 1980's, ADOT has been using pavement management tools to manage, maintain and preserve Arizona's highway network. ADOT's PMS tools were originally based on a probabilistic approach for modeling the pavement performance, which were adequate for the original ADOT requirements. Recently, ADOT has decided to expand the use of the PMS tools to also support the pavement maintenance operations and project level pavement rehabilitation activities. This required a change in the existing ADOT's PMS tools, which prompted a need to move to a different pavement management software. Subsequently, ADOT selected Stantec's Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) software to replace its pavement management system, and retained Stantec's services for structuring, data loading, model development, and implementing the HPMA.

The overall approach followed to achieve the project objectives is divided into four main phases, which are:

- 1. Development of a Conceptual Design and Layout
- 2. Structure and Develop Pavement Management Database and Models
- 3. Conduct State-wide Analysis
- 4. Install HPMA, train ADOT staff, and provide software technical support

The development of the conceptual plan involved assessing the old ADOT database structure and data elements, identifying the needs of the various system users and determining the availability, relevance and method of importing the data items. The types of the available data were reviewed in terms of the sources, reliability, and level of necessity. This task also involved reviewing the models and parameters used in the Department's current pavement management system. Based on this review, a detailed conceptual plan for the development of ADOT HPMA was developed.

The second phase of the project was directed towards loading ADOT's data into the HPMA database, modifying some of the HPMA functions and adding more functions to meet ADOT requests, and developing the required analysis models. Data loading and model development were carried out based on the conceptual plan developed in Phase 1 of the project and the feedback received from the Technical Advisory Committee. Data was loaded from the existing data sources in ADOT and converted as necessary. The HPMA code tables were first populated and then the data was loaded as required. ADOT requested a number of modifications and enhancements to the functionality of the HPMA software, which were implemented in this phase of the project. These modifications to some of the table structures, adding some additional reports and others. The HPMA models and parameters including the condition indices, pavement types,

distress types, rehabilitation and maintenance treatments, and decision trees, were developed at this stage.

The completed ADOT HPMA is a single software application that provides full database management and analysis capabilities required by the two types of users (PMS and Maintenance). The HPMA provides capability for users to work at both the detailed highway level and the aggregated section level. Also it provides a wide variety of analysis capabilities, including corrective maintenance, preventive maintenance, and rehabilitation analysis.

When the ADOT HPMA was completed, a statewide analysis to demonstrate the analysis modules in the system was carried out using historic ADOT data. The analysis included identifying ADOT's network budgetary needs and network performance using historic data and comparing these results to actual measured performance data. The results of the analysis showed that ADOT HPMA successfully modeled the historic trends of ADOT pavements and accurately represented ADOT's network conditions.

To demonstrate ADOT HPMA software performance and verify the analysis settings and models in the software, two sets of analyses were performed using the ADOT HPMA. The analyses were performed starting from the year 2000. Thus, the performance data from the following years were not considered in the analysis. The analysis results were subsequently evaluated against the actual data from the years 2000 through 2003.

The objective of the first analysis set was to predict the funding levels for the network required to achieve specific performance levels over the years 2000 through 2003. These performance levels were the actual measured performance of ADOT during this period. The analysis results were then compared to the actual funding levels provided by ADOT during the same analysis period.

The objective of the second analysis was to predict the network performance under a specific budget stream over the years 2000 through 2003. Again, this budget represented the actual budget spent over the analysis period, and the analysis results were compared to the actual network performance over the same period.

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) has been one of the pioneering states in the development and implementation of Pavement Management Systems (PMS). Since the early 1980's, ADOT has been using pavement management tools to manage, maintain and preserve Arizona's highway network. ADOT's PMS tools were originally based on a probabilistic approach for modeling the pavement performance, which were adequate for the original ADOT requirements.

Recently, ADOT has decided to expand the use of the PMS tools to also support the pavement maintenance operations. This required a change in the existing ADOT's PMS tools, which prompted a need to move to a different pavement management software. Subsequently, ADOT selected Stantec's Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) software to replace its pavement management system, and retained Stantec's services for structuring, data loading, model development, and implementing the HPMA.

HPMA is a single software application that provides full database management and analysis capabilities required by the two types of users (PMS and Maintenance). The HPMA provides capability for users to work at both the detailed highway level and the aggregated section level. Also it provides a wide variety of analysis capabilities, including corrective maintenance, preventive maintenance, and rehabilitation analysis.

This report documents the approach used to achieve the goals of this project including the customization of the HPMA to address ADOT requirements, the development of the analysis models, which are based on ADOT historic performance data, and the implementation of these analysis models in conducting a statewide analysis.

### 1.2 REPORT ORGANIZATION

The report is divided into seven sections. Sections 1 and 2 provide an introduction and overview of the project approach and the HPMA software, respectively. Section 3 details the HPMA customization to address ADOT requirements, while Section 4 gives an overview of the data loading process.

Section 5 of the report describes the development of the analysis models required for the Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) analysis. Results of the statewide optimization analysis are presented in Section 6, while in Section 7 the installation of the HPMA on ADOT computers is described.

### Phase 1: Conceptual Design

- \*Review Existing Needs
- \*Parameter Review & Development
- \*Develop a Detailed Conceptual Plan
  - \*Present Conceptual Plan to TAC

### Phase 2: Develop HPMA

- \*Structure & Populate Database
- \*Develop Analysis Models
- \*Deliver HPMA to TAC

#### Phase 3: Conduct Statewide Analysis

- \*Conduct Statewide Analysis
- \*Modify Analysis Parameters
- \*Rerun Analysis & Finalize Parameters

#### Phase 4: Install Software

- \*Provide Final Report & Documentation
- \*Install HPMA on ADOT Computers
- \*Conduct Training
- \*Provide Software License

#### Figure 2.1: Project Outline

# 2.0 PROJECT APPROACH OVERVIEW

In an effort to expand its use of the pavement management tools to support maintenance functions, ADOT selected Stantec's Highway Pavement Management Application (HPMA) software to replace its pavement management system, and retained Stantec's services for structuring, data loading, model development, and implementing the HPMA.

The HPMA is a single software application that provides complete database management and analysis capabilities. It provides capability for users to work at both the detailed highway level and the aggregated section level. Also it provides a wide variety of analysis capabilities, including corrective maintenance, preventive maintenance, and rehabilitation analysis.

In this section, an overview of the project approach together with the HPMA is presented.

### 2.1 PROJECT APPROACH OVERVIEW

Figure 2.1 shows the overall approach followed to achieve the project objectives. The approach is divided into four main phases, which are:

- 1. Development of a Conceptual Design and Layout
- 2. Structure and Develop Pavement Management Database and Models
- 3. Conduct State-wide Analysis
- 4. Install HPMA, train ADOT staff, and provide software technical support

The development of the conceptual plan involved assessing the old ADOT database structure and data elements, identifying the needs of the various system users and determining the availability, relevance and method of importing the data items. The types of the available data were reviewed in terms of the sources, reliability, and level of necessity. This task also involved reviewing the models and parameters used in the Department's current pavement management system. Based on this review, a detailed conceptual plan for the development of ADOT HPMA was developed and presented to the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC).

As part of Phase 1 of the project, Stantec provided a three-day training session in Phoenix for different expected users of ADOT's HPMA. The main objective of this training was to help ADOT staff understand the HPMA and thus better define the required software customization.

The second phase of the project was directed towards loading ADOT's data into the HPMA database, modifying some of the HPMA functions and adding more functions to satisfy ADOT requests, and developing the required analysis models. Data loading and model development were carried out based on the conceptual plan developed in Phase 1 of the project and the feedback received from TAC. Data was loaded from the existing data sources in ADOT and converted as necessary. The HPMA code tables were first populated and then the data was loaded as required.

ADOT requested a number of modifications and enhancements to the functionality of the HPMA software, which were implemented in this phase of the project. These modifications included the inclusion of the maintenance history in the priority rating, modifications to some of the table structures, and adding some additional reports, etc. Details of these modifications and enhancements are described in Section 3.0 of this report.

The HPMA models and parameters including the condition indices, pavement types, distress types, rehabilitation and maintenance treatments, decision trees, etc., were developed at this stage. The HPMA database and analysis models were then presented to the TAC for feedback.

In Phase 3 of the project, a statewide network analysis was performed. The main purpose of this analysis was to evaluate the loaded data and the developed models, as well as to fine tune the models to produce acceptable results. Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) analysis, and a budget optimization analysis were conducted to produce a 5-year capital improvement program. Also, ADOT carried out Beta testing of the system and the analysis results, including a comparison with the existing pavement management system results. Based on the analysis results and results of the Beta testing, the models and analysis parameters were refined. The network analysis was then repeated and the results were highly correlated with the observed network performance and budgetary needs. The analysis results were deemed acceptable by ADOT.

The final Phase of the project involved the implementation and delivery of the HPMA to ADOT, where the system was installed at ADOT offices on a Microsoft<sup>®</sup> SQL server. Also this task involved the submission of the final report, user documentation, and training.

### 2.2 OVERVIEW OF HPMA SOFTWARE

The ADOT HPMA includes four subsystems namely: the Database Subsystem, the Network Analysis Subsystem, the Engineering Feedback Subsystem, and the Project Design and Analysis Subsystem.

### 2.2.1 Database Management Subsystem

The HPMA database utilizes a two level structure to serve the required pavement management functions, which are a detailed highway database and a summarized sectional database.

All data types are loaded to the detailed highway database, as well as including all historical records. All detailed highway data items are referenced by physical location using the existing route identifier and milepost reference system defined within ADOT HPMA. The types of detailed data maintained in the database include:

• Inventory Data: section identification data (location, pavement type, functional class, etc.) and geometric data (length, width, number of lanes, etc.);

- Traffic Data: annual average daily traffic (AADT), equivalent single-axle load (ESAL), growth rates, etc.;
- Pavement Structure History Data: structural activity derived from the AS-BUILT Database and updated as rehabilitation treatments are implemented;
- Maintenance History Data: activities and costs by location to come from the maintenance management system (MMS); and
- Performance data from the condition data collection (field testing) efforts. The primary PMS performance data for the network analysis are the surface distress, roughness and rut data.

The main purpose of building the section data view is to create homogeneous sections from the detailed database for use in the M&R analysis and optimization. The creation of Sectional Data View (SDV) requires the detailed database to be loaded, the default prediction models to be populated and the parameter and code tables to be completed. The section data view creation module builds the SDVs from the section definitions and aggregates the appropriate data from the detailed highway database. Numeric fields are calculated as an average, weighted by the length of the sub-sections, while type fields are based on the longest length of sub-sections. The section data views are created within the system through the use of dynamic sectioning utilizing user-defined sectioning parameters, or as overrides, where the user defines the section limits to be included. It should be noted that there is no limit on the number of SDVs that can be created within ADOT HPMA, since any SDV is created based on the detailed highway level data already stored in the HPMA

Figure 2.2 shows the interactions of the HPMA subsystems. As can be seen, the Network Analysis Subsystem uses the sectional database; and the Engineering Feedback Subsystem and the Project Analysis Subsystem use the (highway) database.



Figure 2.2: Relationship between ADOT HPMA Subsystems

The HPMA database subsystem provides several key functions. These functions include specific tools for performing the basic database management capabilities such as storage and update of highway attributes, browse, and edit functions. Also, functions to perform queries and calculate summary statistics are available in the subsystem.

Another major database management function is the access control. User access is controlled through the User Identification (ID) and password, which provides different levels of access. For example, limited number of users have access to the condition data update, while all users have access to view and report this data. As another example, users in one region will have access only to the data of their region.

### 2.2.2 Network Analysis Subsystem

The purpose of the network level analysis procedures is to determine the current and future maintenance and rehabilitation needs and to develop priority programs to implement the appropriate treatments. The Network Analysis Subsystem provides two types of analysis procedures, namely: the "Maintenance Analysis" and the "Maintenance and Rehabilitation Analysis", or "M&R Analysis". The "Maintenance Analysis" procedure provides a one-year program of maintenance activities based on the detailed analysis of distresses. The "M&R Analysis" procedure, on the other hand, provides multi-year work programs that can incorporate both maintenance and rehabilitation activities.

### 2.2.2.1 Maintenance Analysis Procedure

Two types of maintenance analysis are available in ADOT HPMA, which are:

- Maintenance Needs Analysis
- Maintenance Budget Analysis

The maintenance needs analysis uses the detailed surface distress data to estimate the demand-based maintenance needs for contract estimation purposes. This is based on maintenance standards that define the activities required in the next two years to fix the observed surface deficiencies. The observed distress data is compared to the maintenance standards to determine the actual requirements.

The maintenance budget analysis uses the output of the maintenance needs analysis and user defined budget constraints to generate a maintenance work program. In this program, sections and the recommended treatments are selected based on the highest cost-effectiveness. Effectiveness is expressed as a function of the improvement in the surface distress index that should be observed after fixing the distress.

### 2.2.2.2 Maintenance & Rehabilitation Analysis Procedure

The Rehabilitation Programming Subsystem provides the following capabilities:

- Rehabilitation needs analysis
- Rehabilitation alternatives analysis
- Rehabilitation programming and budgeting analysis

The rehabilitation needs analysis is used to predict section performance in terms of the individual performance indices and to determine the present and future rehabilitation needs. The rehabilitation alternatives analysis involves the strategy screening, performance predictions, and economic analyses of the rehabilitation alternatives.

The rehabilitation programming and budgeting analysis provides two main functions, which are developing rehabilitation work programs based on budget constraints and determining the effects of various funding levels on the network performance and needs backlog (or conversely, determining the required budget levels to provide given levels of service).

The optimization analysis includes two modes of operation:

- Effectiveness-maximization, where the optimal work programs are determined based on given funding levels
- Cost-minimization, which provides a means of determining required funding levels to achieve specific performance levels

Funding scenarios can be evaluated by running the analysis in the effectiveness maximization mode with the different funding levels as input constraints. Service level scenarios can be evaluated by running the analysis in the cost-minimization mode with the service levels as input constraints in terms of required performance.

### 2.2.3 Engineering Feedback Subsystem

The Engineering Feedback Subsystem provides information feedback for evaluating the effectiveness of achieving technical goals, and includes the following capabilities:

- Analysis of pavement performance trends providing feedback for updating the performance prediction models
- Evaluation of the effectiveness of specific maintenance and rehabilitation alternatives in achieving technical goals such as minimum expected life, extension of service life, reduction in rutting, etc.
- Determination of distress trends

Within this subsystem, the maintenance and rehabilitation treatment effectiveness analysis provides the capability to evaluate the effectiveness of specific activities in terms of performance and cost for a specific group of sections. A specific group of sections for this analysis can be defined in terms of a pavement performance class, highway, functional class, etc. The types of activities to be analyzed can include original construction or any defined maintenance or rehabilitation activity. This feature allows ADOT to determine which treatment alternatives are meeting the expected performance goals in terms of distresses, roughness and overall service life.

The performance model analysis component of the Engineering Feedback Subsystem examines the historical records for sections matching each performance class and provides plots of the actual section performance data along with the predictions of the

current models. Statistical calculations are performed to determine updated model coefficients based on the actual data set. The updated coefficients can then be used to fine-tune the prediction models.

The distress trend analysis component of the Engineering Feedback Subsystem can be used to provide feedback on the progression of observed distresses. The analysis involves selecting all of the distress data for a network subset and performing statistical analyses to determine average distress trends for each distress type. The results are summary statistics including number of observations, averages, standard deviations, etc. and plots to show the observed distresses and the average percentage of the distressed area with age for each distress type. By selecting the implementation of particular maintenance or rehabilitation treatments as part of the subset definition, this capability can identify any trends in distress occurrence for specific treatments.

### 2.2.4 Project Design & Analysis Subsystem

The Project Design & Analysis Subsystem provides a means of performing project-level Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA).

Typically, detailed design alternatives for selected projects are evaluated based on life cycle costs and effectiveness. Results of FWD analysis along with surface distress, rutting and roughness data, are used in this evaluation. The user has the option of selecting the alternative design with highest cost-effectiveness, the lowest life cycle cost or the lowest user delay.

### 3.0 ADOT PMS FUNCTIONALITY AND SOFTWARE MODIFICATION

ADOT required a comprehensive set of functions in the HPMA covering all aspects of pavement management, including performance predictions, analysis of rehabilitation alternatives, and network optimization. Most of these needs were originally available in the HPMA software. However, during the course of the project, additional functionality based on ADOT requirements were identified and added to the software. The customization of the software included enhancing some of the existing functions and adding new functions that allows users to perform specific data manipulation and analysis tasks.

In this section, the specific functional modifications to the HPMA added as per ADOT requirements are presented. Table 3.1 shows a summary of these modifications, with reference to the subsection number where these modifications are described. The function number refers to the screen number in the ADOT HPMA. It should be noted that this Section does not cover all the functions of the HPMA, but only highlights the functions that were added to satisfy ADOT requests.

Need	HPMA Function	Software Modification
Highway referencing	Function 2-1 provides a variety of referencing methods.	Function 2-1 was modified to include ADOT required referencing system. Details in Section 3.1
Multiple treatment occurrences within the same year	HPMA originally used the "year" as a reference key	HPMA was modified to account for multiple occurrences within the same year. Details in Section 3.2
Overall Index including maintenance costs	The HPMA provides several performance indices to be included in the overall index and the priority rating	HPMA Function 3-1 was modified to include the maintenance costs in the Overall index and Priority rating. Details in Section 3.3
Performance prediction models for roughness and distresses	Functions 3-3 and 3-4 are used to define models by performance class. Function 5-1 builds site-specific models for each section Functions 7-1 and 7-2 are used to analyze the historical database to update performance class based models The individual section models could not be modified	Minor modifications were required for the existing HPMA functionality Details in Section 3.4

#### Table 3.1: PMS Needs and HPMA Function

Need	HPMA Function	Software Modification
FWD analysis calculations using Structural Overlay Design for Arizona (SODA)	Functions 1-2-1 and 4-1-14 provide FWD calculations using AASHTO models. The SODA required software modifications	Minor modifications to Function 4-1-14 Details in Section 3.5
Summary network performance plot including IRI	Function 4-4 provides summary network performance plots.	Function 4-4 was modified to include IRI. Details in Section 3.6
Construction history data including the percent voids	Function 4-1-17 provides construction history details, however ADOT has identified additional information to be stored	Function 4-1-17 was modified. Details in Section 3.7
Report summarizing historical maintenance activities including costs and level of service	Function 5-5 provides various sections reporting capabilities	Function 5-5 was modified to provide the required ADOT format. Details in Section 3.8
District and Maintenance Organization numbers using maintenance codes	HPMA jurisdiction fields used to store District and Maintenance Organization numbers	The jurisdiction field was modified to store the correct number of digits Details in Section 3.9
Optimization performance and cost summary graphic reports	Function 6-3-r provides optimization reporting including various graphic reports. Performance graphs are available but cost summary was only produced as a text summary	Graphic cost summary report was added in Function 6-3-r Details in Section 3.10
Friction history data including additional items	Function 4-1-15 provides friction history data, however ADOT identified additional information to be stored	Function 4-1-15 was enhanced. Details in Section 3.11
Network performance plots by route type	Functions 4-4 and 5-7 provide summary network performance plots, but the plots could not be categorized by route type	The software was modified to provide network performance plots by route type. Details in Section 3.12.
Optimization performance constraints by route type	Function 6-3-c allows the users to define budget and performance constraints for different indices. ADOT needed to be able to define performance constraints by route type	Function 6-3-c was changed to allow constraints by route type Details in Section 3.13.
Import of PECOS maintenance activity data to highway database	A custom external load module was developed to transfer data from a PECOS file to the HPMA database	One-time development

### 3.1 HIGHWAY REFERENCING BASED ON MILEPOST RELATIVE DISTANCE

The HPMA highway database uses a Linear Referencing system. This referencing system originally included two referencing methods: a true-distance referencing method and a reference post plus an offset referencing method, as shown in Figure 3.1

ADOT highways are referenced in the HPMA based on milepost relative distances, such that the reference post is considered as an approximate distance. However, the true milepost location is stored as true distance in the landmark table. Other landmarks such as bridges and highway intersections are stored based on milepost relative distances in the same table.

🌠 Highway ID & I	Referencing			
	5 Q ~ ?			2-1
Route	Route Aux ID	Direction	Ramp ID	Referencing
		-Linear Referencing: - Referencing Typ -Other Options: - I Divided I Include t	e: O True Distance O Reference Pos O Reference Pos hwys with zeros at o ramp ID fields in HPM.	t + Offset t as Distance pposite ends 4
Hwy ID Fields				OK

Figure 3.1: Highway Referencing

In order to calculate true section lengths when building section data views, the milepost locations, which are stored in the landmark table, are used in reference to the nominal mileposts stored in the highway definition table. Therefore, a new setting was required on the referencing tab of function 2-1 to indicate this setting, as shown in Figure 3.1. The section data view builder (function 5-1) was modified to use this setting to calculate correct section lengths from the milepost locations.

### 3.2 CONDITION DATA WITH MULTIPLE OCCURRENCES PER YEAR

Previously the HPMA highway database historical tables used location and year as a key field, which allowed only one condition measurement per year. However, ADOT's historic condition data includes in some cases multiple measurements for a specific section in the same year. Therefore, a change was done to this key to allow multiple entries in the same year for the pavement structure, deflection, and friction tables.

### 3.3 OVERALL INDEX INCLUDING MAINTENANCE COSTS

The HPMA provides several performance indices including an overall index, which combines the roughness, distress and deflection based indices into an overall score. A priority index is also used to allow weighting of the overall index by other factors. ADOT indicated a need to include the average maintenance cost of the last three years in the overall index.

Neither the overall index nor the priority index is stored at the highway database level, although the overall index is calculated for use in certain highway database based graphs. Both the overall index and the priority index are calculated and stored with section data views. The priority index calculation method was modified to allow the inclusion of the past average maintenance costs, as shown in Figure 3.2. Also, the average maintenance cost was added as a new field to the section data view.

🌠 Performance Indices		×
🗜 🖸 🤶		3-1
Roughness Index: PSR Pavement Servicability Rating Distre Distre Roughness Index (Over International Index (Over International Index (International Index (International Index (International Index (International International Internati	0.0 5 Standard Exponential all Index Function) ndex: PRI : Priority Rating Index	Predict PSR Model
Defle New Model: (1/01)*(AA	DT) DT)	Replace L
Available Terms for Model:	OI  : Overall Index (POI)    ROCd  : Rate of Change (PDI)    RLo  : Remaining Life (PQI)    RLr  : Remaining Life (PSR)    BLd  : Bemaining Life (PDI)	ок І
Priority Index:	RLc : Section Remaining Life MC3 : Maint. Cost (3-yr Avg) RutD : Rut Depth	PRI Model
Condition Categories	Re-Calculate Indices	Close

Figure 3.2: Maintenance Cost in the Overall Index Function

### 3.4 SECTIONAL LEVEL PREDICTION MODELS

Previously the HPMA determined prediction model coefficients for individual sections when building a section data view. Models were either calculated as site-specific models or as default models based on performance class, depending on the available historical data. Once the section models were determined, the user could not modify the individual section models. ADOT had indicated a need to be able to modify the models for individual sections. The HPMA Function 5-2 (Section Detail Browse) was modified to allow the user to modify the prediction models for a section. The system will then recalculate the future performance of the section.

### 3.5 FWD BACKCALCULATIONS

HPMA provides overlay thickness calculations for FWD data using the AASHTO models. ADOT had indicated the need to use the ADOT-specific models from the Structural Overlay Design for Arizona (SODA). This alternative was included as an option in the FWD data loading and calculations.

In addition to the calculation procedure, the deflection data browse screen (4-1-14) was modified to allow the user to specify the analysis base year and length of analysis period and recalculate the overlay thickness for the selected subset of deflection data. This required the addition of two new fields in the deflection table to store the analysis base year and length of programming period.

### 3.6 SUMMARY NETWORK PERFORMANCE PLOT SHOWING IRI

The HPMA Function 4-4 (Highway Network Performance Plot) provides network performance summary plots for various performance indices for roughness, distresses, etc. Based on ADOT's request, an IRI plot was added to this function, in addition to the roughness index defined in the HPMA.

### 3.7 ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION HISTORY DATA ITEMS

The HPMA construction history data, accessed through Function 4-1-17 (Project Details), includes many data items related to the construction and materials. ADOT identified additional data items related to the construction to be included in the database. These items are:

- Percent air voids
- Rice maximum density

The HPMA construction history table was modified to include the additional items.

### 3.8 MAINTENANCE HISTORY REPORTING

The HPMA Function 5-5 provides a wide variety of section data view reports. Previously, there was no report matching the request for a maintenance history report. The most similar type of report providing the information was the Section History report. However this report was a one page per section report providing all of the data available for a section including history.

A new report format was added to Function 5-5, providing a simpler layout with multiple sections per page and providing the maintenance history from the highway database along with summary performance data for the section data view, as shown in Figure 3.3.

🌠 Section List Report		×
a d 🗗 🖻 🙎		5-5
Report Type: Maintenance History Current Data Performance History Section History Distress Data Remaining Service Life Rehabilitation Need Maintenance History	Performance History Report:      Year: From:    2004 -      To:    2004 -      Order:    Ascending      © Descending    Distress	1
Subset: All Sections Data View: AZ - Base Yr 2000 - Updated As-B	العلم	
	Close	

Figure 3.3: Maintenance History Report – Function 5-5

### 3.9 EXPANSION OF DISTRICT AND MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATION FIELDS

The HPMA includes multiple levels of user-definable jurisdiction types. Jurisdictions Levels 1 and 2 in the ADOT HPMA are the Districts and Maintenance Orgs, respectively. The numeric code fields for these jurisdictions were insufficient in size for the codes used by ADOT. As a result, changes were made to the field sizes as follows:

- Jurisdiction Level 1 District (HPMA table TAB\_REGN) previously 1 digit ADOT requested 2 digits.
- Jurisdiction Level 2 Maintenance Org. (HPMA table TAB\_DSRT) previously 2 digits ADOT requested 4 digits.

### 3.10 OPTIMIZATION COST SUMMARY GRAPHIC REPORT

The HPMA optimization reporting includes various text and graphic reports. Previously the cost summary report was only available as a text report. A new graphic report was added that provides cost summary in terms of bar-chart graphs comparing total costs. Three graph options were added to 'ADOT's' HPMA, which are:

- 1. Total costs by year providing comparison of multiple optimization runs in the same graph (x-axis is years, y-axis is cost, multiple bars within a year represent multiple optimization runs), as shown in Figure 3.4.
- 2. Total costs by year providing comparison of multiple activities in the same graph (x-axis is years, y-axis is cost, multiple bars within a year represent multiple activities), as shown in Figure 3.5.
- 3. Total costs by year providing comparison of both multiple optimization runs and multiple activities in the same graph (x-axis is years, y-axis is cost, multiple bars within a year represent multiple optimization runs, bars are stacked color blocks representing multiple activities), as shown in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.4: Total Cost Comparison of Multiple Optimization Runs



Figure 3.5: Activities Cost Comparison by Year for Multiple Optimization Runs



Figure 3.6: Total Cost Comparison by Stacked Activities Costs

### 3.11 ADDITIONAL FRICTION DATA FIELDS

The ADOT friction data contained more data items than the HPMA friction table. The HPMA highway database friction table Function 4-1-15 was modified to accommodate the additional friction data fields so that all of the information in the source text files could be included in the database.

### 3.12 NETWORK PERFORMANCE PLOTS BY ROUTE TYPE

The HPMA Functions 4-4 (Highway Network Performance Plot) and 5-7 (Sectional Graphic Report) provide summary network performance plots for various performance indices. Previously, these functions could not produce plots by route type (i.e., Interstate vs. Non-Interstate). However, these functions were modified to accommodate ADOT's requirement to allow for showing the network performance plots by route type.

Function 3-1-cat, which can be accessed from either Function 4-4 and 5-7, was added to the ADOT HPMA, where the condition categories or performance ranges could be defined based on the route type, as shown in Figure 3.7.

🌠 Optimization Constraints		×
1 <b>1 X</b> 🖺 🖻 🔋		▼ 6-3-c
Descri	otion: AZ Budget	ID: Budg
Budgets	Performance	Options
Performance Constraints	by Class:	
RQI-		BIT 1
🛐 Year Avg 😹	Avg   Rural Principal	ROP 2
2004 0.0 1	Bural Minor Arte	RMA 6
2005 0.0 1	DOI 0.01 Dural Minor Coll	IRMC /
2006 0.0 1	00 0.0 Bural ocal	
2007 0.0 1	0 0.0 Urban Interstate	
2008 0.0 1	0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2009 0.0 1	0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2010 0.0 1		
2013 0.0 1		
2014 0.0 1		
2016 0.0 1		
2017 0.0 1		
2018 0.0 1	00 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2019 0.0 1	0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2020 0.0 1	0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2021 0.0 1	0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2022 0.0 1	0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
2023 0.0 1	0.0 0.0 100 0.0 100	
		ОК

Figure 3.7: Defining Performance Categories by Route Type

#### 3.13 OPTIMIZATION PERFORMANCE CONSTRAINTS BY ROUTE TYPE

The ADOT Pavement Preservation Program has a goal to maintain the PSR at 4.0 for Interstate highways and 3.2 for Non-Interstate highways. Originally, the HPMA was designed to provide the performance constraints during the budget scenario analyses as an overall constraint rather than constraints categorized by route type.

Based on ADOT's requirements, Function 6-3-c was modified to allow defining optimization performance constraints by functional classification, as shown in Figure 3.8

	<b>%</b> I	ndex Category Ranges				×	
🧖 Sectiona	6	a 🗠 🤶				3-1-cat	
	Condition Category			Numeric Ranges			1
600		-Categories: 4 🛓	Route:				
	. 1.	Category Roor	PSR US US	terstate S Route tate Boute	▲ PQI	IRI	
481	2:	Fair	<= 3.00 FU U	terstate Fronta <u>c</u> S Frontage	3.00	>= 500.00	
360	3:	Good	<= 3.50 FS SF	R Frontage terstate Ramp	▼ 3.50	>= 200.00	A
1011.	4:	Excellent	<= 5.00	5.00 5.00	5.00	>= 0.00	
24	5:		<=			>=	
12(	6:		<=			>=	Index:
	7:		<=			>=	D PDI
	8:		<=			>=	PQI
	9:		<=			>=	Critical
2004/06/	2 10:		<=			>=	Distress
							ategories
		Apply Change to Al		OK			

Figure 3.8: Defining Performance Constraints by Functional Classification

# 4.0 DEVELOPMENT OF PMS DATABASE

The HPMA uses a two-level data model: a detailed highway database, and a denormalized sectional data view. The source data are loaded and/or maintained in the detailed highway database. The section data views are created within the system through the use of dynamic sectioning utilizing user-defined sectioning parameters.

The detailed highway database includes database tables for each type of roadway data (jurisdictions, geometric, project history, traffic, roughness, distress, etc.) and provides for the storage of historical data for traffic, projects and performance data. This database approach allows the different data types to be stored based on their respective representative segments, rather than forcing a common segmentation approach to fit all data.

The development and implementation of ADOT HPMA involved defining ADOT highway network in the HPMA and then importing the attribute data, including traffic, and historic performance data for each highway section into the HPMA. This task required examining different sources of data in ADOT, customization of data loading modules, populating code tables in the HPMA, and finally loading the required data into the software. In this section, the process of loading the highway referencing, defining the code tables, loading the attributes and historic performance data is described.

### 4.1 HPMA DATABASE

The HPMA highway database is composed of a set of **database tables** and **code tables**. The database tables, which are described in more details in Section 4.4 of this report and Part A of the report, include tables encompassing the following types of data:

- Highway definitions (start and end mile points, overlaps, etc.)
- Highway landmarks or events (bridges, railroad crossings, intersections, etc.)
- Highway attributes (jurisdiction, administrative, environment, geometrics, shoulders, etc.)
- Traffic data (AADT, ESAL, growth rate, etc.)
- Construction history data (project limits, treatments, layers & materials)
- Performance data (roughness, distress, deflection, friction)
- Images
- Additional construction related tables (cores, Ground Penetrating Radar data)
- Additional tables (documents, programmed work, segment unit costs)

The HPMA code tables define the "pick lists" used within the system. Attributes that have corresponding code tables are limited to the entries in those code tables as being the valid entries. The populated code tables for ADOT are described in the Section 4.3 below.

### 4.2 DATA SOURCES

Stantec reviewed ADOT's existing pavement management database, maintenance activities database, and all other available relevant databases. The database review was conducted with consideration given to ADOT's existing PMS practices, HPMA system capabilities, and ADOT's desired future PMS practices. In addition, the existing ADOT databases and data sources were reviewed from the viewpoint of an initial population of the HPMA database, as well as future updating methods and sources for the various types of data. The review included the following databases:

- 1. ADOT Pavement Management Database
- 2. Arizona Transportation Information System (ATIS) Roads
- 3. Arizona Highway Log Database
- 4. ADOT maintenance activities SQL Server based PECOS
- 5. Image Data
- 6. ADOT material's database FAST
- 7. Feature Inventory Database
- 8. Arizona Information Data Warehouse
- 9. Traffic Data Files

All the data evaluation took place during and after the loading process.

### 4.3 PARAMETER CODE TABLE

Parameter code tables are defined in the system providing the definitions of various attributes and codes for use in the database. These code tables are used in both the highway database and the section data views. Code tables must be defined prior to loading the data into the highway database, since the loaded data must correspond to these code tables. This process is outlined in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1: ADOT HPMA Database Population

The parameter code tables fall into several categories that can be summarized as follows:

- Highway ID (route types, auxiliary ID, directions)
- Jurisdiction (districts, orgs, counties, COGs, cities)
- Administrative (functional class, elevation zones)

- Environment (environment, terrain)
- Pavement/Median (pavement types, median types)
- Shoulder/Drainage (shoulder types, drainage types, curb types)
- Construction (activities, layer types, material types, etc.)
- Distress Types (defined for each pavement type)
- Traffic Classes
- Deflection Information (device types)

Based on discussions with ADOT, these parameters were finalized and populated with ADOT-specific information. The following subsections describe briefly the parameters' settings in ADOT HPMA. A detailed description of the parameter code tables is shown in Volume 2.

### 4.3.1 Highway ID and Referencing

The first step in configuring any PMS is developing a way to uniquely identify all of the routes in the network. The HPMA uses the following data items to identify any location on the network:

- Route Types
- Route Number
- Route Auxiliary ID
- Highway Direction
- Mile Post/Reference Nodes

Since the Route Number and Mileposts are displayed as a number, they do not require a list of acceptable values. However, the other items need to be specified in order to correctly identify all routes.

**Route Types:** The Route Type code table is used to define the route types in the network (for example; Interstate, State Route, etc.). The Route types defined in ADOT HPMA are:

- Interstate Routes I-
- US Routes
  US
- State Routes SR
- Interstate Frontage FI
- US Frontage FU
- SR Frontage FS
- Interstate Ramp RI
- US Ramp RU
- SR Ramp RS

**Route Aux. ID:** The Route Aux ID table is used to define the auxiliary ID codes. The auxiliary identifier is typically used to identify business loops, bypasses, alternate routes, etc. The Route Auxiliaries defined in ADOT HPMA are:

- Alternative Route
- Business Route B

А

- Loop Route
  L
- Spur R
- Truck T
- Temporary X
- Wye Leg Y

**Highway Directions:** The Highway Directions table is used to define the valid directions that are used as part of the unique highway identification. The main purpose of the highway direction field is to separately define multiple sides of a divided highway. The attributes that had to be defined for the Highway Directions table are the direction Code, ID, Description, Pos/Neg (Positive/Negative), and Opp Dir (Opposite Direct).

The direction Code is a numeric identifier. The ID is a 1-character short form that is used on reports and as part of the highway identifier. The Pos/Neg is used to indicate whether the direction is a positive or negative direction. Positive directions have increasing distance reference in the direction of travel. Negative directions have decreasing distance reference in the direction of travel. The Opposite Direction field contains the opposite direction of travel for a route with this direction.

The Direction used on Landmarks checkbox is used to indicate whether highway events / landmarks (highway intersections, bridges, railroad crossings, etc.) in the highway database, use the direction field. When not checked, this means that both sides of a divided highway share the landmarks. For ADOT HPMA, this checkbox is checked.

**Referencing:** The Referencing field is used to define the type of referencing used, linear referencing, or reference post and offset, as well as to indicate units of measurement and whether ramps are included.

The Linear Referencing Type is defined as one of three types:

- True distance, where the distance referencing represents the actual distance traveled.
- Reference post plus offset, which provides referencing displayed as a post number (often a mile post) plus the distance offset from the reference post (the distance traveled from the reference post).
- Reference Post as a Distance, where the reference, or milepost is considered as an approximate distance and exact distance is defined in the highway landmarks table.

ADOT HPMA uses the third approach for linear referencing of the highway network.

### 4.3.2 Jurisdiction

Jurisdictions define boundaries of interest for a road segment and typically include districts, counties, etc. The first four levels of jurisdiction are user-definable. The last two are predefined as being Urban Areas and Cities. ADOT HPMA Jurisdiction Tables were configured to define the following jurisdiction levels:

- District
- Maintenance Organization
- County
- Council of Government (COG)
- Urban Areas
- City

**Districts / Maintenance Organizations:** The districts are geographical regions used to divide up the state. The Districts defined in ADOT HPMA are Phoenix, Tucson, Yuma, Globe, Safford, Flagstaff, Kingman, Holbrook, and Prescott. Also forty-five Maintenance Organizations were defined for ADOT, which are shown in Table A.1 in Appendix A.

**County:** The County is the third level of jurisdiction defined in ADOT HPMA. This table is used to identify all available counties in the HPMA, which are 15 counties, shown in Appendix A of this report. The attributes that need to be defined for the County Table are the Code, Name, Maintenance Organization, Environment, Subgrade, and Cost Factor. The environment field contains the corresponding environmental region specified in the Environment table. The subgrade field has a default value used for a subgrade condition in this jurisdiction. The cost factor is an adjustment factor for the unit material costs for construction within this jurisdiction.

**Council of Governments:** Table A.2 in Appendix A shows the eight Councils of Governments (COGs) that were defined in the HPMA.

**Urban Areas/Cities:** These tables are used to indicate when a road segment is within a city and urban area. Table A.3 in Appendix A lists the three Urban Areas and the eighty-nine cities that were defined for ADOT in the HPMA.

### 4.3.3 Administrative

The Administrative Tables include the Functional Classifications and the Administrative Classifications. The Functional Classification table contains the list of the valid functional classes along with corresponding default data values. Default data values are used in the system if there is no actual data for a segment. The administrative system can be used to contain a user--defined attribute.

**Functional Class:** Functional Classes are used to help describe the characteristics of a roadway. This level of route classification is used to help in making assumptions about a

route, if measured data is not available. For each functional class, the following default values are used during the analysis if section-specific data is missing:

- AADT Average Annual Daily Traffic
- % Trucks Percentage of trucks in the AADT
- Truck Factor The average ESALs for each truck.
- ESAL The annual number of Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESALs).
- GR. Rate The expected increase (in percent) of traffic annually.
- SN Default Structural Number for sections within this functional class.
- Activity Default activity, if not known, used when determining the performance class of a section.
- Width Default width of a pavement.
- Lane Default number of lanes assumed to be on a pavement of this class.
- Priority A factor that can be used in the calculation of the priority index.

It should be noted that the default values were determined based on the results of the statistical analysis performed on the available historic data from ADOT highway network. In case no historic data was available, default values were set based on engineering judgment. Table A.4 in Appendix A shows the list of functional classes along with the set of default values.

Administrative System: The Administrative System Table is a user-definable table that can be used for any type of data. For ADOT HPMA, this table is used to define the elevation zone. Table A.5 in Appendix A shows the attributes of the Administrative System (Elevation Zone).

### 4.3.4 Environment

Environmental conditions have a significant impact on pavement performance. Therefore, HPMA allows the user to have different performance prediction models for different environmental conditions. The environment code table includes the **Environment Types** and the **Terrain Types**.

**Environment Types:** Three environmental zones are defined for Arizona, which are Desert, Transition, and Mountain. However, due to the expected difference in performance between sections on Interstate routes and sections on Non-Interstate routes, the environmental zone definition was used to differentiate between these sections. Therefore, six environmental zones were defined, which are:

• Desert -- Interstate

• Desert -- Non-Interstate

• Transition -- Interstate

Transition -- Non-Interstate

• Mountain -- Interstate

• Mountain -- Non-Interstate
It should be noted that this duplication would not affect any of the data or parameters in ADOT HPMA, but allows for defining different performance prediction models for different route types, within the same environmental zone.

**Terrain Types:** The three typical terrain types are Flat, Rolling and Rugged. Since ADOT did not have terrain attribute information, this table was not be used during data loading, and the terrain field in the HPMA database is left empty.

## 4.3.5 Pavement/Median

Pavement type is an essential attribute in HPMA. Most of the M&R analyses are pavement type dependent. Median type provides information on how a highway is divided.

**Pavement Types:** Pavement types are defined in terms of combinations of surface and base classes. This is usually determined based on factors that significantly affect the performance predictions since the pavement type is one of the factors included in the prediction modeling.

Table A.6 in Appendix A shows the pavement type table and its attributes that were configured for ADOT.

**Layer Classes:** To define the pavement type, a classification of the surface and base materials is used. These layer classes are to be viewed and modified by clicking on the Define Layer Classes Button. Table A.7 in Appendix A shows the attributes that were defined in the Layer Types for ADOT. The Pavement Class indicates the class of the layer in terms of Bituminous (B), Concrete (C) or Unpaved (U).

**Median Types:** Table A.8 in Appendix A shows the attributes of Median Type Table, which are the Code, ID, Description, and a divided/undivided checkbox.

## 4.3.6 Shoulder/Drainage

The Shoulder and Drainage related tables of HPMA contains optional information on additional items that are generally constructed along with a road segment, which may include:

- Shoulders
- Drainage
   Sidewalks

Curbs

Table A.9 in Appendix A shows Shoulder types that were configured for ADOT.

### 4.3.7 Construction

The construction parameter code table in HPMA includes four construction related tables, which are:

- Activities
   Binders/Aggregates
- Materials/layers
   Aggregate sources

M&R treatments and their associated unit costs need to be defined in HPMA. In addition, the impact of each treatment on the pavement type has to be defined. For example, an asphalt overlay over a concrete pavement will change the pavement type from concrete pavement to composite pavement. Table A.10 in Appendix A shows the list of M & R treatments and the associated attributes that were defined for ADOT.

All material types that have been used in previous projects and recorded in the construction history table have to be defined in the HPMA prior to data loading. The following attributes have to be defined in the Pavement Materials Table:

- SN factor
- Class
- Туре
- Default (Default Thickness): If a layer is known to be present but the thickness is not known, then this value is assumed.
- Min. (Minimum Thickness): This value is the minimum possible thickness for a material of this type.
- Max. (Maximum Thickness): This value is the maximum possible thickness for a material of this type.

Table A.11 in Appendix A shows the list of material types and associated attributes defined for ADOT.

### 4.3.8 Distress Types

The distress types used in the prediction models vary by pavement type. The following attributes are required for the distress types:

- Measure: This describes the units that are used in measuring the distress.
- Severity: This allows the user to select the number of severity levels defined for each distress type (Low, Moderate and High severity).

Since Arizona records only the extent of the distress and not the severity, only one level of severity is required. The extent of each distress is stored in ADOT HPMA as a percent of the highway area under the low severity level for that distress type. Table A.12 in Appendix A shows the HPMA Distress table that has to be configured for ADOT.

### 4.3.9 Traffic Classes

The HPMA Traffic Class table defines the traffic classes and the default ESAL factors for bituminous and concrete pavements. This table has to be configured to calculate the ESALs based on classification counts. Table A.13 in Appendix A shows the traffic default values defined for ADOT.

## 4.3.10 Deflection Testing Information

There are two tables contained within the HPMA to identify the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) equipment and testing parameters. Since all of the data loaded into the ADOT PMS was collected using the FWD, only one entry was required in this table (i.e., FWD).

Typical values for test type include Mid-slab, Approach Slab, and Leave Slab for concrete and composite pavements, and standard for asphalt pavements. For ADOT, the Deflection Test Type table used was Standard only.

## 4.4 DATA CONVERSION AND LOADING TO HPMA

The HPMA data loading was initially done using a Visual FoxPro (DBF) database. In Phase 4 of the project, the database was transferred to the SQL Server database, as requested by ADOT.

As mentioned earlier, the HPMA highway database is composed of a set of database tables and code tables. The database tables include tables encompassing the following types of data:

- Highway definitions (start and end mile points, overlaps, etc.)
- Highway landmarks or events (bridges, railroad crossings, intersections, etc.)
- Highway attributes (jurisdiction, administrative, environment, geometrics, shoulders, etc.)
- Traffic data (AADT, ESAL, growth rate, etc.)
- Construction history data (project limits, treatments, layers & materials)
- Performance data (roughness, distress, deflection, friction)
- Images
- Additional construction related tables (cores, GPR data)
- Additional tables (documents, programmed work, segment unit costs)

Due to the large number of tables used in the HPMA, a naming convention for the HPMA databases is devised to allow for the identification of different tables. The prefix in the tables' name would indicate the type of data stored within this table. The following prefixes are used in all types of tables:

- RIS = road inventory tables,
- HIS = historical data tables (including the most recent).
- TAB = parameter code tables
- PRM = parameter model coefficients tables

Table 4.1 lists the tables in the highway database. Table 4.2 lists the code tables used in the system. In Table 4.1 the *Data Format* refers to the following: *segment* has a "from" and "to" distance; *point* is at a point location (i.e. no from / to); *data* applies to the related segment through a table relationship.

In Table 4.2 the *Main HPMA Table* refers to the table name in Table 4.1 that the code table relates to.

Table Name	Data Type	Data Format	Data Source
RIS_HIWY	Highway definitions	Segment	ATIS Roads DB
RIS_EVNT	Highway landmarks / events	Point	ATIS Roads DB, Highway Log DB
RIS_JURS	Jurisdiction attributes	Segment	Data Warehouse extraction
RIS_ADMN	Administrative attributes	Segment	ADOT_PMS_Tables, Data Warehouse extraction
RIS_GEOM	Geometric attributes	Segment	ADOT_PMS_Tables, Highway Log DB
RIS_SHDR	Shoulder attributes	Segment	Highway Log DB
RIS_ENVR	Environment attributes	Segment	ADOT_PMS_Tables
RIS_SUFF	Sufficiency attributes	Segment	N/A
RIS_ACCT	Accident attributes	Segment	N/A
RIS_PRPH	Peripherals	Segment	N/A
RIS_DOCS	Documents	Segment	N/A
RIS_PGWK	Programmed work	Segment	N/A
HIS_TRAF	Traffic data	Segment	Processed TPD Traffic data file
HIS_STRC / HIS_PROJ / HIS_LAYR	Construction history project data	Segment / Data	ADOT_PMS_Tables // PECOS
HIS_AGGR	Aggregate Sources	Data	N/A
HIS_ROUG	Roughness and rut data	Segment	ADOT_PMS_Tables // Mays text
HIS_DIST	Distress data	Segment	ADOT_PMS_Tables // Condition text
HIS_DEFL	Deflection data	Point	ADOT_PMS_Tables
HIS_FRIC	Friction data	Point	ADOT_PMS_Tables // MuMeter text
RIS_IMAG	Images	Point	Image files
RIS_GPSC	GPS coordinates	Point	GPS centerline database
HIS_CORE / HIS_CORL	Core data / layers	Point / Data	N/A
HIS_GPRS / HIS_GPRL	GPR data segments / layers	Segment / Data	N/A

Table 4.1: HPMA Highway Database Tables with Identified Data Sources

\*\* N/A indicates not loaded in the ADOT implementation (the tables will exist in the database and can be used in the future).

Table	Description	Main HPMA Table
TAB_ADMN	Administrative systems	RIS_ADMN
TAB_AGGS	Aggregate Sources	HIS_AGGS
TAB_AUID	Auxiliary Ids	All (Hwy ID field)
TAB_CACT	Binder types	HIS_STRC
TAB_CAGG	Aggregate types	HIS_STRC
TAB_CITY	Cities	RIS_JURS
TAB_CNTY	Counties	RIS_JURS (All (optional Hwy ID field))
TAB_CTYP	Layer types	HIS_LAYR
TAB_CURB	Curb Types	HIS_PRPH
TAB_DDTP	Deflection device types	HIS_DEFL
TAB_DIRC	Directions	All (Hwy ID field)
TAB_DRAN	Drainage types	RIS_SHDR
TAB_DSRT	Districts (jurisdiction level 2)	RIS_JURS
TAB_DTTP	Deflection test type	HIS_DEFL
TAB_ELEC	Electoral districts	RIS_JURS
TAB_ENVR	Environmental zones	RIS_ENVR
TAB_FUNC	Functional classes	RIS_ADMN
TAB_JURL	Jurisdiction types	N/A
TAB_MATL	Material types	HIS_LAYR
TAB_MLDT	Median types	RIS_GEOM
TAB_PAVT	Pavement types	RIS_GEOM
TAB_REGN	Regions (jurisdiction level 1)	RIS_JURS
TAB_ROUT	Route types	All (Hwy ID field)
TAB_SACT	Activities (treatments)	HIS_STRC
TAB_SDWK	Sidewalk types	HIS_PRPH
TAB_SHTP	Shoulder types	RIS_SHDR
TAB_TERR	Terrain types	RIS_ENVR
TAB_TRMD	Treatment modifiers	HIS_STRC
TAB_URBA	Urban areas	RIS_JURS
PRM_DIST	Distress types	HIS_DIST
PRM_ESAL	Traffic classes	HIS_TRAF

Table 4.2: Code Tables

# 5.0 DEVELOPMENT OF PMS MODELS AND ANALYSIS PARAMETERS

In this section, the development of the models required to perform the PMS analysis is described. The development of these models include developing an overall distress index for aggregating the individual distresses, establishing the Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) treatment parameters (unit costs, impacts on pavement performance), and developing pavement performance prediction models.

The PMS analysis process in the HPMA involves three main steps, which are creating a section data view, performing M&R analysis, and performing optimization analyses. Each of these analysis steps requires analysis models that have to be defined before performing the analysis. The creation of the sectional data view requires, in addition to the detailed database and parameter code settings, the pavement performance indices to be defined and the default prediction models to be populated. The M&R analysis and optimization require the decision trees and the cost models for each rehabilitation activity to be defined.

In the following subsections, the development and population of the different analysis models required for the creation of section data views, M&R analysis and optimization are detailed. These models include:

- Pavement Distress Index (PDI) for aggregating distress data
- Default roughness prediction models
- Default cracking prediction models
- Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) decision trees

#### 5.1 OVERVIEW OF HPMA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

As mentioned earlier, the HPMA uses a two-level data model: a detailed highway database, and a sectional level data view. The detailed highway database includes database tables for each type of roadway data (geometry, projects, traffic, roughness, etc.) and provides for the storage of historical data for traffic, pavement structure and performance data. The section data views are created within the system through the use of dynamic sectioning utilizing user-defined sectioning parameters, or as overrides, where the user defines the section limits to be included. The performance prediction takes place when building the sectional data views (i.e., the sectional database). The HPMA uses the stored performance data for each section to predict the future condition of the "Do Nothing" case, through the use of site-specific models when possible, or through default models in other cases.

M&R analysis and optimization provide a means of developing optimized multi-year work programs as well as for analyzing various funding and performance scenarios. This process is outlined in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1: M&R Analysis and Optimization

The M&R analysis utilizes user-defined decision trees to determine feasible maintenance or rehabilitation strategies based on the conditions expected to exist at the time. The HPMA uses user-defined decision trees and economic analysis to determine the feasible treatments and the associated costs and benefit (i.e., effectiveness) for each treatment. At this stage, a life cycle analysis of the feasible strategies is performed including performance and costs analysis, based on the user-defined treatment parameters including unit costs.

The M&R analysis results, along with the user-defined budget and/or performance constraints, are used to determine the optimized work programs. The main purpose of the Network Optimization Analysis is determining optimal programs of maintenance and rehabilitation for the network based on the input constraints. The constraints can include funding (budget) constraints and/or performance constraints. The optimization can be executed in a cost-minimization or effectiveness-maximization mode including budget and performance constraints for either mode. As well, the procedure allows switching optimization modes during the programming period. This allows a high degree of flexibility in financial planning and priority programming of maintenance and rehabilitation.

## 5.2 ADOT PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE INDICES

Since ADOT started using pavement management tools in the early 1980's, pavement performance was mainly defined using a roughness index termed Pavement Serviceability Rating (PSR). Individual surface distresses such as surface cracking and rutting were also used to identify the pavement condition at a more detailed level.

However, PSR was the main measure of pavement performance. It is a decreasing index between 5.0 and 0.0, where 5.0 represent the smoothest possible pavement surface, while 0.0 represents an extremely rough pavement surface. PSR can be related to the International Roughness Index (IRI) using the following equation:

$$PSR = 5 * e^{-0.0038 * IRI}$$
 [5.1]

As part of the development of ADOT PMS2, and to support the incorporation of the preventive maintenance operations within the pavement management tools, an overall Pavement Distress Index (PDI) is developed to aggregate the pavement surface distresses into one index. The development of this model is described in the following section.

## 5.3 DEVELOPMENT OF PAVEMENT DISTRESS INDEX (PDI)

Surface distress data is collected every year for the entire ADOT highway network. An area of approximately 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> is surveyed at every mile as a sample for this particular mile. Different types of distresses are collected for both AC (flexible/composite) pavements and PCC (rigid) pavements.

To facilitate the analysis, the individual surface distresses are aggregated into one overall index, termed the PDI. The developed PDI aggregates the most prominent distress types into one number, which is indicative of the overall pavement surface condition. PDI can then be used to trigger rehabilitation for pavement sections, or to identify the required rehabilitation activity as part of the M&R decision trees.

After discussion with ADOT, it was decided to consider four individual distresses for the evaluation of the PDI for AC pavements, and three distresses for PC pavements. Table 5.1 shows the distress types considered in the development of PDI for both pavement types. Also shown in the table are the trigger levels and the failure criteria for each distress. For a specific distress type, a trigger level is defined as the level at which a pavement section is flagged for rehabilitation due to that particular distress, while a failure level is defined as the level at which the pavement sections is considered to have failed due to this distress type.

Pavement Type	Distress Type	Extent Measuring Unit	Trigger Level	Failure Level
	Cracking	Percentage of area	5%	20%
	Rutting	Inches	0.5"	1.0"
AC	Flushing	Index (0 through 5), where 5 represents oil- free surface	3.5	2.5
	Patching	Percentage of area	25%	50%
	Corner Breaks	Count	5	10
PC	Transverse Cracking	Count	5	10
	Faulting	Average (in)	0.2"	0.5"

Table 5.1: Surface Distresses for PDI Development

It should be noted that the cracking distress type, mentioned in Table 5.1, is an aggregation of all types of cracking and is considered as a single distress type for the purposes of the development of the PDI. Also, since the severity of these distresses is not evaluated during the surface distress survey, all distresses are assumed to have a low severity and the severity level is not accounted for in the PDI.

During the course of the project, two approaches were proposed to develop the PDI model, which are:

- Approach 1 -- Continuous PDI Function
- Approach 2 -- Deduct Value Model

ADOT has indicated a preference to develop the PDI model using the first approach -- a continuous PDI function. The PDI is developed on a scale from 0.0 to 5.0, where a PDI of 5.0 represents a distress-free pavement surface with perfect conditions.

The PDI model was developed by first defining overall control points. The model form was then defined and the model parameters corresponding to the control points were identified. The control points, defined after consultations with ADOT, are shown in Table 5.2.

Pavement Condition	PDI Level
Distress Free Surface	5.0
Triggered for Rehabilitation	4.0
Failure Criterion	2.5
Minimum PDI Value	0.0

Table 5.2: Proposed PDI Control Points

In the following subsections, the development of the PDI model as a continuous function for both AC and PC pavements is described. Also, the network condition based on the developed PDI and using the historic ADOT distress data is presented.

## 5.3.1 Development of PDI for AC Pavements

As shown in Table 5.2, PDI for AC pavement is calculated using four distresses, which are cracking, patching, flushing, and rutting. Cracking and Patching are both measured as a percentage of the area, where 0% represents perfect conditions (increasing function). Rutting is a measured total in inches, while Flushing is evaluated on a scale between 0 and 5, where 5 represents perfect conditions (decreasing function). To facilitate the development of the PDI model, individual distresses were normalized, in terms of an index, such that each index is on an increasing scale of 0.0 to 100.0, as follows:

### 5.3.1.1 Cracking Index (C)

Cracking is an increasing function from 0 to 100. Subsequently, the Cracking Index (C) has the same value of the percentage cracked area.

#### 5.3.1.2 Rutting Index (R)

A rut depth of 2" will be set as the maximum rut depth and all the rutting values are normalized as a percentage of the maximum rut depth using the following equation:

$$R = \frac{RutDepth}{2.0} * 100$$
 [5.2]

If the actual measured rut depth is greater than 2.0", the rutting index will be set to 100%.

#### 5.3.1.3 Flushing Index (F)

Flushing is measured on a decreasing scale from 5 to 0. The Flushing Index (F) is an increasing function from 0 to 100, calculated using the following equation:

$$F = 20*(5.0 - Flushing)$$
 [5.3]

#### 5.3.1.4 Patching Index (P)

Patching is an increasing function from 0 to 100. Subsequently, the Patching Index (P) will numerically have the same value of the percentage patching.

For the PDI development, Cracking and Rutting were considered as "major" distresses, such that if any of these distresses is triggered or failed, the PDI should reach its trigger or failure level, respectively. As an example if a section has 5% cracking, the PDI should be 4.0, and if the section has 50% rutting, the PDI should be 2.5.

The Flushing and Patching were considered as "minor" distresses. If any of these distresses reach a failure level, the PDI will reach a trigger level. As an example, if a section has 50% Patching, then the PDI should be 4.0.

A continuous function was developed to satisfy these constraints, such that each distress index is represented by a linear coefficient and raised to a power to represent the different weights of the distresses and scale each distress index to conform to the PDI scale. The following equation represents the PDI function for AC pavements.

$$PDI = 5.0 - (0.345C^{0.66} + 0.0142R^{1.32} + 0.005F^{1.36} + 0.02P^{1.0} - 0.0823C^{0.18}R^{0.50})$$
 [5.4]

It should be noted that the PDI function includes a term combining the effect of the major distresses, i.e. rutting and cracking, to account for the possible cases of overlapping cracking and rutting. Table 5.3 shows a number of cases for a combination of distresses and the resulting PDI.

Cases 1 though 9 in Table 5.3 represent the constraints used to develop the PDI model. As can be noted, the major distresses have higher contribution to the overall PDI than the minor distresses. Cases 10 through 20 are samples from actual data extracted from historic ADOT distress data already loaded to ADOT PMS.

	Distress Data				D	istres	s Indic	es	
Case	Cracking	Rutting	Flushing	Patching	С	R	F	Ρ	PDI
A1	0	0	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	5.0
A2	5%	0	5.0	0	5	0	0	0	4.0
A3	20%	0	5.0	0	20	0	0	0	2.5
A4	0	0.50"	5.0	0	0	25	0	0	4.0
A5	0	1.00"	5.0	0	0	50	0	0	2.5
A6	0	0	3.5	0	0	0	30	0	4.5
A7	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	50	0	4.0
A8	0	0	5.0	25%	0	0	0	25	4.5
A9	0	0	5.0	50%	0	0	0	50	4.0
A10	0	0.11"	5.0	0	0	6	0	0	4.9
A11	6%	0.05"	4.0	0	6	3	20	0	3.7
A12	0	0.60"	4.0	0	0	30	20	0	3.4
A13	30%	0.16"	4.0	0	30	8	20	0	1.7
A14	0	0.12"	5.0	0	0	6	0	0	4.9
A15	45%	0.13"	4.0	0	45	7	20	0	0.7
A16	5%	0.45"	4.5	85%	5	23	10	85	1.9
A17	0	0.17"	5.0	25%	0	9	0	25	4.3
A18	25%	0.27"	3.0	0	25	14	40	0	1.5
A19	2%	0.85"	5.0	0	2	43	0	0	3.1
A20	15%	0.17"	3.5	0	15	9	30	0	2.59

 Table 5.3: Sample Distress Combinations and Corresponding PDI for AC

 Pavements

The PDI described in Equation [5.4] was implemented in ADOT HPMA. However, as a result of the statewide analysis, which is described in Section 6.0, and due to the fact the ADOT traditionally evaluated the pavement surface condition primarily in terms of cracking, using the PDI as a function of cracking only provided better results and more accurately matched historic ADOT data. Consequently, the PDI was modified to be a function of Cracking only, as opposed to be a function of the above four distresses, as follows:

$$PDI = 5.0 - (0.345C^{0.66})$$

[5.5]

It should be noted, however, that the other distress types are available in ADOT HPMA and can be utilized in the system if the need arises or if ADOT modified their distress data collection procedures to cover other distress types, extents, and/or severities.

### 5.3.2 Development of PDI for PC Pavements

As mentioned earlier, surface distress data is collected every year for the entire ADOT highway network. For PCC pavements, an area of approximately 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> is surveyed at every mile as a sample for this particular mile for cracking, patching and spalling. Faulting data is collected with roughness data as average and standard deviation of faulting value.

Cracking is collected by counting the number of transverse cracks (maximum of 15 cracks per section), longitudinal cracks, and corner breaks. Patching is evaluated as a percentage of the area, while spalling is evaluated on a scale from 0 to 5, as follows:

0: No Spalling 1: Severe Spalling 3: Moderate Spalling 5: Low Spalling

Only three distresses are used to calculate the PDI for PC pavements, which are the corner break, transverse cracks, and faulting. Spalling was not considered in the PDI because of its inverted scale of measurement; which made it difficult to incorporate in the PDI.

Due to the very limited amount of historic performance data for rigid pavement sections, it was not possible to develop a PDI model based on actual historic data. The PDI development had to rely mainly on engineering judgment. Of the 172,000 historic records that were loaded to the ADOT HPMA, there were only 20 records of PCC pavement distress data.

A continuous function was developed to satisfy the constraints shown in Table 5.2, such that each distress index is represented by a linear coefficient and raised to a power to represent the different weights of the distresses and scale each distress index to conform to the PDI scale. The following equation represents the PDI function

$$PDI = 5.0 - (5.0 * FT + 0.119 * CB^{1.322} + 0.119 * TC^{1.322})$$
[5.6]

Table 5.4 shows a number of cases for a combination of distresses and the resulting PDI for PC pavement sections. The cases shown in the table are for illustration and are not actual measured distresses for sections in ADOT's highway network.

Case	Distress Data			
	СВ	TC	FT	PDI
A1	0	0	0	5.0
A2	5	0	0	4.0
A3	10	0	0	2.5
A4	0	5	0	4.0
A5	0	10	0	2.5
A6	0	0	0.2	4.0
A7	0	0	0.5	2.5
A8	3	2	0.15	3.4
A9	7	4	0.30	1.2
A10	3	1	0.75	0.6
A11	5	5	0.0	3.0
A12	3	4	0.25	2.5

 Table 5.4: Sample Distress Combinations and Corresponding PDI for PC

 Pavements

## 5.4 MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES

As shown earlier in Figure 5.1, the M&R treatment parameter is an important input to the M&R analysis. The list of M&R activities implemented in ADOT HPMA was defined after several meetings with ADOT staff and took several revisions and refinements to reach its final form.

Table 5.5 shows the final list of the M & R activities implemented in the ADOT HPMA. In this table, the activity type, the pavement type to which the treatment can be applied to and the unit cost for each activity are shown. These unit costs were defined after extensive discussions with ADOT staff, based on average 2003 costs. However, it is recommended that these costs be revised on a yearly basis, to ensure accurate budget scenario analysis results.

The following are the four M&R types that are recognized in HPMA.

- M -- Localized maintenance activity
- G -- General maintenance activity
- R -- Rehabilitation activity
- C -- Construction activity

It is important to accurately define the activity type in the HPMA because it affects the manner by which the activity is modeled in the analysis.

HPMA Code	HPMA ID	Description	НРМА Туре	Pavement Type	Unit Costs (\$/Yds <sup>2</sup> )
101	Patch	Premix Patch	М	AC, CO	12.00
102	Level	Level with Premix	G	AC, CO	3.20
103	CrkSeal	Crack Seal	М	AC, CO	2.00
104	SandSeal	Sand Seal	G	AC, CO	1.44
105	FDPtch	Rep Surf/Base	М	AC, CO	16.00
106	ChipSeal	Chip Seal	G	AC, CO	1.78
107	SealCoat	Seal Coat	G	AC, CO	1.78
108	Flush	Flush Coat	G	AC, CO	0.25
109	SpotFlush	Spot Flush/Seal	М	AC, CO	3.20
110	Joint Seal	PC slab joint sealing	М	PC	8.00
111	Patch(E)	Premix Patch Emrg.	М	AC, CO	12.00
112	TightBlade	Tight Blading	М	PC	6.00
113	CrkSeal-R	Crack Seal with Rubber	М	AC, CO	6.00
114	PC-RepR	PCC Repair/Replace	М	PC	15.00
115	PC-SpRep	PCC Spall Repair	М	PC	12.00
119	PvSrfMnt	Pvd Surf Maint.	М	AC, CO	12.00
120	DG+FC	Diamond Grind + Friction Course	G	PC	12.98

Table 5.5: Maintenance and Rehabilitation Activities

HPMA Code	HPMA ID	Description	НРМА Туре	Pavement Type	Unit Costs (\$/Yds <sup>2</sup> )
121	Dbl Chip S	Double Chip Seal	G	AC, CO	2.56
123	MicroSurf	Micro Surfacing	G	AC, CO	3.50
124	Slurry	Slurry Seal	G	AC, CO	1.60
125	ScrubSeal	Scrub Seal	G	AC, CO	1.30
126	DI Retr+JS	Dowel Retrofit + Joint Seal	М	PC	12.00
127	FogS-S	Fog Seal Regular AC	М	AC, CO	1.28
128	FogS-R	Fog Seal Rubberized	G	AC, CO	1.38
129	RM+Seal	Rubber Membrane + Sealing	G	AC, CO	2.50
141	CkFl+Seal	Crack Fill and Seal Coat	G	AC, CO	4.50
201	ACFC	Friction Course AC	R	AC, CO	3.50
202	ARFC	Friction Course AR	R	AC, CO	4.00
203	BTS	Bit. Treat Surf 2 in	R	AC, CO	2.00
206	RR FC	R&R Friction Course	R	AC, CO	4.50
207	RR FR	R&R Rbr Friction Crs	R	AC, CO	5.50
208	RR SC	R&R Seal Coat	G	AC, CO	2.50
211	RR2"+SC	Mill/Rep 2"AC+SC	R	AC, CO	11.00
212	RR2"AC+FR	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	12.96
213	RR2"AC+FC	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FC	R	AC, CO	11.88
214	RR2"AR+FR	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AR+FR	R	AC, CO	14.63
215	RR4"AC+FR	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	16.00
216	RR4"AC+FC	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FC	R	AC, CO	15.00
217	RR4"AR+FR	Mill/Rep 3-5"AR+FR	R	AC, CO	19.00
218	RR4"AC+SC	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+SC	R	AC, CO	14.50
219	RR5"AC+FR	Mill/Rep >5"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	18.00
221	2"AC+SC	1.5-2.5"AC + SC	R	AC, CO	9.07
222	2"AC+FR	1.5-3.0"AC + FR	R	AC, CO	10.85
223	2"AC+FC	1.5-3.0"AC + FC	R	AC, CO	9.88
224	3"AC+SC	2.5-3.5"AC + SC	R	AC, CO	11.50
225	3"AC+FR	2.5-3.5"AC + FR	R	AC, CO	13.28
226	3"AC+FC	2.5-3.5"AC + FC	R	AC, CO	12.31
227	4"AC+SC	3.0-5.0"AC + FR	R	AC, CO	16.93
228	4"AC+FR	3.0-5.0"AC + FC	R	AC, CO	15.96
229	4"AC+FC	3.0-5.0"AC + SC	R	AC, CO	15.15
231	RR2AC+2ACC	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FC	R	AC, CO	16.75
232	RR2AC+2ACR	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FR	R	AC, CO	17.50
233	RR2AC+2ARR	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AR+FR	R	AC, CO	18.96
234	RR2AR+2ACR	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AC+FR	R	AC, CO	18.96
235	RR2AR+2ARR	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AR+FR	R	AC, CO	25.35
236	RR4AC+2ACC	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AC+FC	R	AC, CO	19.26
237	RR4AC+2ACR	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	22.44

HPMA Code	HPMA ID	Description	НРМА Туре	Pavement Type	Unit Costs (\$/Yds <sup>2</sup> )
238	RR4AC+2ARR	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AR+FR	R	AC, CO	29.32
239	RR4AR+2ACR	RR3-5"AC+3-5"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	31.75
241	OL2R	Overlay <=3" Recyc	R	AC, CO	8.51
242	OL4R	Overlay 3-5" Recyc	R	AC, CO	14.18
251	RM+OL2	RbrM+Overlay <=2.5	R	AC, CO	12.56
252	RM+OL3	RbrM+Overlay > 2.5	R	AC, CO	14.99
253	RR+RM+OL	RR1.5+RbrM+Ovrly3	R	AC, CO	18.06
261	2"AC	1.5-2.5"AC	R	AC, CO	7.29
262	3"AC	2.5-3.5"AC	R	AC, CO	10.94
301	Crk&Seat	Crack & Seat + Ovly	С	PC	26.00
302	JtRep+Ovly	Jt & Slab Rep. + Ovly	R	PC	15.00
401	ConOL	Concrete Ovly	С	AC, CO, PC	12.00
501	OC-Bit	Orig. BIT Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	30.00
502	OC-BCB	Orig. BCB Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	31.00
503	OC-CON	Orig. CON Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	44.00
504	OC-CRC	Orig. CRC Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	44.00
505	OC-CDP	Orig. CDP Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	46.00
510	Rec-AC	Reconstruct AC	С	AC, CO, PC	30.00
515	Rec-Con	Reconstruct Concrete	С	AC, CO, PC	43.00

#### 5.5 MODELING THE IMPACT OF MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES ON PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE

The impact of M&R activities on future pavement performance is typically modeled either as an improvement of the pavement condition, or a slower rate of deterioration. Modeling the improvement in the pavement condition (i.e., jump) requires a prediction curve. Modeling the slower rate of deterioration is done in two ways; either by a flatter prediction curve or by "holding" the condition of the pavement for a certain period.

In the ADOT HPMA, the impacts of the implementation of an R or C type activity are modeled as "jumps" or increase in the pavement condition on the performance curves as shown in Figure 5.2. As can be noted from the figure, these jumps bring the pavement to the condition of a newly constructed section.

The impacts of implementing an M or G type activity are modeled differently than the R and G type activities. The impacts are represented by a jump or increase in the pavement condition, in addition to a holding period, where the pavement condition is held constant. Figure 5.3 depicts how the M and G type activities are modeled. It should be noted that the increase or the jump for M and G type activities does not bring the pavement to the newly constructed condition.



Figure 5.2: Impact of R and C Activities



Figure 5.3: Maintenance Activities as Holding Strategies

Table 5.6 shows the holding periods and PSR improvements for the maintenance activities as included in ADOT HPMA. The values shown the in the table are based on discussions with ADOT staff. These jumps and/or the holding periods should be revised when enough performance data for these maintenance activities are available.

				Holding	PSR
		<b>T</b>	Unit Costs	Period "a"	Improvement
Code	Activity	l ype	(\$/Yds <sup>-</sup> )	(Yrs)	<b>"</b> ]″
101	Premix Patch	М	12.00	2	0.5
102	Level with Premix	G	3.20	3	0.5
103	Crack Seal	М	2.00	3	0.4
104	Sand Seal	G	1.44	2	0.4
105	Rep Surf/Base	М	16.00	4	1.0
106	Chip Seal	G	1.78	3	0.5
107	Seal Coat	G	1.78	3	0.5
108	Flush	G	0.25	4	0.4
109	Spot Flush/Seal	М	3.20	2	0.4
110	Joint Seal	М	8.00	5	0.7
111	Premix Patch Emrg	М	12.00	2	0.5
112	Tight Blade	М	6.00	2	0.3
113	Crack Seal w/Rubber	М	6.00	4	0.7
114	PCC Repr/Repl	М	15.00	7	1.0
115	PCC Spall Repr	М	12.00	7	1.0
119	Pvd Surf Maint	М	12.00	7	1.0
120	Diamond Grind + FC	G	12.98	5	1.0
121	Double Chip Seal	G	2.56	2	0.5
123	Micro Surfacing	G	3.50	3	0.5
124	Slurry Seal	G	1.60	3	0.4
125	Scrub Seal	G	1.30	3	0.3
126	Dowel Retrofit	М	12.00	8	1.0
127	Fog Seal S	G	1.28	3	0.3
128	Fog Seal R	G	1.38	3	0.3
129	Rubber Mem. + SC/FL	G	2.50	7	0.5
141	Crack fill & Seal Coat	М	4.00	5	0.5

Table 5.6: Condition Improvement and Holding Period for G and M Activities

### 5.6 DEVELOPMENT OF PSR DEFAULT PREDICTION MODELS

The HPMA utilizes two approaches for predicting future pavement performance, which are the site-specific prediction and the default approaches. The site-specific modeling approach is based on the use of historical performance data to develop model coefficients for individual analysis sections. For each individual section, the available historical performance data since the last rehabilitation or construction is analyzed to determine the model that matches the observed performance of the section, and thus predict the future performance.

The default prediction models are used in the following cases:

- In the absence of adequate historic data for the generation of site specific models
- When the site-specific models do not meet the acceptance criteria
- For predicting the pavement performance under future rehabilitation activities

Default prediction models are developed using the family-of-models approach, where future performance of pavement sections within the same performance class is modeled using one performance model.

In the following subsections, the development of the roughness default models based on historic performance data and using the family-of-models approach is described. The performance classes are first defined and then extraction and analysis of historic data is presented. Finally, the development and adjustment of the models is described.

## 5.6.1 Performance Classes

In the family-of-models approach, pavement sections that have common characteristics such as pavement type, traffic levels, etc. are grouped into performance classes. The following are the performance classes considered in the HPMA:

- Last rehabilitation activity
- Pavement Type
- Environment Conditions (3 classes)
- Traffic (3 classes)
- Subgrade Condition (3 classes)
- Structural Thickness (3 classes)

In addition, the functional class is also considered (Interstate and Non-Interstate). Two sets of performance models were developed for these two functional classes.

### 5.6.1.1 Models Naming Convention

Due to the large number of possible combinations for model development, a numbering scheme was devised to allow easy referencing of these models. An 8-character identification number is assigned to each model as follows:

- Activity Type Characters 1-3
- Pavement Type Character 4
- Environment Class Character 5
- ESAL Class Character 6
- Subgrade Class Character 7
- Thickness Class Character 8

As an example, prediction model number 231-13231 is the performance model describing the expected performance of activity number 231 (RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FC) for pavement type 1, environment class 3, subjected to traffic class 2, with a subgrade strength from class 3, and a thickness class 1. If a specific class is not defined, corresponding digit is set to zero. As an example, prediction model number 231-13000 is the performance model describing the expected performance of treatment activity number 231 for pavement type 1 and environment class 3, for all traffic, subgrade, and thicknesses.

## 5.6.1.2 Mathematical Model Form

A sigmoidal (i.e. S-shaped) form is used within the HPMA for modeling the pavement performance. This model form has a greater degree of flexibility in describing the deterioration of a section. The following is the sigmoidal model form used in the HPMA for performance prediction modeling:

$$PSR = O - e^{\left(A - B \cdot C^{\ln\left(\frac{1}{Age}\right)}\right)}$$
[5.7]

In this model, O represents the initial condition of the pavement, immediately after rehabilitation (age zero). Age is the number of years since the last rehabilitation or construction activity. Coefficients A, B, and C are the parameters that define the model shape.

The flexibility of the sigmoid allows the models produced to be concave, convex, S-shaped, or almost linear. This has historically produced curves that sufficiently fit the data and describe performance.

## 5.6.2 Performance Model Generation Procedure

The performance model generation involves data manipulation and the use of procedures to individually inspect and validate all models. The variation in the available data does not always provide the desired models. Therefore, engineering judgment based on experience and feedback from ADOT was used. The following section outlines the procedure followed for generating the required performance models.

Non-linear regression analysis techniques were used to develop performance models for the rehabilitation activities where enough good historical data points are available. Engineering judgment was used to adjust some of these models to accommodate the conditions of activities with insufficient historical data.

### 5.6.2.1 Historical Data Extraction

The performance models are typically generated from historical performance and project data stored in the HPMA. This data is extracted from the HPMA and used to provide the required performance models for the different pavement rehabilitation treatments.

Performance data was assembled for homogenous sections by performance class. All of the available segments with activities and performance data were assigned to performance classes based on the class related data. The data used in this study represents the last 20 years of data currently available.

#### 5.6.2.2 Data Filtering

To ensure the development of the best possible models, all ADOT's performance data had to go through some Quality Assurance (QA) control checks. For roughness data, an acceptance criterion was established to remove data outliers and segments exhibiting unexpected behavior. A filtering criterion was established to remove this kind of data, which might unfairly bias the regression statistics.

Filtering limits used to exclude outlier data are shown in Table 5.7 in terms of both IRI and Pavement Serviceability Rating (PSR), where the relationship between IRI and PSR is shown by the following equation. Figure 5.4 shows the same limits for the PSR.

$$PSR = 5.e^{-0.0038.IRI}$$

[5.8

	Lower Limit		Upper Limit		
Age	IRI	PSR	IRI	PSR	
0	94	3.5	28	4.5	
10	>>	0	94	3.5	

 Table 5.7: Roughness Data Filtering Limits



Figure 5.4: Roughness Outlier Limits

#### 5.6.2.3 Data Classification

As mentioned earlier, a separate model should be developed for each combination of the rehabilitation activity, pavement type, functional classification, environment class, traffic class, subgrade class, and thickness class. However, based on the historic data from ADOT, some of these combinations were not applicable.

Since subgrade information is not available in ADOT databases, the subgrade was not used. However when this data is available in the future, these models can be adjusted to account for different subgrade conditions.

The investigation conducted on the historical data indicated that developing separate models for the different traffic and thickness classes is not warranted. The regression models developed based on these classes were not significantly different.

The effect of the environment was investigated prior to model generation to identify whether the environment zone has a significant effect on the pavement performance. Figure 5.5 through Figure 5.7 shows the historic PSR data points for all rehabilitation activities on flexible pavement sections on Interstate highways in the Desert (DS), Transition (TR), and Mountain (MT) zones, respectively. Figure 5.8 shows a comparison of the regression models for these zones. As can be noted from the figures, the pavement performance in the TR and MT is very close, while the pavement performance in the DS zone is different than those in the other zones.

Similarly, Figure 5.9 through Figure 5.11 show the historic data points and the regression analysis results for the all rehabilitation activities on flexible pavement sections on Non-Interstate routes in DS, TR, and MT zones, respectively. Figure 5.12 shows a comparison of the regression models for these zones. These figures confirm that the pavement performance in the TR and MT is very close, while the pavement performance in the DS zone is different than those in the other zones.

Based on the results shown in previous figures, only two environment zones are considered in the analysis, which are the Desert Zone and the Non-Desert Zone (including both the Transition and the Mountain zones). Also due to the differential performance between Interstate routes and Non-Interstate routes, the environmental zones will be duplicated, such that the environment/functional class combinations analyzed are:

- Class 1 -- Interstate sections in Desert Zone (D-I)
- Class 2 -- Interstate sections in Transition and Mountain Zones (ND-I)
- Class 3 -- Non-Interstate sections in Desert Zone (D-NI)
- Class 4 -- Non-Interstate sections in Transition and Mountain Zones (ND-NI)



Figure 5.5: Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Desert Zone



Figure 5.6: Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Transition Zone



Figure 5.7: Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Maintain Zone



Figure 5.8: Regression Analysis Results for Interstate Highways by Environment Zone



Figure 5.9: Non-Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Desert Zone



Figure 5.10: Non-Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Transition Zone



Figure 5.11: Non-Interstate Historic Roughness Data in the Mountain Zone



Figure 5.12: Regression Analysis for Non-Interstate Highways by Environment Zone

#### 5.6.2.4 Available Historic Data

Historic data was extracted for each rehabilitation activity and sorted based on the functional class and the environment zone. It should be noted that only AC pavements were considered for regression analysis, because there was not enough data available for modeling for the other pavement types. Table 5.8 shows the number of historic data points available for regression sorted by functional class and environmental zone, before and after filtering outlier data, where number of data points after filtering is shown between parentheses. As can be noted from the table, the number of data points for each combination vary; and some combinations do not have any data.

Rehabilitation	Environment/Functional Class						
Activity	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4			
201	2473 (1818)	1849 (1647)	2011 (1822)	3169 (2946)			
202	88 (78)	2733 (2488)	253 (246)	1328 (1127)			
206			651 (552)	2060 (1841)			
211			623 (591)	1129 (1037)			
212	2872 (2041)	2451 (1856)	81 (67)	184 (151)			
213	4934 (3569)	10036 (7780)	405 (347)	338 (269)			
214	11 (11)	1531 (1186)		346 (270)			
215	2053 (1532)	2962 (2190)	103 (79)	300 (247)			
216		200 (142)	783 (527)	916 (653)			
217	72 (60)						
221	11 (11)	854 (601)	3442 (2358)	34308 (27005)			
222	264 (148)	4056 (3354)	357 (287)	2042 (1587)			
223	3663 (2504)	7617 (5898)	3268 (2872)	4839 (4305)			
228	602 (535)	2974 (1968)	631 (480)	2505 (1562)			
238	4399 (3114)	9189 (6885)	726 (481)	2425 (1844)			
251	7 (7)	252 (162)		36 (32)			
252				276 (190)			
501	204 (173)	547 (520)	2135 (1678)	5777 (4811)			

Table 5.8: Historic Data Available for Regression

Note: Numbers shown between parentheses are available data points after filtering

## 5.6.3 Regression Analysis Approach

Non-linear regression analysis was carried out on filtered data to develop performance models for different rehabilitation activates. As mentioned earlier, a sigmoidal model was fitted to the data using the least squares approach to develop the required models. Some of the models were adjusted to account for the expected initial condition of the pavement sections immediately after rehabilitation or for the expected service life, as follows.

#### 5.6.3.1 Initial Condition

The initial condition of the pavement immediately after specific rehabilitation activity (performance at age 0), or the coefficient O in the sigmoidal model, was generally determined by extrapolating the average performance in the first and second year of the pavement life. However, this initial condition had to be greater than or equal to the minimum initial condition based on experience for that particular activity/class combination. Table 5.9 shows the minimum required initial conditions.

Rehab Activity		Environment/Functional Class			
		Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
Initial Construction / Reconstruction		4.7	4.7	4.5	4.5
Rehabilitation	No Milling	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
	Milling	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2

 Table 5.9: Minimum Initial Roughness Levels

### 5.6.3.2 Pavement Service Life and Trigger Levels

The expected service lives of the different Maintenance and Rehabilitation activities were established based on ADOT's experience. The expected service lives of the activities are usually needed to asses the reasonableness of the models developed based on historical data and to adjust them if needed.

Also, the rehabilitation trigger levels or threshold levels were established based on discussions with ADOT's staff.

Table 5.10 shows the trigger levels for rehabilitation for different environment and functional classifications, in terms of both the IRI and PSR.

Trigger	Environment/Functional Class				
Level	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4	
IRI	75	75	90	90	
PSR	3.75	3.75	3.55	3.55	

Table 5.10: Roughness Trigger Level for Rehabilitation

#### 5.6.4 PSR Performance Models

A complete set of prediction models was developed for the M&R activities shown in Table 5.11. Four models were developed for each activity, one model for each Environment/Functional Class combination.

Each cell in Table 5.11 shows the basis (or the source) of the model assigned to that treatment/class. There were four sources of the developed models, which were:

- 1. Models developed based on historical data with some minor adjustment for initial condition and/or service life. Cells with this type of model will have the assigned activity/class model (Adj).
- 2. Models developed by adopting another activity/class model, and modifying it because of lack of historical data. A cell with this type of model will have the assigned activity/class model plus (Mod).
- 3. Models developed by adopting another activity/class model, and modifying it because the models developed based on the historical data resulted in erroneous models. A cell with this type of model will have the assigned activity/class model plus (Mod).\*
- 4. Models developed based on engineering judgment. A cell with this type of model will have Eng. Jud. in the cell.

		Environment/Functional Class			
ID	Description	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
201	Friction Course AC	201-Class 1 (Adj)	201-Class 2 (Adj)	201-Class 3 (Adj)	201-Class 4 (Adj)
202	Friction Course AR	202-Class 2 (Mod)	202-Class 2 (Adj)	202-Class 3 (Adj)	202-Class 4 (Adj)
203	Bit. Treat Surf 2 in	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.
206	R&R Friction Course	206-Class 3 (Mod)	206-Class 4 (Mod)	206-Class 3 (Adj)	206-Class 4 (Adj)
207	R&R Rbr Friction Crs	206-Class 3 (Mod)	206-Class 4 (Mod)	206-Class 3 (Mod)	206-Class 4 (Mod)
211	Mill/Rep 2"AC+SC	211-Class 3 (Mod)	211-Class 4 (Mod)	211-Class 3 (Adj)	211-Class 4 (Adj)
212	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FR	212-Class 1 (Adj)	212-Class 2 (Adj)	212-Class 1 (Mod)	212-Class 2 (Mod)
213	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FC	213-Class 1 (Adj)	213-Class 2 (Adj)	213-Class 3 (Adj)	213-Class 3 (Mod)
214	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AR+FR	212-Class 1 (Mod)*	212-Class 2 (Mod)*	212-Class 3 (Mod)	212-Class 4 (Mod)
215	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FR	215-Class 1 (Adj)	215-Class 2 (Adj)	215-Class 1 (Mod)	215-Class 2 (Mod)
216	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FC	216-Class 2 (Mod)	216-Class 2 (Adj)	216-Class 3 (Adj)	216-Class 4 (Adj)
217	Mill/Rep 3-5"AR+FR	215-Class 1 (Mod)*	215-Class 2 (Mod)	215-Class 3 (Mod)	215-Class 4 (Mod)
218	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+SC	216-Class 1 (Mod)	216-Class 2 (Mod)	216-Class 3 (Mod)	216-Class 4 (Mod)
219	Mill/Rep >5"AC+FR	215-Class 1 (Mod)	215-Class 2 (Mod)	215-Class 3 (Mod)	215-Class 4 (Mod)

 Table 5.11: Development of PSR Models

		Environment/Functional Class			
ID	Description	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
221	1.5-2.5"AC + SC	221-Class 2 (Mod)*	221-Class 2 (Adj)	221-Class 3	221-Class 4
222	1.5-3.0"AC + FR	222-Class 2 (Mod)*	222-Class 2 (Adj)	222-Class 4 (Mod)*	222-Class 4 (Adj)
223	1.5-3.0"AC + FC	223-Class 1 (Adj)	223-Class 2 (Adj)	223-Class 3 (Adj)	223-Class 4 (Adj)
224	2.5-3.5"AC + SC	223-Class 1 (Mod)	223-Class 2 (Mod)	223-Class 3 (Mod)	223-Class 4 (Mod)
225	2.5-3.5"AC + FR	223-Class 1 (Mod)	223-Class 2 (Mod)	223-Class 3 (Mod)	223-Class 4 (Mod)
226	2.5-3.5"AC + FC	223-Class 1 (Mod)	223-Class 2 (Mod)	223-Class 3 (Mod)	223-Class 4 (Mod)
227	3.0-5.0"AC + FR	228-Class 1 (Mod)	228-Class 2 (Mod)	228-Class 3 (Mod)	228-Class 4 (Mod)
228	3.0-5.0"AC + FC	228-Class 1 (Adj)	228-Class 2 (Adj)	228-Class 3 (Adj)	228-Class 4 (Adj)
229	3.0-5.0"AC + SC	228-Class 1 (Mod)	228-Class 2 (Mod)	228-Class 3 (Mod)	228-Class 4 (Mod)
231	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FC	212-Class 1 (Mod)	212-Class 2 (Mod)	212-Class 3 (Mod)	212-Class 4 (Mod)
232	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FR	212-Class 1 (Mod)	212-Class 2 (Mod)	212-Class 3 (Mod)	212-Class 4 (Mod)
233	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AR+FR	212-Class 1 (Mod)	212-Class 2 (Mod)	212-Class 3 (Mod)	212-Class 4 (Mod)
234	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AC+FR	212-Class 1 (Mod)	212-Class 2 (Mod)	212-Class 3 (Mod)	212-Class 4 (Mod)
235	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AR+FR	212-Class 1 (Mod)	212-Class 2 (Mod)	212-Class 3 (Mod)	212-Class 4 (Mod)
236	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AC+FC	228-Class 1 (Mod)	228-Class 2 (Mod)	228-Class 3 (Mod)	228-Class 4 (Mod)
237	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AC+FR	228-Class 1 (Mod)	228-Class 2 (Mod)	228-Class 3 (Mod)	228-Class 4 (Mod)
238	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AR+FR	238-Class 1 (Adj)	238-Class 2 (Adj)	238-Class 3 (Adj)	238-Class 4 (Adj)
239	RR3-5"AC+3-5"AC+FR	228-Class 1 (Mod)	228-Class 2 (Mod)	228-Class 3 (Mod)	228-Class 4 (Mod)
241	Overlay <=3" Recyc	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.
242	Overlay 3-5" Recyc	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.	Eng. Jud.
251	RbrM+Overlay <=2.5	221-Class 1 (Mod)*	221-Class 2 (Mod)*	221-Class 3 (Mod)	221-Class 4 (Mod)*
252	RbrM+Overlay > 2.5	228-Class 1 (Mod)	228-Class 2 (Mod)	228-Class 3 (Mod)	228-Class 4 (Mod)*
253	RR1.5+RbrM+Ovrly3	238-Class 1 (Mod)	238-Class 2 (Mod)	238-Class 3 (Mod)	238-Class 4 (Mod)
261	1.5-2.5"AC	221-Class 1 (Mod)	221-Class 2 (Mod)	221-Class 3 (Mod)	221-Class 4 (Mod)
262	2.5-3.5"AC	223-Class 1 (Mod)	223-Class 2 (Mod)	223-Class 3 (Mod)	223-Class 4 (Mod)
301	Crack & Seat + AC Ovly	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
302	Jt & Slab Rep. + Ovly	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
401	Concrete Ovly	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
501	Orig. BIT Construction	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Adj)	501-Class 3 (Adj)	501-Class 4 (Adj)
502	Orig. BCB Construction	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
503	Orig. CON Construction	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
504	Orig. CRC Construction	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
505	Orig. CDP Construction	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)
510	Reconstruct AC	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 2 (Mod)	501-Class 3 (Mod)	501-Class 4 (Mod)

Figure 5.13 shows an example of the models that were developed based on historic performance data. In the figure, the filtered historic data points, the regression model, and the adjusted model for that particular treatment are shown (ACFC for Non-Interstate routes in the Desert zone).



Figure 5.13: PSR Filtered Data and Model for 201- Class 3

## 5.7 DEVELOPMENT OF CRACKING DEFAULT PREDICTION MODELS

Similar to PSR, cracking default prediction models were developed based on the historic cracking data loaded to ADOT HPMA. Typically, site-specific models are developed during the analysis for each section, based on historical cracking data to predict the future performance of the current activity. However, in the absence of such data, or if the site-specific model does not meet the acceptance criteria, default models are used. Also, default models are used to predict the performance of future rehabilitation activities during the optimization analysis.

Non-linear regression analysis techniques were used to develop cracking prediction models for the rehabilitation activities where enough good historical data points are available. Some of the models were then adjusted to accommodate activities with insufficient historical data, or those resulting in erroneous models. In the following subsections, the development of default cracking models, based on ADOT historical performance data, is presented.

## 5.7.1 Cracking Model Form

ADOT HPMA utilizes an exponential model for distress prediction models. This form is used because it provides a suitable form of modeling distress progression, which usually starts from 0.0 and increases with time. The exponential model form used in ADOT HPMA has the following format:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{e}^{-\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mathsf{Age}}\right)^{\mathsf{B}}}$$
[5.9]

Where C is the percentage cracking at a given Age, K and B are the model coefficients that define the model shape.

## 5.7.2 Historical Cracking Data

Historical cracking data was extracted using ADOT HPMA Feedback Module. Approximately, 90,000 historical cracking data points were available in the database. However, due to the general condition of ADOT's highway network and the distress data collection method utilized by ADOT, generally, the network has very low levels of cracking, where more than 80% of the historical cracking data is less than 5%. As an example, Figure 5.14 shows the distribution of percentage cracking data for ADOT's highway network for the year 2001, which is approximately 7400 data point. As can be noted, approximately 85% of the sections have percentage cracking less than 5%.

Cracking in pavements is usually attributed to either structural or environmental factors. In a PMS context, structural factors can be represented by the different rehabilitation activities, while environmental factors are represented in terms of the environmental zones. To identify whether any of these factors had an impact on the general performance of pavement sections in ADOT highway network, historical data was extracted based on activity type and environmental zone and analyzed.



Figure 5.14: Distribution of Cracking Distress for ADOT Network in 2001

### 5.7.3 Development of Cracking Models

ADOT's historical data does not provide statistical significance to support development of different cracking prediction models for different activities and environmental zones. However, based on engineering judgment and using historical ADOT cracking data, distinct cracking prediction models were developed for different combinations of activities and environmental zones. The approach used in the development was to group the rehabilitation activities into a number of rehabilitation activity groups based on the activity type. Base prediction models are then developed for these groups using historic data through regression analysis. These base models are then manually adjusted to account for the differential performance among environmental zones.

The rehabilitation activities were grouped into 7 Cracking models; B1 though B7.

Table 5.12 shows these groups and the rehabilitation activities within each group. As can be noted from the table, each group includes a number of rehabilitation activities of expected similar behavior.

Cracking data was extracted for each group, and a non-linear regression analysis was performed on the data from each of these groups to develop the best-fit model that would result in the least sum of square error. For each group, the regression model was considered as a base model for this group, which will then be adjusted to account for the different environmental zones.

Figure 5.15 through Figure 5.21 show the regression results for each of these groups. As can be noted from these figures, the regression line that resulted in the least sum of squares of the error was rather low and resulted in an average percentage cracking between 5% and 10% after 15 years of service.

Cracking Model Group	Group Description	Activity ID	Activity Name
•		211	Mill/Rep 2"AC+SC
B1		212	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FR
	Remove-and-Replace thin	213	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FC
	Conventional AC Overlay	231	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FC
		232	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FR
		234	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AC+FR
50	Remove-and-Replace thin Rubberized AC Overlay	214	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AR+FR
B2		233	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AR+FR
		235	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AR+FR
		215	
		216	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FC
50	Remove-and-Replace thick	217	Mill/Rep 3-5"AR+FR
B3	Conventional AC Overlay	218	
		219	
		236	
		237	RR3-5 AC+1.5-3 AC+FR
		201	Friction Course AC
		202	Pite Treat Ourse AR
		203	Bit. Treat Surf 2 in
		206	R&R Friction Course
		207	R&R Rbr Friction Crs
		221	1.5-2.5"AC + SC
		222	1.5-3.0"AC + FR
		223	1.5-3.0"AC + FC
B4	Surface treatments and thin	224	2.5-3.5"AC + SC
D4	Conventional AC Ovenay	225	2.5-3.5"AC + FR
		226	2.5-3.5"AC + FC
		241	Overlay <=3" Recyc
		242	Overlay 3-5" Recyc
		251	RbrM+Overlay <=2.5
		252	RbrM+Overlay > 2.5
		253	RR1.5+RbrM+Ovrly3
		261	1.5-2.5"AC
		262	2.5-3.5"AC
		227	3.0-5.0"AC + FR
B5	Thick Conventional AC	228	3.0-5.0"AC + FC
5	Overlay	229	3.0-5.0"AC + SC
B6	Thick Rubberized AC Overlay	238	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AR+FR
		239	RR3-5"AC+3-5"AC+FR
B7	Reconstruction Activities	501	Orig, BIT Construction
2.		510	Reconstruct AC
		510	

 Table 5.12: Cracking Groups and Corresponding Rehabilitation Activities



Figure 5.15: B1 Cracking Group Regression Data



Figure 5.16: B2 Cracking Group Regression Data



Figure 5.17: B3 Cracking Group Regression Data



Figure 5.18: B4 Cracking Group Regression Data


Figure 5.19: B5 Cracking Group Regression Data



Figure 5.20: B6 Cracking Group Regression Data



Figure 5.21: B7 Cracking Group Regression Data

## 5.7.4 Final Set of Cracking Prediction Models

The base cracking models were adjusted to account for the differences in the expected performance between the different environmental zones. The adjustment was performed by maintaining the "shape" of the prediction model, but adjusting the service life produced by the model in different environmental zones. The service life was assumed to be the age at which the pavement section reaches a cracking level of 5%. The service life of sections located in the Desert zone was assumed to be longer that those located in the Transition zone, which is in turn longer than the service life of section in the Mountain zone.

The differential performance between Interstate and Non-Interstate routes was not accounted for due to the fact that the cracking levels for all highway sections was relatively low, such that capturing this differential performance was not practical based on the available data.

Table 5.13 shows the expected service life for each group of activities, based on a trigger level of 5%. As can be noted from the table, the base model developed through regression analysis, was considered to represent the pavement sections in the Transition zone. The model was adjusted, such that the service life in the Desert zone is approximately 2 years longer than that of the base model, while the service life in the Mountain zone was 2 years shorter that than that of the base model.

	Environmental Zone			
Cracking Model Group	Desert	Transition	Mountain	
B1	11.5	9.4	7.6	
B2	11.2	9.2	7.3	
B3	13.6	11.7	9.9	
B4	9.3	7.5	5.9	
B5	12.7	10.5	8.6	
B6	14.8	12.5	10.3	
B7	17.8	15.8	13.8	

#### Table 5.13: Approximate Service Life In Years for Cracking Prediction Models

## 5.8 APPROACH FOR MAINTENANCE INTEGRATION INTO PMS

One of the main objectives of this project is to expand the use of the pavement management tools to support the maintenance functions. This objective is achieved using ADOT HPMA by incorporating the corrective maintenance and the preventive maintenance activities into the overall framework of the Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) analysis and optimization analysis. Figure 5.22 depicts the analysis approach that can be used for the development of ADOT's pavement preservation program.

The following subsections provide a brief description of this approach as shown in Figure 5.22, together with an overview of some of the analysis functions in ADOT HPMA. It should be noted however, that this approach was developed based on the following assumptions:

- The Corrective Maintenance (CM) program is a one-year program, where the section selection is based on the current condition data. Also, the impact of the CM activities on future performance is negligible
- The Preventive Maintenance (PM) and Rehabilitation (Rehab) programs are multi-year programs, where the section selection is based on the current and predicted performance data
- The impact PM and Rehab on pavement future performance is accounted for by using specific performance prediction models
- Budget constraints are considered in the section selection process and candidate sections compete against each other, based on cost-effectiveness

#### 5.8.1 Creating Analysis Sections and Predicting Pavement Performance

Using HPMA Dynamic Sectioning Module, the entire highway network is divided into a set of analysis sections. These sections can either be manually defined and loaded as overrides or defined through dynamic sectioning using user-defined criteria (Box 2 in Figure 5.22).



\* Shaded Boxes are ADOT HPMA Functions

#### Figure 5.22: Proposed Analysis Approach

For each homogeneous section, the future condition, in terms of roughness and surface distress, is predicted for each year of the analysis period using site-specific models or default prediction models.

In case a default prediction model is used, the selected model is adjusted to fit the latest historic measured data points by shifting the model horizontally such that the latest known performance data point falls on the default model, as shown in Figure 5.23. Horizontally shifting the curve ensures that the deterioration rate at a specific



Figure 5.23: Shifting of Default Index Prediction Models

performance level, which is the latest measured data, is constant regardless of the actual construction date

Sections are candidates for PM if their age is equal to or less than 7 years (Box 5 in Figure 5.22). All sections that are not candidates for PM are candidates for CM, whether they are triggered for Rehab or not (Box 13 in Figure 5.22). However, sections are candidate for Rehab only if their predicted performance hits the trigger levels any time during the analysis period (Box 14 in Figure 5.22)

## 5.8.2 Treatment Selection

Sections that are candidates for PM will go through the appropriate PM decision tree to identify the candidate treatments. It should be noted that this process will be repeated for every year in the analysis period, as long as the section still meets the PM criterion (age less than or equal to 7 years). The final outcome of this step is a list of sections that are candidates for PM and the candidate treatments for each section for each year of the analysis period (Boxes 6, 7 & 8 in Figure 5.22)

Budget constraints will be implemented on the resulting feasible treatments to select the most cost-effective PM program that meets the budget constraints. The predicted performance of the sections included in the PM program will be revised to account for the positive impact of PM. These sections will be considered for Rehab if their revised performance is triggered for rehabilitation during the analysis period (Boxes 11 & 12 in Figure 5.22). The sections that are candidates for PM and not selected in the PM program will be checked with respect to rehabilitation based on their predicted performance (Box 10 in Figure 5.22)

All sections that are not selected for PM will go through the appropriate CM decision tree to identify the corrective maintenance treatments for these sections (Box 13 in Figure 5.22). However, selecting a corrective maintenance activity for any section will have no effect on its future performance and it will still be considered for Rehab. Sections that will be considered for Rehab are:

- The sections that are not candidates for PM and triggered for Rehab based on their predicted performance and the appropriate trigger level (Box 14 in Figure 5.22)
- Sections that are candidates for PM, but not selected in the PM program, and triggered for Rehab based on their predicted performance and the appropriate trigger level (Box 10 in Figure 5.22)
- Sections that are in the PM program and triggered for Rehab based on their revised predicted performance and the appropriate trigger level (Box 10 in Figure 5.22)

These sections will go through the appropriate Rehab decision tree to identify the candidate treatments. It should be noted that this process is repeated for every year in the analysis period. The final outcome of this step is a list of sections that are triggered for Rehab in any of the analysis years and the candidate treatments for each section for each year of the analysis period. For each section/treatment/year combination, the cost, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness is calculated (Boxes 15, 16 & 17 in Figure 5.22).

The cost is calculated using the unit costs set in Function 6-2-1 in ADOT HPMA, as the product of the area of the section and the unit cost for the selected M&R activity. The Effectiveness is calculated using the following equation:

Effectiveness = Weighting \* SectionsArea \* Area - Under - The - Curve [5.10]

where the Weighting is a factor defined through Function 6-2-2 in ADOT HPMA to provide a priority rating to the different sections. Currently, the weighting factor is a function of AADT. The Section Area is the surface area of the pavement section. The Area-Under-The-Curve is the area under the rehabilitation curve and above the donothing curve or the minimum defined performance level; whichever is greater, as shown in Figure 5.24.

The cost-effectiveness (CE) of a specific activity within the section is the ratio between the effectiveness and the cost. The higher the CE of a specific project, the more "benefit" to the overall network performance. CE is used in the optimization analysis to select the more "beneficial" project and to prioritize the sections during the selection process.



Figure 5.24: Rehabiliation Activities Effectiveness – Area Under the Curve

## 5.8.3 Final Program

The constraints are set for the analysis using either the budget constraints (-1 for unlimited budget), or the performance constraints. Budget constraints are used in the case of limited budgets, while the performance constraints are used when unlimited budget is available to achieve a specific level of performance. Both constraints can be used within the same optimization run, but should not be used within the same year. The budget allocation is usually based on the CE, which means that the budget is allocated to achieve the highest possible performance for the network (Box 18 in Figure 5.22). The final program will consist of:

- CM Program, as explained above (Box 20 in Figure 5.22)
- Pavement Preservation Program (Box 21 in Figure 5.22), which includes both the PM Program (Box 12 in Figure 5.22) and the Rehab Program (Box 19 in Figure 5.22).

## 5.9 DECISION TREES

The Decision Trees (DT) are one of the critical components of ADOT HPMA that can significantly affect the analysis results. DTs are used to model the logical approach for selecting the feasible M&R alternatives for each section during the analysis, based on the section conditions and performance. ADOT HPMA has three types of DT's, which are the Preventive Maintenance (PM), Corrective Maintenance (CM), and Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) decision trees.

Decision trees should be developed for each combination of pavement conditions, such as pavement type, environmental zone, etc. However, based on preliminary analysis

and discussions with ADOT personnel, it was decided to develop identical DT's for all environmental zones, and account for variation in the service life of the pavements due to the variation of the environmental zones in the pavement performance prediction models. This approach has been described earlier in the development of the PSR prediction models and the cracking prediction models. Table 5.14 shows the variables considered in the development of the decision trees and the levels of these variables. As can be noted from the table, the total number of required DT's is 12 (3 types \* 2 Pavement types \* 2 functional classes)

Variable	Levels
	<ul> <li>Preventive Maintenance (PM)</li> </ul>
Tree Type	<ul> <li>Corrective Maintenance (CM)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Rehabilitation (M&amp;R)</li> </ul>
Bayamant Tyrna	<ul> <li>AC Pavement</li> </ul>
Pavement Type	<ul> <li>PCC Pavement</li> </ul>
Functional Class	<ul> <li>Interstate Highways</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Non-Interstate Routes</li> </ul>

Table 5.14: Variables Considered for the Decision Trees

## 5.9.1 Preventive Maintenance Decision Trees

Preventive maintenance decision trees are designed to address pavement sections in relatively "good" surface condition, and in order to maintain such condition.

#### 5.9.1.1 Preventive Maintenance Decision Trees for AC Pavements

Preventive maintenance decision trees for AC pavements are developed for both Interstate and Non-Interstate routes. These trees were developed based on discussions with ADOT staff, and then modified, accordingly after ADOT final revisions, to reflect actual treatments used for pavement maintenance. The decision trees for Interstate and Non-Interstate routes are generally similar, with the exception of the final treatments. On Interstate routes, rubberized friction course or regular friction course are typically used, whereas for Non-Interstate routes, regular friction course or seal coats are used. Figure 5.25 and Figure 5.26 show the preventive maintenance decision trees for the Interstate and Non-Interstate routes, respectively. Table 5.15 describes the end nodes for these trees.



Figure 5.25: Preventive Maintenance DT for Interstate Routes AC Pavements



Figure 5.26: Preventive Maintenance DT for Non-Interstate Routes AC Pavements

		Possible	
Nada	Description	Pavement Condition	Decommondation
Node	Description	Condition	Recommendation
1	old and is not a candidate for preventive maintenance		Do not perform preventive maintenance
2	Pavement is between 4 and 7 years old with no friction course (seal coat)		Add a friction course (seal coat)
3	Pavement is between 4 and 7 years with/without a friction course or seal coat	Friction course or seal coat may have worn off	Use a friction course or seal coat to reduce noise
4	Pavement is relatively new, with no raveling and no cracking (cracking >5% will trigger rehabilitation)	Pavement in good condition	Do Nothing
5	Pavement is relatively new, with no raveling and some cracking	Minor surface cracking	Seal the cracks
6	Pavement is relatively new, with some raveling and flushing, and a missing friction course	Both raveling and flushing issues	Add a friction course
7	Pavement is relatively new, with some raveling and flushing, and a missing friction course	Both raveling and flushing issues	Remove and replace thin surface layer
8	Pavement is relatively new, with some raveling and no flushing	Raveling problem	Use a fog seal

# Table 5.15: Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for Preventive MaintenanceDT

5.9.1.2 Preventive Maintenance Decision Trees for PCC Pavements

PCC pavement sections in Arizona are predominantly located in Interstate routes, and Non-Interstate PCC sections are limited. Subsequently, only one PM decision tree for PCC pavements is developed, which would be applicable for PCC sections on both Interstate and Non-Interstate routes. The PM decision tree for PCC pavements mainly addresses pavements in relatively "good" condition. Deteriorated sections are addressed in CM or M&R trees. Figure 5.27 shows the preventive maintenance DT for PCC pavements, and Table 5.16 describes the end nodes for this DT.



Figure 5.27: Preventive Maintenance DT for PCC Pavements

Node	Description	Possible Pavement Condition	Recommendation
1	Joint sealants are in good condition, and only minor faulting may be present	Pavement in good condition	Do Nothing
2	The joint sealants are in good condition, but moderate faulting exists	Moderate Faulting	Grind the pavement surface and add a friction course
3	Joint sealants are starting to deteriorate	Fair Sealants	Seal deteriorating joints

Table 5.16: End Nodes for PCC PavementsPreventive Maintenance Decision Tree

## 5.9.2 Corrective Maintenance Decision Trees

Corrective maintenance decision trees are designed to address localized pavement distresses over a one-year programming period. Corrective maintenance decision trees are typically based on the presence of individual distresses and they involve interactive updating of the maintenance treatments, unit costs, and the decision parameters used in selecting maintenance treatments. This involves an activity hierarchy, which assigns a hierarchy of general maintenance treatments.

A hierarchy defines which of competing treatments will be selected. For example, if the distresses evident on a section result in selection of both crack filling + seal coat for one type of distress, and seal coat for another type, then in this case, the hierarchy could be set to select the crack filling + seal coat only. The G - M activity interaction option in the HPMA defines the general maintenance activity hierarchy. For each general maintenance activity, local (M) activities can be included or excluded. For example, if a seal coat were selected, then crack filling would be excluded/included from the treatment plan. For crack filling + seal coat, crack filling may be an included activity prior to the seal coat to slow down crack propagation.

#### 5.9.2.1 Corrective Maintenance Decision Trees for AC Pavements

Through discussions with ADOT staff and based on the historic distress data in ADOT database, corrective maintenance decision trees for AC pavements were developed for three types of surface distresses, which are cracking, flushing, and potholes. Figure 5.28 and Figure 5.29 show these trees for AC pavements on Interstate and Non-Interstate routes, respectively. As can be noted, the CM trees are a group of individual trees each based on a specific distress type. Also, the trees for Interstate and Non-Interstate routes are similar, with the exception of the final treatment.



#### Figure 5.28: Corrective Maintenance DT for AC Pavements on Interstate Routes



Figure 5.29: Corrective Maintenance DT for AC Pavements on Non-Interstate Routes

#### 5.9.2.2 Corrective Maintenance Decision Trees for PCC Pavements

Through discussions with ADOT staff, corrective maintenance decision trees for PCC pavements were developed for four types of surface distresses, which are spalling, joint sealant defects, faulting, and poor load transfer. Figure 5.30 shows the CM decision for PCC pavements. Again, the CM trees are a group of individual trees each based on a specific distress type. These trees apply to both Interstate and Non-Interstate routes.



Figure 5.30: Corrective Maintenance DT for PCC Pavements

#### 5.9.3 Maintenance and Rehabilitation Decision Trees

Table 5.17 summarizes the pavement performance limits used in the development of the DT for AC pavement sections, for both Interstate and Non-Interstate routes. These limits were developed using the historic performance data for ADOT highways available from the ADOT HPMA and through discussions with ADOT staff. In the table, Level I describes an acceptable condition, Level II a triggered condition, while Level III denotes failure. The limit between Level I and Level II defines the trigger level, while the limit between Level III defines failure level.

	Interstate Routes			Non-Interstate Routes		
Parameter	Level I	Level II	Level III	Level I	Level II	Level III
Cracking	≤ 5%	> 5% and ≤ 20%	> 20%	≤8%	>8% and ≤ 25%	> 25%
Roughness	PSR ≥ 4.0	4.0 > PSR ≥ 3.2	PSR < 3.2	PSR ≥ 3.6	3.6 > PSR ≥ 2.8	PSR < 2.8
Rutting	≤ 0.5	> 0.5 and ≤ 1.0	> 1.0	≤ 0.5	>0.5 and ≤ 1.0	> 1.0
Flushing	≥ 3.5	< 3.5 and ≥ 2.5	< 2.5	≥ 3.5	< 3.5 and ≥ 2.5	< 2.5

 Table 5.17: Performance Levels For AC Pavements Decision Trees

Table 5.18 summarizes the pavement performance limits used in the development of the DT for PCC pavement sections, for both Interstate and Non-Interstate routes. As for AC pavement sections, these limits were also developed using the historic performance data for ADOT highways available from the AZ HPMA and through discussions with ADOT personnel. As can be noted, the limits for both the Interstate and Non-Interstate routes are similar due to the special nature of the rigid pavement sections, and the limited number of sections from that pavement type in the Non-Interstate of Arizona.

	Interstate Routes			Non-Interstate Routes		
Parameter	Level I	Level II	Level III	Level I	Level II	Level III
Roughness	PSR ≥ 4.0	4.0 > PSR ≥ 3.1	PSR < 3.1	PSR ≥ 3.6	3.6 > PSR ≥ 3.1	PSR < 3.1
Corner Breaks (count)	≤ 10	> 10 and ≤ 20	> 20	≤ 10	> 10 and ≤ 20	> 20
Faulting (in)	≤ 0.2	> 0.2 and ≤ 0.5	> 0.5	≤ 0.2	> 0.2 and ≤ 0.5	> 0.5
Transverse Cracking	≤ 10	> 10 and ≤ 20	> 20	≤ 10	> 10 and ≤ 20	> 20

 Table 5.18: Performance Levels For PCC Pavements Decision Trees.

## 5.9.3.1 M&R Decision Trees for AC Pavements

The following assumptions were made during the development of the decision trees for AC pavements, based on historic records and discussion with ADOT staff:

- A section will be considered as "failed" if it reaches the failure level for any of the performance parameters considered in the analysis.
- Sections failing in cracking will require major rehabilitation activity (or reconstruction) to remove and replace the failed AC layers.
- Sections with high rutting and high cracking are considered to have possible base problems and will require reconstruction.
- Flushing issues are treated by removing and replacing the top AC layer.
- In cases involving cracking problems, it is usually recommended to use rubberized asphalt rather than regular asphalt during rehabilitation.
- Major performance conditions override less prominent surface problems. As an example, the level of flushing will not affect the rehabilitation decision for a pavement section that has already failed in cracking.

Figure 5.31 shows the M&R decision tree for AC pavement sections on Interstate routes. Table 5.19 describes each of the end nodes for the trees. Figure 5.32 shows two alternatives for the M&R decision tree for AC pavement sections on Non-Interstate routes, whereas Table 5.20 describes each of the end nodes.



Figure 5.31: M&R Decision Tree for AC Pavements on Interstate Routes

Node	Description	Possible Pavement Condition	Recommendation
1	Pavement in Good Condition	No Issues	Do Nothing / Preventive Maintenance
2	Flushing Failure No Cracking Problem	Flushing problem	Surface treatment Major Maintenance
3	Rutting Problem No Cracking Problem	AC problem	Rehabilitation - Remove and replace surface layer Regular AC may be used
4	Triggered in Cracking	AC issue	Surface treatment Major Maintenance
5	Failed in Cracking	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
6	Triggered in IRI No Cracking Problem No Rutting Problem	Roughness Problem	Remove and replace AC non-rubberized AC may be used
7	Failed in IRI No Rutting No Cracking Problem	Roughness Failure	AC Rehabilitation
8	Rutting Problem Triggered in IRI No Cracking Problem	AC mix problem	Rehabilitation - Remove and replace surface layer
9	Failed in IRI Rutting Problem	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
10	Triggered in Cracking and IRI	AC mix problem	Remove and replace top AC
11	Failure in IRI Triggered in Cracking	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
12	Failed in Cracking	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
13	Triggered in Cracking Triggered in IRI Rutting Problem	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
14	Failure in Cracking and Rutting Triggered in IRI	AC failure Probable base Failure	Major Rehabilitation Reconstruction

 Table 5.19: Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for Interstate AC Pavements



Figure 5.32: M&R Decision Tree for AC Pavements on Non-Interstate Routes

Node	Description	Possible Pavement Condition	Recommendation
1	Pavement in Good Condition	No Issues	Do Nothing / Preventive Maintenance
2	Flushing Failure No Cracking Problem	Flushing problem	Surface treatment Major Maintenance
3	Rutting Problem No Cracking Problem	AC mix problem	Surface treatment Major Maintenance
4	Rutting Failure No Cracking Problem	AC mix problem	Rehabilitation
5	Triggered in Cracking	AC issue	Surface treatment Major Maintenance
6	Failed in Cracking	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
7	Triggered in IRI No Cracking Problem No Rutting Problem	Roughness Problem	Remove and replace AC Regular AC may be used
8	Failed in IRI No Rutting No Cracking Problem	Roughness Failure	AC Rehabilitation
9	Rutting Problem Triggered in IRI No Cracking Problem	AC mix problem	Rehabilitation - Remove and replace surface layer
10	Failed in IRI Rutting Problem	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
11	Triggered in Cracking and IRI	AC mix problem	Remove and replace top AC
12	Failure in IRI Triggered in Cracking	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
13	Failed in Cracking	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
14	Triggered in Cracking Triggered in IRI Rutting Problem	AC failure	Major Rehabilitation
15	Failure in Cracking Rutting Problems Triggered in IRI	AC failure Probable base Failure	Major Rehabilitation Reconstruction

Table 5.20: Description of Decision Tree End Nodes for Non-Interstate AC Pavements

-

#### 5.9.3.2 M&R Decision Trees for PCC Pavements

The following assumptions were used during the development of the decision trees for PCC pavements, and were mainly based on discussions with ADOT staff and engineering judgment:

- A section will be considered as "failed" if the majority of slabs have cracked.
- Roughness and faulting would generally require grinding and a thin friction course.
- Higher number of cracks would require joint and slab repair and an AC overlay.

Figure 5.33 and Figure 5.34 show the M&R decision trees for PCC sections on Interstate and Non-Interstate routes, respectively. As can be noted from the figures, both trees are similar with the exception of the PSR trigger levels.



Figure 5.33: M&R Decision Tree for Interstate PCC Pavements



Figure 5.34: M&R Decision Tree for Non-Interstate PCC Pavements

Table 5.21 describes the end nodes and recommended treatments

Table 5.21:	Description	of Decision	<b>Tree End Nodes</b>	for PCC	<b>Pavements</b>
-------------	-------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------	------------------

Node	Description	Possible Pavement Condition	Recommendation
1	Low Roughness and Low Faulting	Pavement in Acceptable Condition	Do Nothing / Preventive Maintenance
2	Moderate Faulting with Low Cracking	Faulting problem	Grind and add a friction course
3	Moderate Faulting with High Cracking	Faulting problem	Repair joints and Slab AC Overlay
4	Triggered in Roughness Moderate Corner Breaks	Corner cracks	Grind and add a friction course
5	Triggered in Roughness High Corner Breaks	Pavement in Deteriorated Condition	Repair joints and Slab AC Overlay
6	Failed in Cracking	Pavement Failure	Reconstruction

## 6.0 STATE WIDE ANALYSIS

A statewide analysis to demonstrate these analysis modules is carried out using historic ADOT data. The analysis includes identifying ADOT's network budgetary needs and network performance using historic data and comparing these results to actual measured performance data. The results of the analysis show that the ADOT HPMA successfully modeled the historic trends of ADOT pavements and accurately represented ADOT's network conditions.

To demonstrate ADOT HPMA software performance and verify the analysis settings and models in the software, two sets of analyses were performed using the ADOT HPMA. The analyses were performed starting from the year 2000. Thus, the performance data from the following years were not considered in the analysis. The analysis results were subsequently evaluated against the actual data from the years 2000 through 2003.

The objective of the first analysis set was to predict the funding levels for the network required to achieve specific performance levels over the years 2000 through 2003. These performance levels are the actual measured performance of ADOT during this period. The analysis results are then compared to the actual funding levels provided by ADOT during the same analysis period.

The objective of the second analysis was to predict the network performance under a specific budget stream over the years 2000 through 2003. Again, this budget represents the actual budget spent over the analysis period, and the analysis results are compared to the actual network performance over the same period.

A section data view was first built for the entire ADOT highway network, using the year 2000 as a base year. M&R analysis was then performed to determine the feasible treatments for each section of the section data view. Optimization analysis was performed for each of the two analysis sets, subject to the required constraints and compared to the actual measured data, as described in the following subsections.

## 6.1 BUILDING A SECTION DATA VIEW

A section data view was built for the entire ADOT highway network, using Function 5-1 in ADOT HPMA. Figure 6.1 shows a screen capture of the section data view developed for the analysis. The analysis base year was set to the year 2000. Therefore, the section performance (Do-Nothing) was evaluated starting from the year 2000 and ignoring measured data in future years. Future performance, starting from the year 2000, of each section was predicted using site-specific models. However, in the absence of historic data or if the site-specific model did not result in reasonable prediction models, default models were used.

During the section data view building, all attributes for each section, including the performance, geometric attributes, etc., are evaluated to be used for M&R analysis and optimization. The total number of sections in this section data view was approximately 2000, ranging in length between 0.9 and 8.0 miles.

🌠 Section Data View Builder	×
Selected Section Data View: AZ - Base Yr 2000 - Updated As-Built	5.1
Section Data View Section Attributes	Section Data Options
Section Data View: Section Data View: Archived Select Creation: Description: AZ · Base Yr 2000 · Updated As-Built 2004/06/10 Name: AZ00C Modifiable by any user wael Analysis Base Year: 2000 Data Before Date: 2000/12/31 11:53:48 AM Data After Date: 1974/06/10 11:53:48 AM Length Constraints: Minimum Length: 0.9 Maximum Length: 8.0 Include Section Def'n Overrides Maximum Length: 8.0 Include Programmed Work Pareak on Project Limits Include Overlaps Lane Sectioning: Section By: All Defined Performance Lanes on Divided : Performance Direction on Un-Divided : Performance Maximum Length: 0.9 Notes:	Subset: All Highways District: Maint. Org Subset -> Subset Plot Section Breaks Import Section Data View Replace Existing Sections Retrieve Performance Data Build Sections 2004/06/10 View Log View Sections Build Data View 2004/06/10 View Log Predict ! / /

Figure 6.1: Building of a Section Data View

#### 6.2 M&R ANALYSIS

M&R analysis was performed for all the sections in the section data view, using Function 6-2 in ADOT HPMA. The analysis period was set to 5 years (2000 through 2004). The objective of the M&R analysis is to identify all the feasible rehabilitation activities for each section in the section data view, using the M&R decision trees, described earlier in previous sections of this report. Also at this stage, the cost and the effectiveness of each of the feasible rehabilitation activities are calculated.

Figure 6.2 shows the analysis settings used for the M&R analysis. As can be noted, the Section Analysis was performed using an "Always Analyze" option and a "Single Implementation" option was selected for the Section Strategies.

The "Always Analyze" option causes the analysis to be carried for all the sections regardless of the need year, or when the section is actually triggered for rehabilitation. This option was used to capture minor rehabilitation activities, such as adding a friction course. However, the analysis will still be controlled through the decision trees, where sections in good conditions will not receive any rehabilitation.

A "Single Implementation" option was used since the analysis period is only 5 years, and it is not expected that any of the sections considered in the analysis will require any repeated implementation within this short analysis period.

🌠 M & R Alternatives Analysis		×
8		6-2
Analysis Set:	Analysis Parameters:	Models:
	Programming Period: 5 Years	Activities
AZ analysis - Always Analyze	Economic Analysis Period: 5 Years	Eff.Weight
rDecision Tree Set:	Inflation Rate: 0.0 (%)	User Delay
	Discount Rate: 0.0 (%)	
New Decision Tree	Deferred Strategy Deferral Period: 0 Years	Veh.Op.Cost
	Sum Paved and Shoulder Width for Cost	
Sec. Override Set:	Section Analysis:	Maint.Cost
	Based on: O Overall Index	
	Any Index     Any Distress or Index	-Analysis:
Subset:	<ul> <li>Always Analyze</li> </ul>	Analyze
	Section Strategies:	🕞 View Log
All Sections	<ul> <li>Single Implementation</li> </ul>	
-Data) (iour	Multiple Tree Implementations	
Base Year: 2000	Replace All Apalysis Results	Heport
AZ - Base Yr 2000 - Updated As-Built	Process Overrides Only	Close

Figure 6.2: M&R Analysis Settings

#### 6.3 OPTIMIZATION ANALYSIS

As mentioned earlier, two sets of analyses were performed using the same M&R analysis results, which are:

- Needs analysis to identify the network budgetary needs based on performance constraints
- Budget analysis to identify the network performance based on budget constraints

#### 6.3.1 Needs Analysis Settings

The Needs Analysis was performed by specifying the network performance constraints in terms of roughness and distresses. The performance constraints used in the analysis are as shown in Table 6.1. As can be noted, the performance constraints are defined in terms of the network average and/or the percentage of the network lengths greater than a specific performance level. It should be noted that these performance constraints were set by ADOT, and on average represents the actual performance of ADOT highway network during the period between the years 2000 and 2003.

	Route Type			
Constraint	Interstate	Non-Interstate		
% Network with PSR ≥ 3.5	76%	76%		
Average PSR	4.15	3.54		
% Network with Cracking ≤ 15%	88%	88%		

The optimization analysis is performed using Function 6-3 in ADOT HPMA. The Needs analysis constraints are defined using the Function 6-3-c, where the budget is set to "-1" denoting an unlimited budget, while the performance constraints are set for each of the road types/functional class separately, as shown in Figure 6.3. As can be noted from the figure, the performance constraints in ADOT HPMA can be defined in terms of the network average and/or the percentage of the network length less the trigger level for any of the performance indices defined in the system.

Optim 9   🗙	ization	Constra	aints al 9		leeds A	nalusis (r	4 15/3 54)	<b>_</b>		6
<u> </u>						nalyolo (				
			Descri	ption: 🚺	leeds A	nalysis (	4.15/3.54)		D: ND_F	
						Porto				
	Bud	agets				Fello	Innance		Uptions	
🔽 Р	erformar	nce Con	straints	by Clas:	s: Ru.F	Pr.Art - In	terstate 💌			
~		~ .	0 04 .T	~			C 801 8143 41			
Con	straints:	🖲 Avg	& %<1	Q Ind	ex Distri	bution	O RSL Distribution			
Var	PSR	PSR	PDI	PDI	PQI	PQI				
rear	Avg	% <t< td=""><td>Avg</td><td>%<t< td=""><td>Avg</td><td>%<t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></td></t<></td></t<>	Avg	% <t< td=""><td>Avg</td><td>%<t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></td></t<>	Avg	% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
2000	4.15	24	0.00	12	0.00	100				
2001	4.15	24	0.00	12	0.00	100				
2002	4.15	24	0.00	12	0.00	100				
2003	4.15	24	0.00	12	0.00	100				
2004	4.15	24	0.00	12	0.00	100				
2005	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2006	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2007	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2008	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2009	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2010	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2011	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2012	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2013	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2014	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2015	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2016	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2017	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2018	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				
2019	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	100				-
										Þ
										ОК

Figure 6.3: Needs Analysis Performance Constraints

#### 6.3.2 Needs Analysis Results

The Needs analysis was performed to identify the funding levels required to maintain the network conditions at the desired levels. Figure 6.4 shows the budget required to achieve all these constraints for all the analysis years (2000 through 2004). These results are very close to the actual spending of ADOT during the fiscal years 2000 through 2003, which are shown later in Table 6.2.





Figure 6.5 shows the Interstate average PSR over the analysis period resulting from the Needs Analysis. Figure 6.6 shows the percentage PSR less than the performance trigger (PSR=3.5). Figure 6.7 shows the percentage with cracking more than 15%. As can be noted, the PSR network average constraint was exactly matched during the analysis, while the other constraints were exceeded. This is due to the fact that the software performs the analysis such that all the constraints are satisfied or exceeded.

Similarly, Figure 6.8 to Figure 6.10 show the Non-Interstate average PSR, percentage PSR less than the performance trigger (PSR=3.5), and percentage with cracking more than 15% over the analysis period resulting from the Needs Analysis, respectively. In this case, the percentage of the network less than the PSR trigger was the governing constraint, as it was exactly matched, while the other constraints were exceeded.

As can be noted from Figure 6.7 and Figure 6.10, which show the percentage of the Interstate and Non-Interstate sections with 15% or more cracking, respectively, the cracking levels are generally very low. This indicates that the cracking constraint was not controlling the analysis in either case. The main reason being that both the Interstate and Non-Interstate sections had very low cracking in the base year. Figure 6.11 and Figure 6.12 show the percentage of the Interstate and Non-Interstate sections with 15% or more cracks, respectively, based on Year 2000 measurements (0.2% for Interstate and 6.4% for Non-Interstate).



Figure 6.5: Interstate Average PSR based on Needs Analysis



Figure 6.6: Percentage of Interstate Less than PSR based on Needs Analysis



Figure 6.7: Percentage of Interstate with 15% or more Cracking based on Needs Analysis



Figure 6.8: Non-Interstate Average PSR based on Needs Analysis



Figure 6.9: Percentage of Non-Interstate Less than PSR based on Needs Analysis



Figure 6.10: Percentage of Non-Interstate with 15% or more Cracking based on Needs Analysis











#### 6.3.3 Budget Analysis Settings

The Budget analysis was performed using the yearly budget, shown in Table 6.2, as a budget constraint. The budget constraints used in the analysis were provided by ADOT and represent the actual budget used for the M&R projects during the analysis period.

Fiscal Year	Budget (\$)
2000	102,000,000
2001	98,784,000
2002	78,445,000
2003	82,359,000
2004	72,362,000

 Table 6.2: Budget Constraints for Optimization Anlaysis

Similar to the Needs analysis, the Budget constraints are defined using the Function 6-3-c, where the performance constraints were not defined, while the budget for each was defined as described in Table 6.2. Figure 6.13 shows the budget constraints as entered in ADOT HPMA for the budget analysis.

Optimization Constraints							
3	( 1111		AZ Budget		•	6-3-c	
	Description: AZ Budget ID: Budg						
(	Budgets Performance Options						
				I			
	Budge	t Constraints	<b>r</b>	<b>.</b>			
	Year	Total Budget	Rehabilitation Budget	Maintenance Budget			
	200	0 102000000	-1	-1			
	200	1 98784000	-1	-1			
	200	2 78445000	-1	-1	<b>_</b>		
	200	3 82359000	-1	-1	<b>_</b>		
	200	4 72362000	-1	-1	<u> </u>		
	200	5 0	-1	-1	<b>_</b>		
	200	6 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	200	7 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	200	8 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	200	9 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201	0 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201	1 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201	2 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201	3 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201	4 U	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201	5 0	-1	-1	<b>-</b> 1		
	201			-	<b>-</b> 1		
	201		-		<b>-</b> 1		
	201	8 U	-		<b>-</b>		
	201	9 0	-1	-			
						OK	

Figure 6.13: Budget Constraints for Optimization Analysis

#### 6.3.4 Budget Analysis Results

The analysis was performed using the budget constraints and the network performance results were extracted from the HPMA. In this section, the results of the analysis are shown for Interstate and Non-Interstate routes separately. However, it should be noted that the actual analysis was carried out for the entire network, where all the sections were "competing" for the available budget based on cost-effectiveness of the rehabilitation activities.

Figure 6.14 shows the average PSR for the Interstate sections over the analysis period, while Figure 6.15 shows the actual average PSR over the same period, as measured by ADOT and loaded to ADOT HPMA database. Table 6.3 shows the data from both graphs in a tabular format. As can be noted, the analysis results matched the actual measured data very closely.



Figure 6.14: Summary of the Average Interstate PSR Based on Budget Analysis



Figure 6.15: Summary of the Average Interstate PSR Based on Measured Data

Year	Actual Measured PSR	Predicted PSR
2000	4.13	4.15
2001	4.12	4.15
2002	4.13	4.16
2003	4.11	4.18

 Table 6.3: Comparison of PSR Average for Interstate Sections

Figure 6.16 shows the percentage of the Interstate sections with a PSR less than 3.5 based on the budget analysis. These percentages are comparable to the actual measured data, which is shown in Figure 6.17. Table 6.4 shows a comparison between the predicted performance based on the budget analysis and the actual measured data for Interstate sections.



Figure 6.16: Percentage Interstate Sections with PSR < 3.5 Based on Budget Analysis



**PSR Performance History** 

Figure 6.17: Percentage Interstate Sections with PSR < 3.5 Based on Measured Data

Year	Actual Percentage	Predicted Percentage
2000	6.8	5.0
2001	6.5	4.0
2002	6.1	2.0
2003	6.8	1.0

Table 6.4: Comparison of Sections with PSR < 3.5 for Interstate Sections

Similar to the results of the Interstate sections, Figure 6.18 shows the predicted PSR for the Non-Interstate sections based on the budget analysis, while Figure 6.19 shows the actual measured data. Again, Table 6.5 shows a comparison between the predicted performance based on the budget analysis and the actual measured data for Non-Interstate sections. As can be noted from the results, the difference between the predicted average PSR based on the analysis and the actual measured performance is not significant.

Figure 6.20 shows the percentage of the Non-Interstate sections with a PSR less than 3.2 based on the budget analysis. These percentages are comparable to the actual measured data, which is shown in Figure 6.21, especially at the later years of the analysis. Table 6.6 summarizes the predicted performance and the actual measured data for Non-Interstate sections.



Figure 6.18: Summary of the Average Non-Interstate PSR Based on Budget Analysis



Figure 6.19: Summary of the Average Non-Interstate PSR Based on Measured Data

Year	Actual Measured PSR	Predicted PSR
2000	3.41	3.53
2001	3.45	3.56
2002	3.60	3.57
2003	3.64	3.58

 Table 6.5: Comparison of PSR Average for Non-Interstate Sections



Figure 6.20: Percentage Non-Interstate Sections with PSR<3.2 Based on Budget Analysis



Figure 6.21: Percentage Non-Interstate Sections with PSR<3.2 Based on Measured Data

Year	Actual Measured PSR	Predicted PSR
2000	34.4	27.0
2001	32.0	26.0
2002	22.8	26.0
2003	21.4	25.0

 Table 6.6: Comparison of Sections with PSR < 3.2 for Non-Interstate Sections</th>
### 7.0 IMPLEMENTATION OF ADOT HPMA

The HPMA was installed at ADOT on the department's computer network using the SQL Server Database Management System to house the database. The application software (HPMA.EXE) is installed on each workstation PC accessing the database stored on the database server, as well as some setup and parameter files stored on a file server. This configuration is illustrated below.



#### Figure 7.1: Client/Server Implementation at ADOT Using SQL Server Database Server

The HPMA database server utilizes a single SQL Server user for connection from the client workstations. Access to the SQL Server connection is controlled by the HPMA application. The HPMA application uses Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) to communicate with the database server. All client workstations must use the same ODBC connection name. The directory structure set up on the file server is as shown in Table 7.1.

Directory	Purpose
\HPMA_AZ	Base Directory
\adhocrpt	User-defined (ad-hoc) report forms
\data	HPMA parameter files (prm_*, etc.)
\help	HTML help files
\output	HPMA generated output files
\section \xxx \yyy	Subdirectories below Section are created by HPMA for each user-defined section data view
\temp	Temporary files
\sdv	Sdp_dict_*.*, prm_sdvb_*.* files
\transfer	*.cab files created using the export/import function

Table 7.1:	HPMA	Directory	Structure
------------	------	-----------	-----------

An additional folder (directory) was created on the file server to provide a central location for the storage of current versions of the HPMA executable (HPMA.EXE) and other components. It is referred to as the System Repository. The HPMA application checks the version stored in this location to determine if a newer version exists. The new version is

then automatically copied to the workstation to replace the older version. This simplifies the updating of client machines when a new version of the .EXE file is provided.

The files to be included in the repository are:

- HPMA.EXE
- HPMA\_SET.EXE
- HPMAUPDT.EXE
- EXEUPDT.EXE
- PMS\_SETU.DBF (can be included as a source for copying to new workstations)

Each PC workstation is set up using the following steps (see the HPMA Installation Manual for more detail):

- 1. Run the PMSSetup8.exe to install the system components. This registers components and runtime libraries in the Windows registry. It also places two files in the designated application folder.
- 2. Set up the ODBC data source for the SQL Server database.
- 3. Copy the HPMA.EXE, HPMA\_SET.EXE and PMS\_SETU.DBF to the application folder.
- 4. Run HPMA\_SET.EXE to make sure the paths and ODBC source are set correctly. (If the PMS\_SETU.DBF is already set up correctly, this step is not necessary).

Code	Description	District
7871	Avondale	78
7873	East Metro	78
7874	Mesa	78
7875	Phoenix North	78
8150	Tucson North	81
8150	Tucson North	81
8151	Tucson South	81
8152	Three Points	81
8153	Nogales	81
8154	Oracle	81
8155	Casa Grande	81
8250	Yuma	82
8251	Quartzsite	82
8252	Gila Bend	82
8350	Globe	83
8352	Roosevelt	83
8353	Superior	83
8354	Show Low	83
8355	St Johns	83
8356	Springerville	83
8357	Indian Pine	83
8450	Safford	84
8451	Three Way	84
8452	Willcox	84
8453	St David	84
8454	Douglas	84
8550	Flagstaff	85
8551	Williams	85
8552	Gray Mountain	85
8553	Little Antelope	85
8554	Page	85
8555	Fredonia	85
8650	Kingman	86
8651	Seligman	86
8652	Needle Mtn	86
8653	Wikieup	86

Table A.1: Maintenance Organizations Defined in the Jurisdiction Attributes
---

Code	Description	District
8750	Holbrook	87
8751	Winslow	87
8752	Kayenta	87
8753	Keams Canyon	87
8754	Ganado	87
8755	Chambers	87
8850	Prescott	88
8851	Cordes Junction	88
8852	Wickenburg	88
8853	Payson	88

Table A.2 Councils of Government Defined in ADOT HPMA

Code	Description
1	MAG
2	PAG
3	NACOG
4	WACOG
5	CAAG
6	SEAGO
7	YMPO
8	FMPO

Table A.3 Urban Areas Defined in ADOT HPMA

City		
Code	City Description	Urban Area
1	PHOENIX	Phoenix
2	SCOTTSDALE	Phoenix
3	BUCKEYE	Phoenix
4	GOODYEAR	Phoenix
5	TEMPE	Phoenix
6	MESA	Phoenix
7	TOLLESON	Phoenix
8	PEORIA	Phoenix
9	GILBERT	Phoenix
10	PARADISE VALLEY	Phoenix
11	AVONDALE	Phoenix
12	GLENDALE	Phoenix
13	CHANDLER	Phoenix
14	TUCSON	Tucson
15	MARANA	Tucson
16	OROVALLEY	Tucson
17	APACHE JUNCTION	Phoenix

City	City Description	IIrban Area
18		Phoenix
10		Other
20		Dhoeniy
20		Othor
21		Other
23	GILABEND	
24		
25		01
26	FLAGSTAFF	Other
27	SOUTHTUCSON	lucson
28	BENSON	
29	BISBEE	
30	BULLHEAD CITY	Other
31	CAMP VERDE	Other
32	CASAGRANDE	Other
33	CHINO VALLEY	
34	CLARKDALE	
35	CLIFTON	
36	COLORADO CITY	
37	COOLIDGE	Other
38	COTTONWOOD	Other
39	DOUGLAS	Other
40	DUNCAN	
41	EAGAR	
42	ELOY	Other
44	FLORENCE	Other
45	FREDONIA	
46	GLOBE	Other
47	HAYDEN	
48	HOLBROOK	
49	HUACHUCA CITY	
50	JEROME	
51	KEARNY	
52	KINGMAN	Other
53	LAKEHAVASUCITY	Other
54	MAMMOTH	
55	MIAMI	Other
56	NOGALES	Other
57	PAGE	O those
58	PARKER	
50	ΡΑΤΑΓΟΝΙΑ	
60		Other
61		
62		Othor
62		Other
64		Other
04	PRESCUTT VALLEY	Uner

City		
Code	City Description	Urban Area
65	SAFFORD	Other
66	ST.JOHNS	
67	SANLUIS	
68	SEDONA	
69	SHOWLOW	Other
70	SIERRAVISTA	Other
71	SNOWFLAKE	
72	SOMERTON	
73	SPRINGER VILLE	
74	SUPERIOR	
75	TAYLOR	
76	THATCHER	
77	TOMBSTONE	
78	WELLTON	
79	WILLCOX	
80	WILLIAMS	
81	WINKELMAN	
82	WINSLOW	
83	YUMA	Other
84	LITCHFIELD PARK	Other
85	QUEEN CREEK	
86	FOUNTAIN HILLS	Phoenix
87	QUARTZSITE	
89	SAHUARITA	

	Ū	actional Class		ECAL	% Traffic	Truck	Trucke	Lane	Number	NO	Rehab
2				EOAL		Lacior	IIUUNS	אומנוו (וו)	OI LAIIES	20	ACLIVILY
INR	œ	tu.Pr.Art Interstate	26000	450000	5	1.2	10	12	2	9	212
PAR R	R	u.Prin.Arterial - Other	18000	270000	5	1	5	12	2	5	223
RMA		Rural Minor Arterial	3725	55875	5	1	5	12	2	4	223
RMC		Rural Major Collector	1635	24525	3	1	5	12	2	4	223
RmC		Rural Minor Collector	455	6825	3	1	5	12	2	4	223
RLo		Rural Local	100	1500	3	1	5	12	2	3	223
INU		Ur.Pr.Art Interstate	26000	750000	5	1.05	10	12	2	9	223
PAU U		rb. Prin.Art. O.Fwy/Exw	15000	225000	5	1	5	12	2	9	223
UPA		Ur.Prin.Arterial - Other	13000	195000	3	1	5	12	2	5	223
UMA		Urban Minor Arterial	8930	133950	2	1	5	12	2	4	223
UC		Urban Collector	3510	52650	2	1	5	12	2	4	223
NL		Urban Local	305	4575	2	1	9	12	2	3	223

Table A.4 Functional Classes and Default Values Defined in ADOT HPMA

Code	ID	Description
0	0.5	0 - 500 ft.
1	15	501-1500
2	25	1501 - 2500
3	35	2501 - 3500
4	50	3501 - 5000
5	70	5001 - 7000
6	80	7001 - 8000
7	90	8001-9000
8	100	9001 - 10000

Table A.5 Administrative Classes (Elevation Classes) Defined in ADOT HPMA

Table A.6 Pavement Types and Default Values Defined in ADOT HPMA

Code	ID	Pavement Type	Surface Type	Structure Factor	Default Activity	Surface Type	Surface- To-Base
1	BIT	Bituminous	В	0.3	501	AC	No
2	BCB	Bituminous / Cement Base	В	0.3	0	AC	No
3	CON	Concrete - Jointed	С	0.6	502	PC	Yes
4	CRC	Concrete - Cont. Reinf.	С	0.6	503	CC	Yes
5	CDP	Concrete - Doweled	С	0.6	42	CD	Yes
6	COM	Composite (Bit / Con)	В	0.6	215	AC	No
7	WTP	White Top Pavement	С	0.4	42	PC	No
9	UnP	Unpaved	U	0.1	0	NA	No

Table A.7 Layer Classes Defined in ADOT HPMA
--

ID	Description
AC	Asphalt Concrete
AG	Aggregate
AT	Asphalt Treated
BA	Bit. Treated Aggregate
CA	Cement Treated Aggregate
CC	Continuously Reinforced Concrete
CD	Concrete- Doweled
MS	Modified Soil
OT	Other
PC	Portland Cement Concrete

Code	ID	Description	Divided
1	Soi	Soil	Yes
2	Pai	Painted	Yes
3	Crb	Curbed	Yes
4	CCb	Conc. Curbed	Yes
5	CBr	Conc. Barrier	Yes
6	CSo	Curbed/Soil	Yes
7	CBu	Curbed business	Yes
8	SBr	Soil/Barrier	Yes
9	Mdn	Median	Yes
10	Bar	Barrier	Yes

Table A.8 Median Types Defined in ADOT HPMA

Table A.9 Shoulder Types Defined in ADOT HPMA

Code	ID	Description
1	AC	Asphalt
2	PCC	Concrete
3	MBH	High
4	MBL	Low
5	BST	Bit. Surface Treatment
6	CCM	ССМВ
7	G	Gravel

HPMA Code	HPMA ID	Description	HPMA Type	Pavement Type	UNIT COSTS (\$/Yds <sup>2</sup> )
101	Patch	Premix Patch	M	AC. CO	12.00
102	Level	Level with Premix	G	AC, CO	3.20
103	CrkSeal	Crack Seal	М	AC, CO	2.00
104	SandSeal	Sand Seal	G	AC, CO	1.44
105	FDPtch	Rep Surf/Base	М	AC, CO	16.00
106	ChipSeal	Chip Seal	G	AC, CO	1.78
107	SealCoat	Seal Coat	G	AC, CO	1.78
108	Flush	Flush Coat	G	AC, CO	0.25
109	SpotFlush	Spot Flush/Seal	М	AC, CO	3.20
110	Joint Seal	PC slab joint sealing	М	PC	8.00
111	Patch(E)	Premix Patch Emrg.	М	AC, CO	12.00
112	TightBlade	Tight Blading	М	PC	6.00
113	CrkSeal-R	Crack Seal with Rubber	М	AC, CO	6.00
114	PC-RepR	PCC Repair/Replace	М	PC	15.00
115	PC-SpRep	PCC Spall Repair	М	PC	12.00
119	PvSrfMnt	Pvd Surf Maint.	М	AC, CO	12.00
120	DG+FC	Diamond Grind + Friction Course	G	PC	12.98
121	Dbl Chip S	Double Chip Seal	G	AC, CO	2.56
123	MicroSurf	Micro Surfacing	G	AC, CO	3.50
124	Slurry	Slurry Seal	G	AC, CO	1.60
125	ScrubSeal	Scrub Seal	G	AC, CO	1.30
126	DI Retr+JS	Dowel Retrofit + Joint Seal	М	PC	12.00
127	FogS-S	Fog Seal Regular AC	М	AC, CO	1.28
128	FogS-R	Fog Seal Rubberized	G	AC, CO	1.38
129	RM+Seal	Rubber Membrane + Sealing	G	AC, CO	2.50
141	CkFI+Seal	Crack Fill and Seal Coat	G	AC, CO	4.50
201	ACFC	Friction Course AC	R	AC, CO	3.50
202	ARFC	Friction Course AR	R	AC, CO	4.00
203	BTS	Bit. Treat Surf 2 in	R	AC, CO	2.00
206	RR FC	R&R Friction Course	R	AC, CO	4.50
207	RR FR	R&R Rbr Friction Crs	R	AC, CO	5.50
208	RR SC	R&R Seal Coat	G	AC, CO	2.50
211	RR2"+SC	Mill/Rep 2"AC+SC	R	AC, CO	11.00
212	RR2"AC+FR	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	12.96
213	RR2"AC+FC	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AC+FC	R	AC, CO	11.88
214	RR2"AR+FR	Mill/Rep 1.5-3"AR+FR	R	AC, CO	14.63
215	RR4"AC+FR	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	16.00
216	RR4"AC+FC	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+FC	R	AC, CO	15.00
217	RR4"AR+FR	Mill/Rep 3-5"AR+FR	R	AC, CO	19.00

Table A.10 Rehabilitation Activities Defined in ADOT HPMA

HPMA Code	HPMA ID	Description	HPMA Type	Pavement Type	UNIT COSTS (\$/Yds <sup>2</sup> )
218	RR4"AC+SC	Mill/Rep 3-5"AC+SC	R	AC, CO	14.50
219	RR5"AC+FR	Mill/Rep >5"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	18.00
221	2"AC+SC	1.5-2.5"AC + SC	R	AC, CO	9.07
222	2"AC+FR	1.5-3.0"AC + FR	R	AC, CO	10.85
223	2"AC+FC	1.5-3.0"AC + FC	R	AC, CO	9.88
224	3"AC+SC	2.5-3.5"AC + SC	R	AC, CO	11.50
225	3"AC+FR	2.5-3.5"AC + FR	R	AC, CO	13.28
226	3"AC+FC	2.5-3.5"AC + FC	R	AC, CO	12.31
227	4"AC+SC	3.0-5.0"AC + FR	R	AC, CO	16.93
228	4"AC+FR	3.0-5.0"AC + FC	R	AC, CO	15.96
229	4"AC+FC	3.0-5.0"AC + SC	R	AC, CO	15.15
231	RR2AC+2ACC	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FC	R	AC, CO	16.75
232	RR2AC+2ACR	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AC+FR	R	AC, CO	17.50
233	RR2AC+2ARR	RR1.5-3AC+1.5-3AR+FR	R	AC, CO	18.96
234	RR2AR+2ACR	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AC+FR	R	AC, CO	18.96
235	RR2AR+2ARR	RR1.5-3AR+1.5-3AR+FR	R	AC, CO	25.35
236	RR4AC+2ACC	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AC+FC	R	AC, CO	19.26
237	RR4AC+2ACR	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	22.44
238	RR4AC+2ARR	RR3-5"AC+1.5-3"AR+FR	R	AC, CO	29.32
239	RR4AR+2ACR	RR3-5"AC+3-5"AC+FR	R	AC, CO	31.75
241	OL2R	Overlay <=3" Recyc	R	AC, CO	8.51
242	OL4R	Overlay 3-5" Recyc	R	AC, CO	14.18
251	RM+OL2	RbrM+Overlay <=2.5	R	AC, CO	12.56
252	RM+OL3	RbrM+Overlay > 2.5	R	AC, CO	14.99
253	RR+RM+OL	RR1.5+RbrM+Ovrly3	R	AC, CO	18.06
261	2"AC	1.5-2.5"AC	R	AC, CO	7.29
262	3"AC	2.5-3.5"AC	R	AC, CO	10.94
301	Crk&Seat	Crack & Seat + Ovly	С	PC	26.00
302	JtRep+Ovly	Jt & Slab Rep. + Ovly	R	PC	15.00
401	ConOL	Concrete Ovly	С	AC, CO, PC	12.00
501	OC-Bit	Orig. BIT Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	30.00
502	OC-BCB	Orig. BCB Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	31.00
503	OC-CON	Orig. CON Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	44.00
504	OC-CRC	Orig. CRC Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	44.00
505	OC-CDP	Orig. CDP Construction	С	AC, CO, PC	46.00
510	Rec-AC	Reconstruct AC	С	AC, CO, PC	30.00
515	Rec-Con	Reconstruct Concrete	С	AC, CO, PC	43.00

		Equivalency				Default
Code	ID	Description	Factor	Туре	Class	Thickness
101	AC	Asphaltic Concrete	0.44	Surf	AC	4
102	AR	AC with Rubber	0.66	Surf	AC	4
103	AS	AC Surface Course	0.44	Surf	AC	4
104	BS	Bit. Treated Surface	0.44	Surf	AC	3
105	FC	AC Friction Course	0.44	Surf	AC	3
106	FR	Rubber ACFC	0.66	Surf	AC	3
107	OC	Open Graded AC	0.44	Surf	AC	4
110	RI	Recycled In Place AC	0.37	Surf	AC	0
111	LC	Leveling Course-AC	0.44	Base	AC	4
112	RO	Recycled AC Overlay	0.44	Surf	AC	3
201	PC	PCC	0.6	Surf	PC	10
202	PD	PCC, Dowelled	0.6	Surf	PC	10
203	PP	PCC, Pre-Stressed	0.6	Surf	PC	10
204	PR	PCC, CRCP	0.6	Surf	PC	10
301	AB	Aggregate Base	0.14	Base	AG	8
302	BM	Base Material	0.14	Base	AG	5
303	СВ	Cement Treated Base	0.28	Base	CA	10
304	FB	Fly Ash Base	0.23	Base	CA	5
305	LB	Lime Treated Base	0.23	Base	CA	5
306	OA	Open Graded Base	0.14	Base	AG	5
307	BW	Base Material	0.14	Base	AG	5
311	BB	Bit. Treated Base	0.28	Base	BA	2
312	OB	OG Bit. Treated Base	0.28	Base	BA	2
320	CL	Lean Concrete	0.28	Base	CA	10
401	SB	Aggregate Subbase	0.11	SubB	AG	15
402	SM	Select Material	0.11	SubB	AG	7
403	RF	Rock Fill	0.11	SubB	AG	15
404	CS	Cement Treated SG	0.23	SubB	MS	3
405	LS	Lime Subgrade	0.23	SubB	MS	15
501	SC	Seal Coat	0	Trt	AT	0
502	PS	Plant Mix Seal Coat	0.05	Trt	AT	0
503	SR	Slurry Seal	0	Trt	AT	0
504	HS	Heater Scarification	0.2	Trt	AT	0
505	DC	Double Chip Seal	0	Surf	AT	0.1
506	FL	Flush Coat-Fog Seal	0	Trt	AT	0
601	RM	Rubber Membrane	0	Int	Ot	0
602	CF	Construction Fabric	0	Int	Ot	0.1
603	FF	Filter Fabric	0	Int	Ot	0.1
604	GG	Geogrid	0	Int	Ot	0.1
605	GT	Geotextile	0	Int	Ot	0.1
701	SS	Subgrade Seal	0.09	Trt	AT	6
702	FS	Fly Ash Subgrade	0.23	Subg	MS	20

Table A.11 Material Types Defined in ADOT HPMA

Pavement Code	Distress Code	Distress ID	Distress Description	Distress Unit
1	1	Crak	Cracking	% Area
1	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
1	3	Flsh	Flushing	ReverseVal
1	4	RutD	Rutting	Avg Rut
1	5	Ravl	Raveling	Value
1	6	Load	Load Rel Crk	Y/N Ind.
1	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
1	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
1	9	PotH	Potholes	Value
2	1	Crak	Cracking	% Area
2	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
2	3	Flsh	Flushing	ReverseVal
2	4	RutD	Rutting	Avg Rut
2	5	Ravl	Raveling	Value
2	6	Load	Load Rel Crk	Y/N Ind.
2	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
2	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
2	9	PotH	Potholes	Value
3	1	CrBk	Corner Break	% Area
3	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
3	3	Falt	Faulting	Avg Fault
3	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
3	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
3	4	Long	Longitudinal Cr	Value
3	5	Tran	Transverse Cr	Value
3	6	Load	Load Transfer	Y/N Ind.
3	9	JtSI	Soint Sealant	ReverseVal
4	1	CrBk	Corner Break	% Area
4	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
4	3	Falt	Faulting	Avg Fault
4	4	Long	Longitudinal Cr	Value
4	5	Tran	Transverse Cr	Value
4	6	Load	Load Transfer	Y/N Ind.
4	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
4	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
4	9	JtSI	Soint Sealant	ReverseVal
5	1	CrBk	Corner Break	% Area
5	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
5	3	Falt	Faulting	Avg Fault
5	4	Long	Longitudinal Cr	Value

 Table A.12 Distress Types Defined in ADOT HPMA for Different Pavement Types

Pavement Code	Distress Code	Distress ID	Distress Description	Distress Unit
5	5	Tran	Transverse Cr	Value
5	6	Load	Load Transfer	Y/N Ind.
5	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
5	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
5	9	JtSI	Soint Sealant	ReverseVal
6	1	Crak	Cracking	% Area
6	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
6	3	Flsh	Flushing	ReverseVal
6	4	RutD	Rutting	Avg Rut
6	5	Ravl	Raveling	Value
6	6	Load	Load Rel Crk	Y/N Ind.
6	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
6	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
6	9	PotH	Potholes	Value
7	1	Crak	Cracking	% Area
7	2	Pach	Patching	% Area
7	3	Falt	Faulting	Avg Fault
7	4	Long	Longitudinal Cr	Value
7	5	Tran	Transverse Cr	Value
7	6	Load	Load Transfer	Y/N Ind.
7	7	Lane	Adj. Lane Compar	Value
7	8	Spal	Spalling	ReverseVal
7	9	JtSI	Soint Sealant	ReverseVal

Table A.13 Default ESAL Factors Defined in ADOT HPMA

Traffic Class	Description	AC ESAL Factor	PC ESAL Factor
1	Motorcycle	0	0
2	Passenger Car	0	0
3	2 Axle, 4 Tire Truck	0	0
4	Bus	0.8577	0.8899
5	2 Axle 6 Tire Single	0.1998	0.1502
6	3 Axle Single Unit	0.6693	1.076
7	4+ Axle Single Unit	1.2229	2.2465
8	3,4 Axle Sing. Trail	1.2778	0.7335
9	5 Axle Sing. Trailer	1.4631	2.1369
10	6+ Axle Single Trail	1.2067	1.6787
11	4,5 Axle Multi Trail	1.8873	1.7758
12	6 Axle Multi Trailer	1.2705	0.9191
13	7+ Axle Multi Trail	2.1371	4.745

Appendix B. Arizona Department of Transportation HPMA Training Manual

.

ADOT Training Agenda

## ADOT Training Agenda

Time:	Content:	
DAY 1	AUDIENCE: MAIN	TENANCE
8:30 - 9:00	Introductions & Housekeeping	
9:00 - 9:30	Revisit ADOT's Maintenance Staff Needs	
9:30 - 10:00	HPMA Overview	Presentation
10:00 - 10:15	BREAK	
10:15 - 11:00	Highway Database	Presentation
	Highway Definitions	
	Pavement Structure	
	• Traffic	
	Performance	
	Other Attributes	
11:00 - 12:00	Highway Database Reporting: Case Studies	Hands-on
12:00 - 1:00	LUNCH	
1:00 - 3:00	Highway Database Reporting: Case Studies (cont'd)	Hands-on
	Section Data View	
	Highway Database vs. Section Data View	Presentation
	Section View Creation	
	- Parameter Selection & Data Aggregation Method	
	- Manual Sectioning & Section Modification	
3:00 - 3:15	BREAK	
3:15 - 5:30	Section Data View Reporting	Hands-on
	Section Data Browse	
	Section List Reports	
	Section Performance	
	Network Graphic Reports	

# ADOT Training Agenda (continued)

Time:	Content:	
DAY 2	AUDIENCE: MA	INTENANCE
8:30 - 10:15	Section Data View Reporting (cont'd)	Hands-on
	Map Display	
	Creating Subsets	
10:15 - 10:30	BREAK	
10:30 - 12:00	Corrective Maintenance Analysis & Reporting (Case Studies)	Hands-on
12:00 - 1:00	LUNCH	
1:00 - 3:00	Analysis Overview	Presentation
	M & R Analysis	
	Network Optimization	
	Reporting	
3:00 - 3:15	BREAK	
3:15 - 4:30	Open Discussion	

Highway Data Reporting

## **Highway Data Reporting**

#### **Question 1**

You are requested to extract and output, to an excel file, the Highway definitions for the entire network.

Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ta to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
Single Highway   Network Subset     Route:   Route Number:   O   Route Aux ID:   Main Line   Direction:   Interchange #:   Interchange #:   From Distance:   0.000   To Distance:   0.000   County:   Seq:   0	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks Administrat Jurisdiction Environme Geometric Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughnes: Distress (D Deflection Project Se Project Se Project Lag Project Lag Project Se Corge Cast Mages GPS Coorg	wse: efinitions / Events ive s nt ory s / Rut olumn view} aumn view} gments tails eres ivity By Type finates s s		

✓ In order to view Highway definition for the entire network click on the Browse
 Browse...
 button then click "Yes " on the message listed below:



✓ The following screen will be displayed:

2	Hig	hway	Defir	niti	on												j	<u>_     ×</u>
	≡0		n	€	5 D.			<b>M</b> 😭	6	8								4-1-1-1
	Rou	ite of Si	urvev	-									C	)verl	ao On			
Π	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Seq	From	To	From Desc	To Desc	Hwy ID	ΟV	RT	# 4	Aux D	ir Int#	Rn ▲
	ŀ	8		E	0		0	0.000	178.330	EB CA Border	EB at Casa Grande	1008	N	1.	8	E	+	0
	ŀ	8		W	0		0	0.000	178.330	WB CA Border	WB at Casa Grande	1008	N	۱.	8	V	/	0
	ŀ-	10		Е	0		0	0.000	391.230	EB CA Border	EB NM Border	1010	N	ŀ	10	E		0
	ŀ	10		W	0		0	0.000	391.230	WB CA Border	WB NM Border	1010	N	ŀ	10	V	/	0
	I-	15		Ν	0		0	0.000	29.400	EB NV Border	EB UT Border	1015	N	-	15	N	1	0
	ŀ	15		S	0		0	0.000	29.400	WB NV Border	WB UT Border	1015	N	ŀ	15	S		0
	ŀ	17		Ν	0		0	193.890	340.050	I-10 NB in Phoenix	NB in Flagstaff	1017	N	ŀ	17	N		0
	I-	17		S	0		0	193.890	340.050	I-10 SB in Phoenix	SB in Flagstaff	1017	N	ŀ	17	S		0
	I-	19		Ν	0		0	0.000	63.090	I-19 NB in Nogales	I-10 NB in Tucson	1019	N	ŀ	19	N	1	0
	I-	19		S	0		0	0.000	63.090	I-19 SB in Nogales	I-10 SB in Tucson	I 019	N	ŀ	19	S		0
	ŀ	40		Е	0		0	0.000	359.630	EB CA Border	EB NM Border	1040	N	ŀ	40	E		0
	ŀ	40		×	0		0	0.000	359.630	WB CA Border	WB NM Border	1 040	N	ŀ	40	V	/	0
	US	60		Е	0		0	31.260	160.410	I-10 W of Hope	EB at Thomas Rd	U 060	N	US	60	E		0
	US	60		Е	0		0	171.460	401.970	EB in Tempe	NM Border	U 060	N	US	60	E		0
	US	60		₹	0		0	112.840	123.940	begin DH		U 060	N	US	60	V	/	0
	US	60		₹	0		0	138.870	160.410		WB at Thomas Rd	U 060	N	US	60	V	/	0
	US	60		×	0		0	171.910	212.670	WB in Tempe		U 060	N	US	60	V	/	0
	US	60		W	0		0	244.650	246.890			U 060	N	US	60	V	/	0
	usl	60		W	, 0		0	247.020	247.210			U 060	N	US	60	١v	/	<u>o</u> z
	<u> </u> _	_	_	_														
Т	otal	Record	ls: 254	4													OK	

- You can print the network highway definition using the print button, you can locate a specific highway using the Find button or export the data to an excel file the export to file button.
- ✓ The following screen capture shows the data exported to an excel file and the location where the file is saved:

2	🖌 Hig	jhway	Defir	iitio	on											_	
	≡⁄/		Ю	é	5 <b>a</b>			<b>M</b> 🖻	<b>8</b> 8	9						4	-1-1-
	Rou	ite of Si	irvey										Overla	ap On			
	RT	#	Aux	Dir		Rmp	Seq	From		From Desc	To Desc	Hwy ID	OV RT	#	Aux Di	Int#	Rn 📥
	Ŀ	8		E	0		0	0.000	178.330	EB CA Border	EB at Casa Grande	1 008	N I-	8	E	0	
	ŀ	8		W	0		0	0.000	178.330	WB CA Border	WB at Casa Grande	1 008	N I-	8	W	0	
	ŀ	10		E	0		0	0.000	391.230	EB CA Border	EB NM Border	1010	N I-	10	E	0	_
	1.	10		W	0		0	0.000	391.230	WB CA Border	WB NM Border	1010	N I-	10	W	0	_
	·	15		Ν	0		0	0.000	20.400	ED KN/ Davidas	ICD UT D	1.015		15	N	0	_
	-	15		S	0		2	Jutput Fi	e Name					15	S	0	
	Ť	17		Ν	0			0.1.1.51				04	_ 1 []	17	N	0	
	Ť	17		S	0			Output File	0					17	S	0	
	Ť	19		Ν	0			C:\HPM/	A_AZ\001	PUT\output		Can	cel	19	N	0	
	÷	19		S	0									19	S	0	
	÷	40		Е	0						Output Type: .XLS		1	40	E	0	
	ŀ	40		W	0								1	40	W	0	
	US	60		E	0								1	60	E	0	
	US	60		E	0		ש	171.460	401.970	EB in Tempe	NM Border	0.020	- NIOS	60	E	0	
	US	60		W	0		0	112.840	123.940	begin DH		U 060	N US	60	W	0	
	US	60		W	0		0	138.870	160.410		WB at Thomas Rd	U 060	N US	60	W	0	
	US	60		W	0		0	171.910	212.670	WB in Tempe		U 060	N US	60	W	0	
	US	60		W	0		0	244.650	246.890			U 060	N US	60	W	0	
	US	60		W	0		0	247.020	247.210			U 060	N US	60	W	0	
	lus. ▲	60		w	n I	I	l n	249,990	250.050			1 11 060	NUS	60	lw	l nl	 -
	Total	Record	s: 254	1												ОК	

 $\checkmark$  When selecting the export button to output to an excel file an Output file name

window will be displayed. Using the file browse button you can select the location where the file can be saved. For this example the file was saved as "Arizona\_DOT\_Highway\_Definition" under C:\HPMA\_AZ\OUTPUT folder as shown below and has the format displayed in the screen capture at the bottom of this page.

Save As		? ×
Save in:	🔁 OUTPUT 💌 🗲 🖻 📩	
History History Desktop My Documents	戦 roughness_loading_check.xls 動 traffic.xls 戦 work program by year.xls	
My Computer	File:     ARIZONA_DOT_HIGHWAY_DEFINITION       Save as type:     XLS	Save Cancel Code Page

🔀 Micro	soft Excel	- Arizona_I	)OT_Highwa	ay_Defin	ition.xls										_	8
🖲 Eile	Edit View	Insert For	mat <u>T</u> ools ļ	<u>D</u> ata <u>W</u> ii	ndow <u>H</u> elp										_	8
0 🗳		a 🖪 💞	X 🗈 🕻	8 🝼	к) т Си т	🚷 Σ 🖍		100	)% 🔹 🕐	•						
Arial		- <u> </u>	BZ	υ   <u>≡</u>	= = =	\$ %	*.0 .00		· • & • .	A						
A	1 🔻	-	rh routcod													
	A	в	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М	N	0	-
1 rh	routcodirh	countyprh	cntysgrin	routypp	rh rounum i	h rouauxr	rh directp	rh intchgp	rh rampid	rh begmilp	rh endmilp	rh overlap	rh county	rh cntysg	rh routtyp	rh 🕯
2	1	0	Ö ŀ		8		E	Ö		0	178.33	0	0	Ó	ŀ	
3	1	0	0  -		8		W	0		0	178.33	0	0	0	ŀ	
4	1	0	0  -		10		E	0		0	391.23	0	0	0	ŀ	
5	1	0	0  -		10		W	0		0	391.23	0	0	0	ŀ	
6	1	0	0  -		15		N	0		0	29.4	0	0	0	ŀ	
7	1	0	0  -		15		S	0		0	29.4	0	0	0	ŀ	
8	1	0	0  -		17		N	0		193.89	340.05	0	0	0	ŀ	
9	1	0	0  -		17		S	0		193.89	340.05	0	0	0	-	
10	1	0	0  -		19		N	0		0	63.09	0	0	0	ŀ	
11	1	0	0  -		19		S	0		0	63.09	0	0	0	ŀ	
12	1	0	0  -		40		E	0		0	359.63	0	0	0	ŀ	
13	1	0	0  -		40		W	0		0	359.63	0	0	0	ŀ	
14	2	0	0.03	5	60		Е	0		31.26	160.41	0	0	0	US	
15	2	0	0.03	5	60		E	0		171.46	401.97	0	0	0	US	
16	2	0	0.03	5	60		W	0		112.84	123.94	0	0	0	US	
17	2	0	0.03	5	60		W	0		138.87	160.41	0	0	0	US	
18	2	0	0.03	3	60		W	0		171.91	212.67	0	0	0	US	
19	2	0	0.03	3	60		W	0		244.65	246.89	0	0	0	US	
20	2	0	0 US	3	60		W	0		247.02	247.21	0	0	0	US	
21	2	0	0.03	3	60		W	0		249.99	250.05	0	0	0	US	
22	2	0	0 US	3	60		W	0		252.11	252.34	0	0	0	US	
23	2	0	0 US	3	60 )	<	E	0		160.41	161.98	0	0	0	US	
24	2	0	0.03	3	60 )	<	W	0		160.41	161	0	0	0	US	
25	2	0	1 US	3	60 )	<	E	0		189	194.01	0	0	1	US	
26	2	0	1 US	3	60 )	<	W	0		188.99	194.01	0	0	1	US	
27	2	0	0 US	3	64		E	0		465.4	469.57	0	0	0	US	
28	2	0	0.08	3	70		E	0		252.14	385.25	0	0	0	US	
29	2	0	0.03	З	70		W	0		252.14	252.18	0	0	0	US	
30	2	0	0.03	З	70		W	0		330.71	330.86	0	0	0	US	
31	2	0	0.03	З	70		W	0		340.11	340.12	0	0	0	US	
32	2	0	0.03	З	89		N	0		418.37	556.99	0	0	0	US	
33	2	0	0 US	3	89		S	0		418.37	420	0	0	0	US	
34	, 2	0	0 US	3 _	89		S	0		428.13	442		0	0	US	, L
4 4 1	▶ \arizon	a_dot_high	way_definit	ion /												
Ready														NUM		

#### Question 2 You are requested to extract and print the Highway definition for I-10. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



 $\checkmark$  The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
O Single Highway         Image: Single Network Subset         Image: Network Subset	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks Administrat Jurisdictior Erwironme Geometric Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughnes: Distress Distress (C Deflection Friction Project Se; Project De Project Lay Project Lay GPS Coorc	wse: efinitions / Events ive is nt ory s / Rut olumn view) gments tails vers vers ivity By Type dinates		
Year:         2005         Lane:	Programme	s ed Work		<b>v</b>

- ✓ In order to view Highway definition for I-10, you must first click on the Route check box to select it then choose "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then specify "10".
- Next, in order to specify that you wish to view Highway definition, you must click on Highway Definitions under the Data to Browse field at the top right hand corner of the form.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot View Summary
<ul> <li>C Single Highway</li> <li>✓ Network Subset</li> </ul>	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions
Route:     I.     Interstate     Route Number:     10	Administrative Jurisdictions Environment
Route Aux ID: Main Line	Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Rouchness / But
Interchange #: Ramp ID:	Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection
From Distance: 0.000     To Distance: 0.000	Project Segments Project Details Project Layers
County:	Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work
Tyear: 2005 Lane:	

✓ In order to actually view the Highway Definitions, simply click the Browse button.

Browse...

 $\checkmark$  The following screen will show up:

2	🔏 Hi	jhway	Defir	niti	on													_		×
	≡⁄⁄		ю	é	3 D	. 🙃		<b>M</b> 🗊		?									4-1-	1-1
	Ro	ite of S	urvey										(	Dverl	ap On					
	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Seq	From	То	From Desc	To Desc	Hwy ID	O٧	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Bn_	-
	▶ I+	10		Ε	0		0	0.000	391.230	EB CA Border	EB NM Border	I 010	N	ŀ	10		Ε	0		
	ŀ	10		W	0		0	0.000	391.230	WB CA Border	WB NM Border	1 010	N	l-	10		W	0		
F																				
┢	-			-																
$\mathbf{F}$	-			-									-				$\square$		-	
ŀ	+			⊢									-				$\vdash$		-	
ŀ	+			-													$\square$			
h	+			t																
┝	-			-																
$\left  \right $	-			-									-				$\square$			
ŀ	+			⊢									-	-			$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
ŀ	+			$\vdash$													$\square$			
h																	Π			
					1,	1											Π			-
-	-	_	_	_												_				
	Total	Record	ls: 2															ОК		

- $\checkmark$  Simply click on the print 🖾 button to print the I-10 highway definition.
- ✓ Note: Many users prefer to click the print preview button to first view the report. The print button is available on the report preview screen.

#### **Question 3**

You are requested to extract all Interstate Routes that have more then 3 lanes. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot	View Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: Interstate Route Number: 0 Route Aux ID: Y Main Line Direction: Y Interchange II: 0 Ramp ID: Y From Distance: 0000 T to Distance: 0000	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events Administrative Juristicitons Environment Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Roughness / Rut Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection Friction Project Details Project Layers Project Layers Project Layers	
County: Seq	Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work	

- ✓ In order to view all Interstates Routes that have more then 3 lanes you must first click on Geometric table under "Data to Browse" tab on the right hand of the form, click on Route check box to select "I– Interstate" from the pick list, then Browse Browse... as indicated in next figure:
- ✓ <u>Note:</u> Needed Pick list from Data to view window is only enabled if the appropriate check box is checked on.

ata to Vie <del>w</del> :	Browse Log Plot View Sur	nmary
C Single Highway Network Subset	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events Administrative	4
Route Number:     0       Route Aux ID:     ✓       Direction:     ✓       Interchange #:     0       Ramp ID:     ✓	Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Roughness / Rut Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection	
From Distance: 0.000     To Distance: 0.000     County:	Project Segments Project Details Project Layers Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates	
☐ Seq: 0 ☐ Year: 2001 ☐ Lane:	Documents Programmed Work	T

 ✓ Geometric Data Filter Options window will open and the requested filter condition is filled in as indicated in Screen 4-1-1-6-f.

Data Filter O	lptions:			
Pave. Type	• 🗖 >=	<b>~</b>	BIT	
Width		*	0.0	
Longe				

- <u>Note:</u> Lanes check box needs to be checked on in order to enable both pick list, where the relational operators (">","<") are selected and, the box where filter values are defined.
- ✓ Click on Lanes Check box, select ">" relational operator from the drop down list, click on the next box and type in 3.
- ✓ Confirm □K the settings from Geometric Data Filter Options window (Screen 4-1-1-6-f) and the following screen will display Interstate Routes that have more then 3 lanes.

									Dee			N			ľ			
4	- W	metric	Data						BLO	ws	ρι	LogiE	lot. I	) (io	ω Ι	e,	- 10	
C	9	× =/		€	5 Q	<b>Ð</b>	K	• M	8			Sec	t. by Lar	ne			4-1	-1-6
	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Seq	From	То	Div	Pavemt	Width	R.O.W.	Median	M.Wid	Lns	L1	L 📤
	I-	10		Е	0		0	136.770	138.659	Y		48.0	0.0		0.0	4		7
	-	10		Е	0		0	138.659	138.923	Υ		57.0	0.0		0.0	4		
	ŀ	10		Е	0		0	138.923	140.181	Y		57.0	0.0		0.0	5		
	-	10		Е	0		0	140.181	142.900	Y		57.0	0.0		0.0	5		
	-	10		Е	0		0	142.900	143.359	Y		57.0	0.0		0.0	5		
	-	10		E	0		0	143.359	143.631	Y		57.0	0.0		0.0	5		_
	-	10		E	0		0	143.631	144.668	Y		57.0	0.0		0.0	5		_
	-	10		E	0		0	144.668	145.691	Y		60.0	0.0		0.0	5		_
	-	10		E	0		0	145.691	146.781	Y		60.0	0.0		0.0	5		_
	-	10		E	0		0	146.781	147.797	Y		48.0	0.0		0.0	4		_
	-	10		E	0		0	147.797	149.982	Y		48.0	0.0		0.0	4		_
$\square$	-	10		E	0		0	149.982	151.679	Y		72.0	0.0		0.0	6		_
$\square$	-	10		E	0		0	151.679	152.613	<u>Y</u>		60.0	0.0		0.0	5	_	_
	I-	10		E	0		0	152.613	154.118	ΙY.		60.0	0.0		0.0	5		
1	L																<u> </u>	<u>۱</u>
То	ital F	Records:	83													ΟK		

#### Question 4A

You are requested to extract and print the most recent traffic data (year 1999) for Highway I-10, between milepost 0 and milepost 5. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

C Single Highway ● Network Subset	Data to Browse:	
	Highway Definitions	-
Route:     v     SR Ramp       Route Number:     0       Route Aux ID:     v       Direction:     v       Interchange #:     0       Ramp ID:     v	Landmarks / Events Administrative Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders <b>Traffic History</b> Roughness / Rut Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection	
From Distance: 0.000 To Distance: 0.000 County: Seq: 0	Protect Segments Project Details Project Details Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work	

- ✓ In order to view Traffic History for Highway I-10, you must first click on the Traffic History under the "Data to Browse" field at the top right hand corner of the form, then click on Route check box to select "I Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then type "10".
- ✓ Next, since you wish to view Traffic History for only a certain segment of the Highway, for example between milepost 0 to 5, you must click on "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes and fill in the milepost information from 0 to 5.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ata to View:			Browse	Log Plat	View	Summary
C Single Highway Retwork Subse Route Number Route Number Route Aux ID: Direction: Interchange #	I. • 10 • • •	Interstate Main Line	Diata to Broo Highway De Landmarka Administrati Jurisdictions Environmen Geometric Shoulders Traffic High Roughness Distress Distress	eta: efinitions / Events we a d d / But		*
From Distances	0.000		Deflection Friction Project Seg Project Det Project Laye	ments als ers		
F County:	0		Project Acti Inages GPS Coord Documents Programme	inity By Type inates d'Work		

✓ Click the Browse Browse... button in order to see traffic information and click OK when window 4-1-1-9-f is displayed in order to visualize traffic data for Highway I-10.

ata to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway • Network Subse	t.		Data to Bro Highway D	iwse: Iefinitions	Ser	A
Route:	- 💌	Interstate	Administrat	tive		
Route Number:	10	🌠 Traffic Data Filter 🛙	ptions	×		
Route Aux ID:	-			4-1-1-9-f		
Direction:	7	Data Filter Options:				
T Interchange #:	0	AADT 🗖	7	0		
Ramp ID:	-	Percent Trucks	×	0.0		
From Distance:	0.000			0		
🔽 To Distance:	5.000		OK	Cancel		
County:	*		Images			
☐ Seq:	0		GPS Coord Documents Programme	dinates s ed Work		
T Year:	2005	Lane:				-

2	ra	ific Data	1														
Ľ	9	×≡ℓ		5	8	B.	Ð		#	?							4-1-1
F	RT	#	Aux	Dir Int#	ŧ.	Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	То	Year	AADT	Trk %	Gr.%	DirFac	ESALa	EC
•	•	10	Ŭ.	E	0			0	0.000	0.700	1999	19717	35.5	0.0	0.50	443904	С
1	•	10	U I	E	0			0	0.700	5.840	1999	17915	35.5	0.0	0.50	443904	С
1	•	10		W	0			0	0.000	0.700	1999	19717	35.5	0.0	0.50	443904	С
1	•	10		W	0			0	0.700	5.840	1999	17915	35.5	0.0	0.50	443904	С
L		lagorda: J	4							Vehi	de Da	ta				пк	

- ✓ You can print the Traffic Data using button, you can locate a specific highway using Find button or export the data to an excel file using button.
- ✓ The following screen capture shows the printout for Highway I-10 E traffic data, MP 0-5.

	A	DOLI	°M 5						H	ighway	Traffi	c Dat	a						2	005/10/11
Highwa RT	ay	Aux	Dir	Int#	Ram	Lane	From	To	Cnty s	 Seq Year	Da	te	AADT	Traffic ( Growth	)irection Factor	Percent Trucks	Annual ESAL	Con ES/	st. L	Rehab. ESAL
						1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Traffle C 9	lass 10	11	12 1	3	14	15
-	10		Е				0.000	0.700	1	1999		-77	1971/	7 0.0	0.50	35.5	443904		٥	۵
						43	2631	1130	14	359	43		448	3 1047	16	86	26	1		
-	10		Е				0.700	5.840	)	1999		-17	17918	i 0.0	0.50	35.5	443904		0	0

#### Question 4B

You are requested to extract and print the traffic history for all Interstate routes where at least 20 % of the trucks have an Annual Average Daily Traffic greater then 50,000. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



 $\checkmark$  The following form will be displayed:

ata to fich.	DIOMOC	Log Plot	View	Summary
Single Highway   Network Subset     Route:   Route Number:   Route Aux ID:   Main Line   Direction:   Interchange #:   Ramp ID:   From Distance:   0000   To Distance:   0000   County:   Seq:	Data to Browse: Highway Definiti Landmarks / Ev Administrative Environment Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Roughness / Ru Distress Distress (Column Deflection Friction Project Details Project Layers Project Layers Project Layers Project Layers Project Activity E Images GPS Coordinate Documents Programmed Wo	ions ents ut ts ts s y Type s ark		

- ✓ In order to view Traffic History for all Interstates routes, you must first click on the Traffic History under the "Data to Browse" window on the right hand of the form, then click on Route check box to select "I Interstate" for Interstate, from the pick list, as shown below (Screen 4-1.)
- <u>Note:</u> Needed Pick list from Data to view window is only enabled if the appropriate check box is checked.

ata to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway  Network Subset  Route:  Boute Number:  Route Aux ID:  Main Line  Direction:  From Distance:  0000  County:  Seq:  Lane:  Lane:	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks Administrat Jurisdiction Environme Geometric Sheeddoor Trarine Hist Bouchness Distress (C Deflection Friction Project Se; Project De Project Acl Images GPS Cooro Document Programme	wse: lefinitions / Events ive ss nt our system our our our system our our our our our our our our		

- ✓ Click the Browse Browse... button in order to see requested traffic information and fill in AADT and % Truck values when Traffic data Filter window 4-1-1-9-f is displayed. (Screen 4-1-1-9-f)
- ✓ <u>Note:</u> AADT and Percent Truck check box need to be checked on in order to enable both pick list, where the relational operator (">","<") is selected and, the box where filter value is defined.

ata to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway C Network Subset	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks	wse: efinitions / E vents		
Houte:     I     Interstate     Route Number:     MTraffic Data     Route Aux ID:     Direction:	Filter Options	4-1-1-9-f		
Interchange #: 0 Persent Tru Ramp ID: • AAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	ADT V > V 50 cks V >= V 20 SAL V			
To Distance: 0.000	OK	Cancel		
County:	Images GPS Coord Documents Programme	in <del>g by type</del> linates s d Work		
TYear:				*
✓ The following screen will be displayed after confirming \_\_\_\_\_the filter options shown above.

	_	-											_				1	1				
2	Tra	ific D	ata																		_ [	긔 ×
		×	≡∕/		1	0	8	Q	<b>-</b>		<b>M</b> 🖆	1 ?									4-1	-1-9
	RT	#		Aux	Dir	Int#		Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	To	Year	AADT	Trk %	Gr.%	DirFac	ESALa	ЕC	ESALr	ES	A 🔺
•	I-		10		Е		0			0	129.700	131.680	1999	59685	29.8	0.0	0.50	27680442	С	(	1	0
	ŀ		10		E		0			0	131.680	133.680	1999	61697	29.8	0.0	0.50	27680442	С	1	I	0
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	133.680	134.670	1999	64666	29.8	0.0	0.50	27680442	С	(	I	0
	-		10		Е		0			0	134.670	135.660	1999	88138	29.8	0.0	0.50	27680442	С	(	I	0
Г	-		10		Е		0			0	157.740	158.690	1999	137627	22.4	0.0	0.50	814219	С	(	I	0
Г	-		10		Е		0			0	158.690	159.700	1999	113295	22.4	0.0	0.50	814219	С	(	I	0-
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	159.700	160.890	1999	102890	22.4	0.0	0.50	814219	С	(	I	0
	ŀ		10		E		0			0	160.890	162.380	1999	65553	22.4	0.0	0.50	814219	С	(	I	Ō
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	162.380	164.500	1999	55637	22.4	0.0	0.50	814219	С	(	I	0
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	246.730	248.720	1999	68527	38.1	0.0	0.50	1503089	С	(	I	0
	ŀ		10		E		0			0	248.720	250.040	1999	73886	38.1	0.0	0.50	1503089	С	(	I	Ō
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	250.040	251.180	1999	101929	38.1	0.0	0.50	1503089	С	(	I	Ō
	ŀ		10		E		0			0	251.180	252.430	1999	91956	38.1	0.0	0.50	1503089	С	(	I	0
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	252.430	254.300	1999	106993	38.1	0.0	0.50	1503089	С	(	I	0
	ŀ		10		E		0			0	254.300	255.260	1999	122449	38.1	0.0	0.50	1503089	С	(		0
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	255.260	256.180	1999	132704	24.2	0.0	0.50	994791	С	(	I	0
	ŀ		10		Е		0			0	256.180	257.280	1999	140000	24.2	0.0	0.50	994791	С	(	I	0
	lı ∢ [		101		le -	I	n		1	<u>م</u> ا	257 200	257 750	1 1 0 0 0	150001	24.2	0.0	0.50	004701	le l	· · ·		- -
To	otal F	Record	ds: 5	12									Ve	shicle Da	la					OK		
	_			_	-	_	-		-	_			_				-		_		-	_

✓ You can print the Traffic Data using button, you can locate a specific highway

using Find button or export the data to an excel file using button.

✓ The following screen capture shows the printout for Interstate Highways where AADT is greater then 50,000.

	А	DOT PM	6					F	lighway	Traffi	c Data	a						2	006/03/08
Highw RT	ay #	Aux Di	r Int#	Ram	Lane	e From	Τo	Cnty	Seq Year	Dat	te	AADT	Traffic I Growth	)irection Factor	Percent Trucks	Annual ESAL	Cons ESAI	t. L	Rehab. ESAL
						2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Traffic C 9	lass 10	11	12	13	14	15
From	Des	cription:	EB CA	Border				E	nd Descri	ption: E	вим	Border							
l-	10	) E				261.74	262.5	7	1999		11	64924	0.0	0.50	24.2	994791		0	0
					148	11906	5792	76	1068	380	4	692	2530	62	104	24	12		
-	10	) E				262.57	264.4	3	1999		11	57032	. 0.0	0.50	24.2	994791		0	0
					148	11906	5792	76	1068	380	4	692	2530	62	104	24	12		
From	Des	cription:	WB CA	Border				E	nd Descri	ption: V	VB NM	Border							
-	10	) (1)				129.70	131.6	8	1999		11	59685	0.0	0.50	29.8	2.E+7		0	0
					872	176163	70594	2162	3939	1596	56	7582	82942	715	6565	2317	308		
-	10	) (V				131.68	133.6	8	1999		11	61697	0.0	0.50	29.8	2.E+7		0	0
					872	176163	70594	2162	3939	1596	56	7582	82942	715	6565	2317	308		
-	10	) (1				133.68	134.6	7	1999		11	64666	0.0	0.50	29.8	2.E+7		0	0
					872	176163	70594	2162	3939	1596	56	7582	82942	715	6565	2317	308		
1-	10	) (V				134.67	135.6	6	1999		11	88138	0.0	0.50	29.8	2.E+7		0	0
					872	176163	70594	2162	3939	1596	56	7582	82942	715	6565	2317	308		
1-	10	) (V				157.74	158.6	9	1999		11	137627	0.0	0.50	22.4	814219		0	0

# **Question 5A**

You are requested to extract and print the performance data (for example distress data) for I-10 MP 0-6.

Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to Vie <del>w</del> :	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: SR Ramp Route Number: Route Aux ID: Main Line Direction: Interchange #: Ramp ID: SR Ramp Main Line SR Ramp SR SR S	Data to Brov Highway Dr Landmarks Administrati Jurisdictions Environmer Geometric Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughness Distress (Co Deflection Friction Berient See	vse: efinitions / Events ve s s t t -/ Rut -/ Rut -/ Rut 		<u></u>
To Distance:         0.000           County:         Y           Seq:         0           Year:         2005	Project Det Project Lay Project Acti Images GPS Coord Documents Programme	ails ers ivity By Type inates d Work		Y

- ✓ In order to view Distress data for Highway I-10, you must first click on the Distress under the "Data to Browse" field at the top right hand corner of the form, then click on Route check box to select "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then type "10".
- ✓ Next, in order to specify that Distress data is needed for only a certain segment of the Highway, for example between milepost 0 and 6, you must click on "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes and fill in the milepost information from 0 to 6.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ita to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
○ Single Highway ● Network Subse	t		Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks	wse: efinitions		<b>A</b>
Route:	- <b>•</b>	Interstate	Administrati	ive		
Route Number:	10		Environmer	is nt		
Route Aux ID:	-	Main Line	Geometric			
Direction:	-	97-4 445-3	Traffic Hist Roughness	ory s / Rut		
Interchange #:	0		Distress Distress (C	olump view)		
Ramp ID:	-		Distress (C	olamin viewj		
From Distance:	0.000		Project Seg Project Del	gments taile		
✓ To Distance:	6.000		Project Lay	vers		
County:	<b>v</b>		Project Act Images	tivity By Type		
🗖 Seq:	0		GPS Coord Documents	dinates s		
Veer		E Lope.	Programme	ed Work		

✓ Click the Browse Browse... button and then click OK when window 4-1-1-13-f appears in order to visualize distress data for Highway I10.

🌠 Distress Data Filter	Options														x
															-1-1-13-6
Data Filter Options:												Г	Allow D	)ata Editing	
PDI 🗖	0.00														
Pavement Type 🗖	🔽 1 🔽 BIT														
Distress 🗖	Distress	Low	>	Low.Val.	Mod	>	Mod.Val.	High	>	Hi.Val	Total	>	Tot.Val.	Operator	
Between	▶ Cracking	Г	≻≕	0.00	Г	Ă	0.00	Г	>=	0.00	Γ	≻≕	0.00	OR	
Distress	Patching		≻≕	0.00	Γ	×=	0.00	Г	>=	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
Operator:	Flushing		≻≕	0.00		Ň	0.00	Γ	≻=	0.00		≻=	0.00	OR	
OR 🔻	Rutting		×	0.00		×	0.00	Γ	≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
	Raveling		≻≕	0.00		Ă	0.00	Γ	>=	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
	Load Rel Crk		≻≕	0.00		×	0.00	Γ	>=	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
	Adj. Lane Compar	Г	≻≕	0.00	Γ	×	0.00	Г	>=	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
	Spalling		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		>=	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
	Potholes	Г	≻≕	0.00	Γ	×	0.00	Γ	>=	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
															<b>T</b>
													OK	Ca	ncel

2	Dist	ress	Dat	ia														
C	1	×	1		€	3 C	à (	• C		2	#	R↓	?					4-1-1-13
5	Segr	nent:			_													
	RT	#	,	Aux	Dir	Int#		Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	То	Year	Pav.T.	PDI	Ovd	Date	
	ŀ		10		Е		0		2	0	0.000	1.000	2003	BIT	5.00	Г	2003/02/24	
	-		10		E		0		2	0	0.000	1.000	2002	BIT	5.00	Г	2002/02/20	
	1-		10		E		0		2	0	0.000	1.000	2001	BIT	5.00	Γ	2001/07/01	
	1-		10		Е		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	2003	BIT	4.66	Г	2003/02/24	
Þ	1-		10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	2002	BIT	4.66	Г	2002/02/20	
	1-		10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	2001	BIT	5.00		2001/07/01	
	1-	-	10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	2000	BIT	5.00		2000/07/01	
	ŀ		10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	1999	BIT	5.00		1999/07/01	
	1-		10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	1998	BIT	4.45	Г	1998/07/01	
	ŀ		10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	1997	BIT	4.45		1997/07/01	
	1.		10		E		0		2	0	1.000	2.000	1996	BIT	4.45		1996/07/01	
-	▲																	
1	Distr	esses:																
	1	Crak I	ach	Flsh	Ru	nt D R	lavl	Load L	ane	Spal	PotH							
	L:	1.0	0.0	) 4.	.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0							
	M:	0.0	0.0	0 0.	.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
	H:	0.0	0.0	0 0.	.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
Inc	dex	0.00	0.0	0.0.0	00 (	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00							
То	ital F	Record	ds: 2	46														ОК

✓ Form 4-1-1-13 shows distress data for Highway I-10

- Distress data can be printed using button, or can be exported to an excel file using button which can be saved to a location which it is the user's choice.
- ✓ The following screen capture shows the printout for Highway I-10 traffic data, MP 0-6.

```
👌 ADOT PMS
                                               2005/10/11
ã
                    Highway Distress Data
Highway _____
                                 Paus
RT # Aux Dir Int# Ramp Lane From To Cuty Seq Type Year Date
                                            OV PDI
Frem Description: WB CA Border
                    End Description: WB NN Border
 ⊢ 10 W 2 2,000 3,000
                                 БП 1958 1988/07/01 4.29
   Gent Pack The Red Revi Level Law Spal Politi
Low 30 4.0
Nod
High
⊢ 10 V¥
              2 2,000 3,000 BIT 1987 1987/07/01 4,14
Low 40 4.0
Nod
High
2 2.000 3.000
 F
   10 VY
                                 BIT 1986 1986/07/01
                                               5.00
        50
Low
Nod
High
F
   10 VV
                   2 2000 3.000
                                 BIT 1985 1985/07/01
                                               500
        50
Low
Nod
High
F
   10 W
                  2 2.000 3.000
                                 BIT 1964 1984/07/D1
                                               500
Low
        6.0
Nod
ligh
F
   10 W 2 2,000 3,000
                                 BIT 1963 1983/07/01
                                              600
   6.0 4.0
Low
Nod
High
Vec 8.51 HQ
                                            Page:
                                                  29
```

## Question 5b

You are requested to extract the distress data for all bituminous pavements on the US roads that have the PDI equal or less then the rehabilitation trigger value, low Crack Index is equal or less then 25%, and low flushing ratings less then 4. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
Single Highway   Network Subset   Route:   Yeat:   SR Ramp   Route Number:   Route Aux ID:   Main Line   Direction:   Interchange #:   Ramp ID:   Yeat:	Data to Bro Landmarks Administral Jurisdictior Environme Geometric Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughness Distress (D Deflection Friction Project Se Project De Project Se Project Ac Images GPS Coorr Document Programme	wse: Vefinitions / Events ive ss nt tory s / Rut olumn view) gments tails yers yers s s dinates s ed Work		4

✓ In order to view Distress data for US Highways, you must first click on the Distress under the "Data to Browse" tab on the right hand of the form, click on Route check box to

select "US – US Routes" from the pick list, then Browse as indicated in next figure:

 <u>Note:</u> Needed Pick list from Data to view window is only enabled if the appropriate check box is checked.

 ✓ Distress Data Filter Option window will open and all requested filter conditions are filled in as indicated in Screen 4-1-1-13-f.

2	Distress Data Filter	Options													4	<u>×</u> -1-1-13-
¢	Data Filter Options: PDI 🔽 Revenent Type 🔽	<= • 3.00 = • 1 • BHT	)										Γ	Allow E	)ata Editing	a
	Distress 🔽	Distress	Low	ľ	Low.Val.	Mod	>	Mod.Val.	High	>	Hi.Val	Total	>	Tot.Val.	Operator	
	Between	Cracking		<=	25.80		≻≕	0.00	Γ	<	0.00		>=	0.00	OR	$I \mid I$
	Distress	Patching		>=	0.00	Y	>=	0.00		>=	0.00		>=	0.00	OR	
	Operator:	▶ Flushing		<	4.00	一中	≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
	AND 🔽	Rutting		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00	Γ	≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	$\Box$
		Raveling		≻≕	9.00		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻=	0.00	OR	
		Load Rel Crk	F	, I	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
		Adj. Lane Compar		×	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00	OR	
		Spalling		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		×	0.00		×	0.00	OR	
		Potholes		≻≕	0.00		≻≕	0.00		≻=	0.00		>=	0.00	OR	T II
l																
														OK	Ca	incel

- ✓ <u>Note:</u> PDI, Pavement Type and Distress check boxes need to be checked on in order to enable both pick list, where the relational operator (">","<") is selected and, the box where filter value is defined.
- ✓ Click on PDI Check box, select "<=" relational operator from the drop down list, click on the next box and type the PDI value of 3.

- Click on Pavement Type Check box, select "=" relational operator from the first drop down list, and 1 for Bituminous pavements from the second pick list.
- ✓ Click on **Distress Check box**, select **AND** logical operator from Between distress Operator check box since both conditions need to be met (low Crack Index <= 25%, and Low Values of flushing < 4)</p>
- ✓ Select Cracking distress, click on the check box located under Low header, select "<="
  relational operator from the pick list and type in the Low value of 25 in the adjacent box.</p>
- ✓ Next, Select Flushing distress, click on the check box located under Low header, select "<" relational operator from the pick list and type in the Low value of 4 in the adjacent box.</p>

that have the PDI <=3, low Crack Index <= 25% and, Low Values of flus	hing < 4.
Distress Data	
	4-1-1-13

✓ Form 4-1-1-13 shows the filtered distress data of all bituminous pavements on the US roads

1)	< =/		8		Ð		Ю	<b>M</b> 🖆	R∔	?						4	-1-
Segm	ient:																
RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	o Lr	n Seq	From	То	Year	Pav.T.	PDI	Ovd	Date	Source		
US	60	)	Е	l	0	1	0	33.000	34.000	2002	BIT	2.94		2002/03/28			
US	60	)	Е	1	0	2	0	33.000	34.000	2001	BIT	2.94	Г	2001/07/01			
US	60	)	Е	1	0	1	0	34.000	35.000	2003	BIT	2.94		2003/03/04			
US	60	)	Е		0	1	0	34.000	35.000	2002	BIT	2.94		2002/03/28			
US	60	)	Е		0	2	0	34.000	35.000	2001	BIT	2.94		2001/07/01			
US	60	)	Е		0	2	0	34.000	35.000	2000	BIT	2.94		2000/07/01			
US	60	)	Е		0	2	0	34.000	35.000	1993	BIT	2.51		1993/07/01			
US	60	)	Е		0	2	0	35.000	36.000	1993	BIT	2.11		1993/07/01			
US	60	)	Е	1	0	2	0	39.000	40.000	1993	BIT	2.11		1993/07/01			
US	60	)	Е	1	0	2	0	63.000	64.000	1993	BIT	2.51		1993/07/01			
US	60	)	Е	1	0	2	0	64.000	65.000	1993	BIT	2.94		1993/07/01			
US	60	)	E	1	0	2	0	65.000	66.000	2000	BIT	2.11		2000/07/01			
US	60	)	E	1	0	2	0	65.000	66.000	1999	BIT	2.11		1999/07/01			
4		·					· ·					·					Þ
Dis	tresses:																
	Crak I	Pach I	Flsh	RutD F	Ravi L	.oad L	ane S	pal PotH									
L:	15.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	5.0 0.0									
M:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0									
H:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0									
Index	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00									
otal R	ecords:	1313														0	ĸ

✓ <u>Note</u>: For all roads PDI Rehabilitation trigger value was set at 3 as can be verified in Screen 3-2-trig. (Models/ Index Prediction Models/ Rehab trigger Values)

🜠 ADOT Pay	vernent Mana	igement Systen	n (	6.6	4) VFF	•										
File Tables	Models High	way Section Ar	naly	/sis	Feed	back Window Help										
	$\sim$		_	_												
💥 Index Pi	rediction Mod	lels														×
		8				Delete						Ш	Plot			3-2
PSR	• BIT: •	A11 💌	2	R	ehabil	itation Trigger Values						×1 todel C	oefficients		]⊡ s (	Sel. Dniv
Index	Pavement	Activity	Ī		l D				$\wedge$		3-2-tri	g eff.B	Poeff.C	Coeff.0	Sel.	
PSR	BIT	ACFC			Code	Functional Class		PSR	PDI V	SAI	PQI 🖄	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC		Þ	1	Ru.Pr.Art Interstate		3.5	3.0	0.1	3.5	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			2	Ru.Prin.Arterial - Other		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			6	Rural Minor Arterial		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			7	Rural Major Collector		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			8	Rural Minor Collector		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			9	Rural Local		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			11	Ur.Pr.Art Interstate		3.5	3.0	0.1	3.5	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			12	Urb. Prin.Art. O.Fwy/Exw		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			14	Ur.Prin.Arterial - Other		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			16	Urban Minor Arterial		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			17	Urban Collector		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC			19	Urban Local		3.2	34	0.1	3.2	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC							$\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}$			20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC	[								<b>T</b>	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC	[			1 1 A M 19						20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC		l	Re-La	iculate Need Years					Llose	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		
PSR	BIT	ACFC	Dr	Ι		TrafCls 2	SNCIs 3		SUBGCI	:3	15.808	20.11	5 1.094	4.200		-
Rep	olicate Models	Completenes	ss C	hec	:k	Models In Subset: 7506		Regr	ession: a:	•	0 b:	0 с:	0 0	. 0	Cle	ar
Perfor	mance Classes	. Treatment L	ife l	Limi	ts	Rehab Trigger Values	$\supset$								Close	

## Question 5C

You are requested to extract the 2003 roughness data for all State Routes where PSR equal or less then the rehabilitation trigger value. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset	0	Interstate Main Line	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks Administral Jurisdictior Environme Geometric	wse: efinitions > / Events ive is nt		*
Direction:	0		Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughnes Distress Distress (C Deflection	ory s / Rut olumn view)		
From Distance: To Distance:	0.000		Friction Project Se Project De Project Lay	gments tails vers tiutu Pu Tupo		
County:	0		Images GPS Coord Document Programme	dinates s ed Work		

✓ In order to view Roughness data for all State Routes, you must first click on the Roughness under the "Data to Browse" tab on the right hand of the form, click on Route check box to select "SR– State Route" from the pick list, click on the Year check box and type 2003, then Browse Browse... as indicated in next figure:

Note: Needed Dick list from Data to view window is only enabled if the an

 Note: Needed Pick list from Data to view window is only enabled if the appropriate check box is checked.



 Roughness Data Filter Option window will open and the requested filter conditions are filled in as indicated in Screen 4-1-1-12-f.

ata Filter Options:			
PSR 🔽	<=		3.30
IRI - Leit F			0.00
IRI - Right 🗖	1	-	0.00
Rut Depth - Left 🕅		-	0.00
Rut Depth - Right 🗖	1		0.00

- ✓ Note: PSR check box needs to be checked on in order to enable both pick list, where the relational operator (">","<") is selected and, the box where filter value is defined.</p>
- ✓ Click on **PSR Check box**, select "<=" relational operator from the drop down list, click on the next box and type in PSR value of 3.2.

For all roads PSR Rehabilitation trigger value was set at 3.2 as can be verified in **Screen 3-2-trig**. (Models/ Index Prediction Models/ Rehab trigger Values)

×=⁄	<b>0</b>	2		Celete						<u></u>	Plot		
PSR 💌	BIT: 🔻	A11 -	🔀 Reha	bilitation Trigger Values							pefficients		םן
ndex	Pavement	Activity		n		$\sim$			3-2-tri	9	Coeff.C	Coeff.0	Sel
PSR	BIT	ACFC	Code	Functional Class	X	PSR	PDI	SAL	PQI 🖆	20.115	1.094	4.200	
PSR	віт	ACFC		1 Ru.Pr.Art Interstate		3.5	3.0	0.1	3.5	20.115	1.094	4.200	
PSR	BIT	ACFC		2 Ru.Prin.Arterial - Other		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	
PSR	віт	ACFC		6 Rural Minor Arterial		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	
PSR	BIT	ACFC		7 Rural Major Collector		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	Ē
PSR	BIT	ACFC		8 Rural Minor Collector		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	Ē
PSR	BIT	ACFC		9 Rural Local		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	Ē
PSR	BIT	ACFC		11 Ur.Pr.Art Interstate		3.5	3.0	0.1	3.5	20.115	1.094	4.200	
PSR	BIT	ACFC		12 Urb. Prin.Art. O.Fwy/Exw		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	эП
PSR	BIT	ACFC		14 Ur.Prin.Arterial - Other		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	эП
PSR	BIT	ACFC		16 Urban Minor Arterial		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	jΠ
PSR	BIT	ACFC		17 Urban Collector		3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	л <u>П</u>
PSR	BIT	ACFC		19 Urban Local	N	3.2	3.0	0.1	3.2	20.115	1.094	4.200	١D.
PSR	BIT	ACFC				$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$				20.115	1.094	4.200	) L
PSR	віт	ACFC							-	20.115	1.094	4.200	۱D
PSR	віт	ACFC		Calculate Need Years					Close	20.115	1.094	4.200	
PSR	ВІТ	ACFC		Calculate Meeta Teals					CIUSE	20.115	1.094	4.200	<u>ا</u>
PSR	BIT	ACFC	Drl	TrafCls 2	SNCIs 3		SUBGCI	33	15.808	20.115	1.094	4.200	12
PSR PSR PSR PSR	BIT BIT BIT BIT	ACFC ACFC ACFC ACFC	Drl	Calculate Need Years	SNCIs 3		SUBGCI	s 3	Close	20.115 20.115 20.115 20.115 20.115	1.094 1.094 1.094 1.094	4.2 4.2 4.2 4.2	

✓ Confirm the settings from Roughness Data Filter Options window (Screen 4-1-1-12-f) and the following screen will display all roughness data that satisfy requested conditions.

1	Rou	ighness	Data	a																_	
	9 :	× =⁄		é	9 Q	🔁 🖻		n	#	RI	ш	¢۵	₽	Delete	. 9	?				4	-1-1-12
	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	То	Year	PSI	Ovd	IRI-L	IRI-R	Rut-L	Rut-R	Dual	Slope	Date	Sr
	SR	77		S	0		3	0	68.100	69.000	2003	2.99	Γ	156.00	115.00	0.00	1.20		0.0	2003/09/15	0
	SR	77		S	0		3	0	68.100	69.000	2003	2.99	L	156.00	115.00	0.00	0.12		0.0	2003/09/15	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	154.550	155.000	2003	2.18	L	200.00	238.00	0.00	2.80		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	154.550	155.000	2003	2.18	Γ	200.00	238.00	0.00	0.28		0.0	2003/10/20	0-
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	155.000	156.000	2003	1.93	Г	219.00	283.00	0.00	0.43		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	156.000	157.000	2003	1.89	Γ	235.00	276.00	0.00	0.23		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		E	0		1	0	157.000	158.000	2003	2.12	Γ	204.00	247.00	0.00	0.31		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	158.000	159.000	2003	3.03	Г	126.00	138.00	0.00	0.13		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	159.000	160.000	2003	3.16		105.00	137.00	0.00	0.11		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	160.000	161.000	2003	2.68	Г	159.00	169.00	0.00	0.18		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	161.000	162.000	2003	2.89		144.00	144.00	0.00	0.21		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		E	0		1	0	162.000	163.000	2003	2.94	Г	136.00	144.00	0.00	0.14		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	163.000	164.000	2003	2.86	Г	159.00	135.00	0.00	0.18		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	164.000	165.000	2003	2.96		146.00	130.00	0.00	0.15		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	165.000	166.000	2003	2.98	L	145.00	128.00	0.00	0.15		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SR	78		Е	0		1	0	167.000	168.000	2003	3.19	L	119.00	118.00	0.00	0.11		0.0	2003/10/20	0
	SB	78		F	ln		1	Ιn	171 000	172 000	2003	2 89		l132.00	156.00	1 0 00	l 0.15		l nn	2003/10/20	_in
L																					<u> </u>
Т	otal	Records	789																	01	<

# Question 6 You are requested to extract and print the friction data for highway I-8 eastbound direction.

- Answer:
  - ✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ita to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: Route: Route Number: C Main Line Direction: Route Aux ID: Interchange #: C Route Aux ID: Route ID	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks Administrat Jurisdiction Environme Geometric Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughnes: Distress Distress Distress (D Deflection	wse: efinitions / Events ive s nt oty s / Rut olumn view)		
From Distance:       0.000         T to Distance:       0.000         County:       Y         Seq:       0         T Year:       2005         Lane:	Project Se Project Lay Project Lay Project Acl Images GPS Coord Document: Programme	gments tails yers tivity By Type dinates s ed Work	Browse	¥

- ✓ In order to view Highway friction data for I-8, you must first click on the Route check box to select it then choose "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then specify "8".
- Next, in order view Highway friction data, you must click on Friction under the Data to Browse field at the top right hand corner of the form.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ata to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset     Network Subset     Network Subset     Neute Number:	Data to Brov Highway De Landmarks Administrati Jurisdictions Environmen Geometric Shoulders Traffic Histo Roughness Distress Distress Distress Distress Distress Deflection Friction	rse: /Events /e t t /But /But lumn view) ments		
To Distance:     0.000       County:     Y       Seq:     0       Year:     0	Project Deta Project Laye Project Acti Images GPS Coordi Documents Programmed	ails ars vity By Type nates I Work		Y

- ✓ In order to actually view the Friction data, simply click the Browse... button.
- ✓ The following screen will show up:

	× ≡⁄		é	3 Q	<b>D</b>		кJ	<b>#</b>	P 🤇	8									4-	
BT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Ln	Seq	Location	Year	Date	Friction	Low	High	S.D.	Dry	Flow	Speed	Test Len	Fric Type	Ŀ
1-	8		Ε	0		2	0	1.000	2003	2003/09/02	58.0	54.0	61.0	1.1	0.0	25	60	250	м	Г
l-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	2000	2000/07/01	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1998	1998/07/01	62.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1997	1997/07/01	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1996	1996/07/01	48.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
l-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1994	1994/07/01	62.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
l-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1993	1993/07/01	59.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1992	1992/07/01	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1990	1990/07/01	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1989	1989/07/01	59.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1987	1987/07/01	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1985	1985/07/01	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
۱-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1984	1984/07/01	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1983	1983/07/01	67.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1982	1982/07/01	73.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1981	1981/07/01	76.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1980	1980/07/01	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1979	1979/07/01	72.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
-	8		Е	0		2	0	1.000	1977	1977/07/01	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	м	
- •	8		E	0		2	0	1.000	1976	1976/07/01	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	М	
-			-															200		

You are requested to extract and print the latest Project Details (as-built data) for I-10 westbound between milepost 5 and 10.

- Answer:
  - ✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.

🌌 A	DOT Pa	vement	Managen	ient Sysl	tem (6.5)	1) ¥FP			
File	Tables	Models	Highway	Section	Analysis	Feedback	Window	Help	
			Highw	ay Data <u>V</u>	jew				
			<u>C</u> ondi	tion Summ	ary Report	:			
	2			$\sim$	~	1	_		

 $\checkmark$  The following form will be displayed.

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: SR Ramp Route Number: Route Aux ID: Main Line Direction:	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events Administrative Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Roughness / Rut	*
Interchange #: 0 Ramp ID: From Distance: 0.000 To Distance: 0.000	Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection Friction Project Segments Project Details Project Layers	
County: ▼ Seq: 0 Year: 2003 □ Lane:	Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work	Ŧ

- ✓ In order to view the as-built data of Highway I-10, you must first click on the Route check box to select it then choose "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you click on the Route Number check box and then specify "10", click on Direction and specify the highway segment length from 5 to 10 by filling in the milepost information in "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes.
- Next, in order to view project details, you must click on Project Details under the "Data to Browse" field at the top right hand corner of the form.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ta to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
○ Single Highway ● Network Subse	ı it		Data to Brow Highway D	wse: efinitions		*
Route:	- <b>•</b>	Interstate	Administrati	ve		
Route Number:	10		Environmer	s nt		
Route Aux ID:	-	Main Line	Geometric			
Direction:	-	- block	Traffic Histo	ory V Dut		
Interchange #:	0		Distress	i i i i		
Ramp ID:	-		Distress (Lo Deflection	olumn viewj		
✓ From Distance:	5.000		Friction Project Seg	gments		
✓ To Distance:	10.000		Project Det Project Lav	ails iers		
Countur		1	Project Acti	ivity By Type		
= county.			GPS Coord	inates		
Sed:	0		Documents Programme	d Work		
Year:	2005	Lane:				
	- 1				1	

✓ In order to actually view the Project Details, simply click the Browse Browse... button. The following figure will show up:

Construction	n History I	Projects													_ <u>8</u> ×
		Projec	ID: IM 10-1	I • 86		State #	ŧ: IM	10-	1-86						4-1-1-17
		Project										Begment			
Project	M 10- 1- 86	Note	Proj ID:	IM 10-	1-86	;			Cost:			Con	npleted: 199 Started: /	5/04/01 /	
Federal #			Contrac	:t #					Data So	ource:			Let: /	7	1
Project					Gegm	ient <b>≜</b> ↓	4								
Proj ID	Contract	State #	Federal #	Completed	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Seq	From	To	Year	
FI 10-1-54		FI 10-1-54		1979/12/0	1-	10		W	0		0	1.750	20.200	1979	
********	*********	******	*******	******	1-	10		W	0		0	1.750	20.200	1979	
FI 98 17		Fl 98 17		1950/06/0	1-	10		Е	0		0	9.000	11.000	1950	
FLH 1 3		FLH 1 3		1948/12/0	1-	10		Е	0		0	1.000	7.000	1948	
I 10-1-8		l 10-1-8		1964/01/0	1-	10		W	0		0	1.630	6.230	1964	
I 10-1-20		l 10-1-20		1964/01/0	1-	10		W	0		0	6.230	11.650	1964	
I 10- 1- 37		l 10-1-37		1965/07/0	1-	10		Е	0		0	1.630	17.030	1965	
I 10- 1- 54		l 10-1-54		1978/08/0	1.	10		Е	0		0	1.750	20.200	1978	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	1.	10		Е	0		0	1.750	20.200	1978	
I 10- 1-903		l 10- 1-903		1969/10/0	1.	10		Е	0		0	1.630	6.690	1969	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	1.	10		Е	0		0	9.980	20.200	1969	
******	********	*****	*******	*****	1-	10		W	0		0	1.630	6.230	1969	
******	*****	*****	*****	************	ł.	10		W	0		0	7.330	8.960	1969	
IM 10-1-86		IM 10-1-86		1995/04/0	1.	10		E	0		0	1.800	11.950	1995	
******	******	*****	*******	******	T.	10		E	0		0	1.800	11.950	1995	
*****	*****	****	*****	****	1-	10		W	0		0	2.000	11.950	1995	
•	******	*****	*******	******	1-	10		W	0		0	2.000	11.950	1995	
IR 10-1-62		IR 10-1-62		1984/01/0	1.	10		E	0		0	3.900	10.000	1984	
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	1-	10		W	0		0	1.670	10.000	1984	
NF 9856A		NF 9856A		1955/11/0	1.	10		E	0		0	7.000	11.000	1955	
															—
•															

✓ In order to see the segment details for example for the second segment for contract IM 10-1-86 completed in 1995/04/01 westbound, select the second segment and click on the "Segment" form on the right hand side to browse the project segment details. A sample segment detail is shown below.

2	Construc	tion His	tory Proj	jects											_ 8 ×
	<b>N</b>	<i>5</i> C	k ?	Proje	et ID: <mark>I</mark> M	10-1-	86	St	ate #: IM	10-1	I - 86	6			4-1-1-17
				Proje	et								Segm	ent	
	I- 0	=/ 10 0	0	<b>₩</b> :	2	M	Segment: From: 2 To: 11	4 o .000 .950	f 4						Plot
Γ	Layers / Ac	tions:	Year	: 199	5	Activi	ty: Mill/Rep	3-5''AC	+FR			Pave. Type:	BIT	Surf.Thick.:	0.0
	🗅 🗙	=/	Cost:		0	Modifi	er:					Activity SN:	1.65	Binder:	
ľ	Layer Ord	erAction	Location	Offset	Width	Туре	Material	Thick.	SN	Var		Total SN:	3.97	Content:	0.00
	•	1 Ovrl	NLN	12.00	12.00	Surf	FR	0.50	0.33			Subgrade:		Density:	0.000
		2 Ovrl	NLN	12.00	12.00	Surf	AC	3.00	1.32					Std Devr	0.00
-		3 Mill	NLN	12.00	12.00			3.50	0.00			Me	0.0	Aggrogato:	
ŀ												Pit.	0.0	Aggregate.	
ŀ											1	Mix Aggre	egates	Urain:	
											1	Design 1	raffic	Joint Sp:	0.0
ŀ															
ŀ											1				
											1				
											$\left  \right $				
ŀ											$\left  \right $				
											1				
											1				
ŀĿ											╶				
L						_									
															<u> </u>

 Switch back to the "Project" form, click on the print preview button as shown in figure and scroll using the next page button until the following print preview form will be displayed.



Summary Questions:

What is the activity performed on this highway?

What is the year of this rehabilitation?

What is the pavement type?

What is the length of this segment?

You are requested to extract and print the pavement structure for I-10 MP 0-5. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot Vi	iew Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: Route Number: Route Aux ID: Interchange #: Route Aux ID: From Distance: 0000 L Distan	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events Administrative Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Roughness / Rut Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection Friction Project Segments Project Details	
To Distance: 0000 County: ♥ Seq: 0 Year: 2005 Lane: ↓ Select I ♥ Clear	Project Layers Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work	V

- ✓ In order to view Pavement structure for Highway I-10, you must first click on the Project Layers under the "Data to Browse" field at the top right hand corner of the form, then click on Route check box to select "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then type "10".
- ✓ Next, since you wish to view Project layers only for a certain segment of the Highway in this case from milepost 0 to 5, you must click on "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes and fill in the milepost information from 0 to 5.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ata to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway			Data to Bro	wse:		
Route:	. <b>•</b>	Interstate	Landmarks Administrat	s / Events ive		
Route Number:	10		Jurisdiction Environme	ns nt		
Route Aux ID:	7	Main Line	Geometric Shoulders			
Direction:	*		Traffic Hist Boughpes	ory s / But		
Interchange #:	0		Distress	olumn view)		
Ramp ID:	-		Deflection			
From Distance:	0.000		Project Se	gments		
🔽 To Distance:	5.000		Project Lay	vers		
County:	-		Project Acl Images	tivity By Type		
🗖 Seq:	0		GPS Coord Documents	dinates s		
Year:	2005	Lane:	Programme	ed Work		
					1	

✓ Click the Browse Browse... button in order to see layers information.

2	Pro	ject Seg	men	t La	yer Da	ta													
é	3	Q 🖻		đ	Ma 💡	'												4-1	1-24
	RT	#	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	То	Year	Activity	Layer1	Layer2	Layer3	Layer4	Layer 5	Layer 6	
	·	10		E	0			0	0.000	17.000	1997	Patch							-
	-	10		E	0			0	0.000	0.500	1996	Patch							-
	I-	10		E	0		2	0	0.090	1.670	1984	RR4"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/2.00	/2.50				
	ŀ	10		E	0			0	0.090	0.840	1977	OC-BIT	FC/0.50	AC/3.50	AC/7.00	AB/4.00			
	ŀ	10		E	0			0	0.100	1.630	1969	4"AC+SC	SC/0.30						
	-	10		Е	0			0	0.300	0.400	2003	Patch							
	ŀ	10		Е	0			0	0.620	1.550	2001	SpotFlush							
	ŀ	10		Е	0			0	0.840	1.750	1977	4"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/5.80					
	۱-	10		Е	0			0	1.000	7.000	1948	OC-BIT	SC/0.30	BS/2.00	AB/3.00				
	-	10		Е	0			0	1.630	6.690	1969	3''AC							
	-	10		Е	0			0	1.630	17.030	1965	2"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/1.50					
	-	10		E	0		2	0	1.670	3.200	1984	RR4"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/3.00	/3.50				
	-	10		Е	0		1	0	1.750	20.200	1978	2"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/1.30					
	-	10		Е	0		2	0	1.750	20.200	1978	2"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/1.30	HS/1.00				
	-	10		E	0			0	1.800	3.200	1998	Patch							
	ŀ	10		E	0		1	0	1.800	11.950	1995	RR2"AC+FR	FR/0.50	/0.50					
	1-	10		E	0		2	0	1.800	11.950	1995	RR4"AC+FR	FR/0.50	AC/3.00	/3.50				
	l-	10		E	0			0	2.000	12.000	2003	Patch							
	ŀ	10		E	0			0	2.000	4.000	2000	SpotFlush							
	1-	10		E	0			0	3.000	3.200	2000	Patch							
	-	10		E	0		2	0	3.900	10.000	1984	RR4"AC+FC	FC/0.50	AC/3.00	/3.50				
	1.	10		E	0			0	4.000	8.000	2000	SpotFlush							<u> </u>
L	•	_	_	_	_	_	-	_											<u> </u>
Т	otal I	Records:	47															<u> </u>	

You are requested to plot the construction details data for highway I-10 eastbound, between milepost 20 and 30. Answer:

- $\checkmark$  Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.
  - Now you must choose the Highway Definition to view. It is more efficient to use the Select Highway button Select... to choose the appropriate Highway.
  - ✓ Navigate to I-10 then click on it to select it then press OK.
  - ✓ Or, to narrow the search, you could fill in the Route and Route Number fields on the
  - ✓ Highway Log Plot form and then press the Select Highway button.
  - ✓ Fill in the milepost information by filling in the "From" and "To" fields.
  - ✓ Select construction details from the Plot Type area of the form on the right.

ata to View:			Browse Log Plot View	Summary
<ul> <li>Single Highway</li> <li>Network Subse</li> </ul>	t		Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events	<u>~</u>
M Route:	I- 💌	Interstate	Jurisdictions	
Route Number:	10		Environment	
Route Aux ID:		Main Line	Geometric Shoulders	
🔽 Direction:	E 💌	ac Jourse	Traffic History Bourdbress / But	
Interchange #:	0		Distress Distress	
Ramp ID:	-		Deflection	
From Distance:	20.000		Project Segments	
To Distance:	30.000		Project Details Project Layers	
County:	-		Images	
🔽 Seq:	0		Documents	
□ Vear	2003	Lane:	Programmed Work	-

)ata to Vie <del>w</del> :			Browse Log Plot View Summary
<ul> <li>Single Highway</li> <li>Network Subse</li> <li>Route:</li> <li>Route Number:</li> <li>Route Aux ID:</li> <li>Direction:</li> <li>Interchange #;</li> <li>Ramp ID:</li> <li>From Distance:</li> <li>To Distance:</li> <li>County:</li> </ul>	I- ▼ 10 ▼ E ▼ 20.000 30.000	Interstate Main Line	Index Scale         ■ Both Directions         □ Distress         □ Rut Depth         ■ Rut Depth         ■ PDI         ■ POI         ■ Interval:         0.10         Mi.         ■ PSR         ● PSR         ● POI         ● POI </th
I Seq:		_	C Core / GPR / Layers
Vear:	2003	Lane:	Landmarks 🗹 Use Hwy ID in Hwy Desc.

 $\checkmark$  Click the Plot button 1 Plot to view the plot/graph shown below.





 Click on the graph and the following project layer information will be displayed depending on the layer of the selected section.

✓ The user has also the option to visualize the Transverse Cross section at a certain location. With the mouse select the Display Transverse Cross Section button under

location. With the mouse select the Display Transverse Cross Section button under the Click Mode on the right hand side of the above screen and click on the milepost you would like to see the transverse cross section.

✓ Figure 4-1-17-t will be displayed and if detailed Layer information is needed the user can simply click on the desired section and detailed layer information will be displayed as shown in Detailed Layer Info window.





You are requested to extract the highway data (for example all current data) plot for I-10E MP 0-5.

Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: SR Ramp Route Number: Route Aux ID: Main Line	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events Administrative Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders	<b>^</b>
	Roughness / Rut Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection Friction Project Segments Project Details Project Details	
County:	Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work	

- In order to plot Highway data for Highway I-10, you must first click on Route check box to select "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then type "10".
- ✓ Click on Direction check box to select "E" for East bound from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Direction check box.
- ✓ Next, in order to view Highway data for only a certain segment of the highway, for example between milepost 0 and 5, you must click on "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes and fill in the milepost information from 0 to 5.
- ✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

ata to View:			Browse Log Plot View Summary
C Single Highway C Network Subset		Interciate	Data to Browse: Histway Definition: Landmarks / Events Administrative
Route Number	10		Juriodictions Environment
Route Aux ID:		Main Line	Georetic
M Direction	E 🔻		Shouldets Traffic History Parahara (Put
M Interchange #	0	1.1	Distress
RanpID:			Defection
From Distance:	0.000		Project Segments
I To Distance:	5.000		Project Details Project Lajers
County:			Project Activity By Type Images
IÆ Seq	0		GPS Coordinates Documents
	2005	E level	Programmed Work

Click on Log Plot tab and click on "Current data" as shown in the figure below and click
 button to be able to plot current highway data highway data as shown in
 Figure 4-1-2-p.

lata to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
<ul> <li>Single Highway</li> <li>Network Subset</li> </ul>	t		C Index Sca	le	1.17	2005
Route:	I- 💌	Interstate		n Directions ress		2003 -
Route Number:	10	Main Line		Depth (		2002 ÷ 2001 ÷
Direction:	E 💌		E PQI	— Interval: 0.10	Mi. Г	Most Recent Lane ID
Ramp ID:	0		C Index Ran	nge R	Year From:	1996
From Distance:	0.000		C PDI C PDI	– Interval: 0.1	Mi.	2005 🖨
r County: I Seq:	0		Current Da Choject Se C Geometry C Constructi	ata agments ion Details	Plus Index Sc	ale
T Year:	2005	Lane:	C Core / GP	R/Layers marks ■	Z Lise Hwy ID in	Hwy Desc



You are requested to generate a plot of the network performance distribution in terms of PSR for the entire network using most recent data. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: SR Ramp Route Number: Route Aux ID: Main Line Direction: From Distance: 0000 T a Distance: T a Distance: 0000 T a Distance: T a D	Data to Bro Highway D Landmarks Administrat Jurisdiction Ervironme Geometric Shoulders Traffic Hist Roughness Distress Distress (C Deflection Project Se Project De	wse: efinitions s / Events ive is nt ory s / Rut olumn view) gments tails		<b>A</b>
County: Seq: Vear: County: Co	Project Lay Project Act Images GPS Coord Documents Programme	vers tivity By Type dinates s ed Work	Rowse	Y

✓ In order to plot network performance distribution select Summary tab and check PSR check box under "Items to plot" as shown in the figure below:

lata to View:	Browse Log Plot View Summary
C Single Highway ● Network Subset	Items to Plot
Route:     Interstate       Route Number:     0       Route Aux ID:     Main Line       Direction:     V	PDI SAI Gap Length: 0.500 Mi. PGI Interval: 1.000 Mi. Skip POI for Missing Index Values Plot Type:
Interchange #:	Index Distribution     Ranges / Categories      Options:     O Multi Index     O Multi Year     O Condition Categories
From Distance:     0.000     To Distance:     0.000	Yest: V 2005 + V Most Recent
County:	
Vear: 2005 Lape:	Year: From: 1995 🗧 To: 2005 🗧

 Select year 2005 and Most Recent check box we want to plot and make sure "Condition Categories " check box is selected and then Flot the graph as shown in figure 4-1-4-p.



 In order to be able to plot the graph as shown above click on "Ranges/ Categories" button frages / Categories and check if Condition Categories are set within the same limits as in Figure 3-1-cat.

				-	12 C		
Conditi	on Category		1	Num	eric Rang	es	
Categories:	4 🗧	Route:	1-	•			
Category		PSR	PDI	SAI	PQI		IBI
Poor	<=	2.00	2.00	2.00	20.00	>=	250.00
Fair	<=	3.00	3.00	3.00	50.00	>=	150.00
Good	<=	4.00	4.00	4.00	80.00	>=	100.00
Excellent	<=	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.00	>=	0.00
	<=					>=	
	<=					>=	
	<=					>=	
	<=					>=	
	<=					>=	
	<=					>=	
h						-	

✓ The above Condition Categories are user definable and can be modified through the Screen 3-1-cat.

You are requested to plot the PSR distribution for Highway I-10E between milepost 0 to15 using the 2001 performance data.

Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



✓ The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset Route: Route: Route Number: Route Aux ID: Main Line	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events Administrative Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders		*
Direction: Interchange #:  Ramp ID:  From Distance: 0.000  J. Distance: 0.000	Traffic History Roughness / Rut Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection Friction Project Segments Project Details		
County: Seq:	Project Layers Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work		

- ✓ In order to plot Highway data for Highway I-10, you must first click on Route check box to select "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then type "10".
- ✓ Click on Direction check box to select "E" for East bound from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Direction check box.
- ✓ Next, in order to view Highway data for only a certain segment of the highway, for example between milepost 0 and 5, you must click on "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes and fill in the milepost information from 0 to 5.
- ✓ Select Summary tab and check PSR check box under "Items to plot" as shown in the figure below:

View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
ngle Highway atwork Subse	t		Items to Plot:	<b>N</b> RI		
oute:	-	Interstate		— Gap Length:	0.500 Mi.	
oute Number:	10		🗆 🗆 PQI —	Interval:	1.000 Mi.	
oute Aux ID:	-	Main Line		🦾 🗌 Skip PQ	for Missing Inde	x Values
irection:	E 🔻		Plot Type:			
terchange #:	0		Index Dist	ribution Ranges	/ Categories	
amp ID:	•		Options:	Multi Index	Numeric R     Sendition (	anges Pategories
om Distance:	0.000			S Main Four		
Distance:	15.000		Year		Most Recer	đ
ounty:				2003		
eq:	0		C Performan	ce History		
ear:	0	Lane:	Year:	From: 1995	To: 200	5
	> View: ngle Highway etwork Subse oute: oute Number: oute Aux ID: irection: terchange #: amp ID: om Distance: o Distance: ounty: eq: amr	<ul> <li>&gt; View:</li> <li>ngle Highway etwork Subset</li> <li>oute Number.</li> <li>10</li> <li>oute Aux ID:</li> <li>✓</li> <li>irection:</li> <li>E</li> <li>terchange #:</li> <li>0</li> <li>amp ID:</li> <li>✓</li> <li>on Distance:</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>o Distance:</li> <li>15.000</li> <li>ounty:</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>	> View: ngle Highway etwork Subset oute: I. ▼ Interstate oute Number: 10 oute Aux ID: Wain Line irection: E ▼ terchange #: 0 om Distance: 15,000 ounty: eq: 0 Lane Lane	> View:       Browse         ngle Highway       Interstate         oute:       Image: I	b View:       Browse       Log Plot         ngle Highway       etwork Subset       Items to Plot:         oute:       I       Items to Plot:         oute Number:       10       SAI       Gap Length:         oute Aux ID:       Main Line       Skip Pol       Skip Pol         irection:       E       Intervat:       Skip Pol         terchange #:       0       Options:       C Multi Index         on Distance:       15.000       View:       Year       2001         outy:       >       0       2003       2003         outy:       >       >       1805       7	b View:       Browse       Log Plot       View         Index Line       Interstate       Interstate       Interstate       Intervat:       0.500       Mi.         Doute Number:       10       Intervat:       1.000       Mi.       POI       Skip POI for Missing Index         Doute Aux ID:       Main Line       Intervat:       1.000       Mi.         Irection:       E       Intervat:       0.000       Mi.         Image #:       0       Intervat:       0.000       Numeric R         On Distance:       15.000       Most Recer       2001       Most Recer         Durity:       Image       0       Image:       To:       2001         Stars       0       Image:       To:       2001



In order to be able to plot the graph as shown above click on "Ranges/ Categories" button
 Harges / Categories and check if range categories are set within the same limits as in Figure 3-1-cat.

🖬 🖻 🤶 I	Appl	y Change t	o All Indice	s		3-1
Condition C	ategory	(		Nume	ric Range	s
# of Ranges:	5 🕂					
,	_	PSR	PDI	SAI	PQI	IBI
1	: <=	1.00	1.00	1.00	20.00	>= 250.00
2	: <=	2.00	2.00	2.00	50.00	>= 150.00
3	: <=	3.00	3.00	3.00	60.00	>= 100.00
4	: <=	4.00	4.00	4.00	80.00	>= 50.00
5	: <=	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.00	>= 0.00
6	: <=	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	>= 400.00
7	: <=	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	>= 300.00
8	: <=	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	>= 200.00
9	: <=	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	>= 100.00
10	): <=	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	>= 0.00

✓ The above numeric ranges are user definable and can be modified through the Screen 3-1-cat.

You are requested to extract and print the highway attribute for I-10E, MP 0-0.88. Answer:

✓ Go to the Highway menu and choose Highway Data View from the drop down list.



 $\checkmark$  The following form will be displayed:

ata to View:	Browse Log Plot	View	Summary
C Single Highway Network Subset	Data to Browse: Highway Definitions Landmarks / Events		<b>^</b>
Route: SR Ramp Route Number: Route Aux ID: Direction:	Administrative Jurisdictions Environment Geometric Shoulders Traffic History Bourdness / But		
Interchange #: 0 Ramp ID:  From Distance: 0.000	Distress Distress Distress (Column view) Deflection Friction Project Segments Project Detaile		
To Distance:     0.000     County:     Seq:     0	Project Deciais Project Layers Project Activity By Type Images GPS Coordinates Documents Programmed Work		
☐ Year: 2005 ☐ Lane:			-

- In order to view Highway data for highway I-10 you must first click on Route check box to select "I - Interstate" for Interstate from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Route check box.
- ✓ Then, you must click on the Route Number check box and then type "10".
- ✓ Click on Direction check box to select "E" for East bound from the pick list. The pick list will not become enabled until you click on the Direction check box.
- ✓ Next, since you wish to view Highway data for only a certain segment of the highway, for example between milepost 0 and 0.88, you must click on "From Distance" and "To Distance" check boxes and fill in the milepost information from 0 to 0.88.

✓ Your form should now look like the one below:

)ata to View:	Browse Log Plot View	Summary
Single Highway   Network Subset   Route:   I.   Route Number:   10   Route Aux ID:   Matrix   Direction:   E   Interchange #:   0   Ramp ID:   From Distance:   0.000   To Distance:   0.880   County:   Seq:   0	Data to Browse:         Highway Definitions         Landmarks / Events         Administrative         Jurisdictions         Environment         Geometric         Shoulders         Traffic History         Roughness / Rut         Distress         Distress (Column view)         Deflection         Friction         Project Details         Project Activity By Type         Images         GPS Coordinates         Documents         Programmed Work.	

✓ Click on View tab and select <sup>™</sup> button as shown below.

ata to View:			Browse	Log Plot	View	Summary
<ul> <li>Single Highway</li> <li>Network Subset</li> </ul>			Attribute	Segment View	lonu.	
Route:	j. 💌	Interstate		conger o ognioner i na	loiy	
Route Number:	10		E PS	R		2005 🕂
🔽 Route Aux ID:		Main Line		1		2004 🗧
Direction:	E 🔻			Y	ears - 🗖 💈	2003 ਦ
Interchange #:	0			t Depth flection		2002 ÷
Ramp ID:	-			st Rehab intenance Cost		2001 🔄
From Distance:	0.000		T Dis	tress	Interval	1.00 Mi.
🔽 To Distance:	0.880					
County:	-		C Roughne	ess Data / Plot / Ima	ge	
🔽 Seq:	0			Di	ata Year: 2005	5 <del>; ;</del>
🗖 Year:	2084	Lane:	C Images	Mutti-View		
		- 1		(		
The following screen will appear showing highway data for I-10 E between milepost 0-0.88.

Highway Data View - Attribute Segment View		>
Image: Second	0.000	4-1-3-1
Highway: From To	Administrative:	
I- 10 E 0.000 0.880	Func. Class: INR Ru.Pr.Art Interstate	Landmarks
0 0 0	Elevation 0.5 Maint. Area:	Rough./Rut.
Geometrics:	NHS: 0	Distress
Type Width	Jurisdiction:	Deflection
Pavemt: 24.0	District: 82 Yuma	Denection
Median: Non Divided 0.0	Maint. Org: 8251 Quartzsite	Friction
Divided: Y Lanes: 2 R.O.W.: 0.0	County: 15 La Paz	T
	Urban Area: 0	
Shoulders: Type: Width: Thick.	City: 36 COLORADOCITY	Projects
Left: Asphalt 10.0 0.0	Environment:	
Right: Asphalt 12.0 0.0	Environment: Drl Desert-Int	Layers
Drainage Type:	Terrain:	Contrage
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

 Simply press button to print Attribute Segment View for I-10 E highway segment 0-0.88 and the following report will be printed out:

	\$			2005/10/1
		HIGHWAY DATA RE	EPORT	
Highway				
Type # Aux	Intchg Ramp D # ID Coun	tv Sea From	То	
<u></u>	E	0.000	0.880	
Juris diction Func. Class: Ru.f County: La F District: Yur	Pr.Art Interstate Ac Paz Ci na M.	Imn. Sys.: 0.5 ty: COLORADOCITY aint. Org: Quartzsite	Maint Area: Environment: Dri Urban Area:	Terrain: NHS:0
Geometrics			Shoulders	
Longth: 0.990	Width Berrod: 34.0	Pave. Type:	Туре	Width Thic
Lance: 2	POW: 00		Left: Asphalt	10.0 0.0
	Madiana 0.0	Median Type:	Dispinente Tunor	12.0 0.0
Divided: Yes	median: U.U	Non Divided	Drainage Type:	

✓ Landmarks events, distress, roughness, deflection, friction, traffic, projects and layers data can also be extracted form figure 4-1-3-1 for highway I-10 E between milepost 0

to0.88 wh	en alternatir	ng the sele	ction of 💶	ndmarks Rough./Rut.	Distress	, Deflection
Friction	Traffic	Projects	Layers	buttons.		

Section Data View Reporting

# **Section Data View Reporting**

Question 14

Individual Section Data Reporting You are requested to produce detailed reports for section I-8 E\_20.530.5-24.765 illustrating the following data:

- Highway definition, Jurisdiction, Geometrics, Traffic, and Overall Condition
- Index Performance Plot for historic and predicted PSR and MDI

#### Answer:

✓ Detailed reports for individual sections can be viewed and printed via the 5-2-a "Section Data" form, accessed via the Section / Detail View menu. You will first see the "Section Detail View" 5-2 form allowing you to choose a sort order and a subset. Click the "Browse" button to view the "Section Data" 5-2-a.

Section Detail View	
8	5-
Sort / Order: Sort: Highway Order: @ Ascending Include Overlaps Descending	
Subset:	]
All Sections	
Data View:	]
FY0005 - October Training - Base Yr 2000	
Edit Edit Close	

✓ The Section Data form will allow you to view the details for each individual section.

Section Data (269 sections in subset All Sectior	s)		×
	Location: C	1.000 🔳 🔏 Select 🛍 🚘 薪 🤶	5-2-a
Section:       Aux       Dir       Cnly       Seq       Int#       Ramp         I-       8       E       0       0       0         Median:       Non Divided       V       Rev:       1         I-       1       Anes:       2       HOV:       Rev:         I-       1       Hov:       Trix:       Trix:       Trix:	Ln. Distance Re From 0.000 To 2.420 Administrative / Juris Func Class: Ru.Pr.ArtIn District: Yuma Maint. Org: Yuma Courity: Yuma COG: YMPD	Image: Constraint of the second sec	Section Data Performance History Distress Hwy Detail Geometric Traffic Construction
Shoulders:       Type       Width       Thick         Left:       High        4.0       0.0         Right:       High       10.0       0.0         Drain:       Curb       Sdwk          Intersections:       0       Driveways:       0         Speed Limit:       0       Seasonal Closure:	Traffic:         AADT:         21955           Growth:         5.0         %           Trucks:         11         %           ESALa:         121541         ESALa:           ESAL:         1599844         ESALc:         0           Accident:         0         SADT:         0	Construction:         Age           Const:         1977         Orig. BIT Constr         ✓         28           Rehab:         1977         Orig. BIT Constr         ✓         28           Maint:         2003         PremixPatch (101)         ✓         28           Prg.Wk:         0         ✓         ✓         SN:         3.46         Surf:         0.0         Jt.Sp:         0.0           Condition:         PSR:         3.62         IRI:         88.64         SAI:         0.00           PDI:         4.45         Rut:         0.14         PRI:         999.9	A Docs
Remarks:		FIC. SUS Fig. SUS	Close

✓ To select a specific section, click on the Select button ▲ Select... (at the top of the form) to open the Selection List form. Select the required section for viewing by clicking on the section in the list then pressing the Select button.

Section Data (1920 sections	in subset All Section	ons)		2
◨◪╳▰┛┛◴		Location:	0.000 ┥ 🎑 Select.] 🚳 🛃 🚮 💡	5-2-
Section: Aux Dir Crty I- 8 E 0 Geometrics: Pavement Type: Bituminous Median: Non Divide Length: Width: Paved 2.335 24.0 Divided: Lanes: 2 Shoulders: Type Left: High Right: High Drain: Curb Intersections: 0 Speed Limit: 0 Season.	Seq         Int#         Ramp           0         0         0           #1         Number o         Ramp           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           1         8         1           8         1         8           1         8         1           8         1         8           1         8         1           8         1         8           1         8         1           8         1         8           1         8         1	Ln.         Distance           From         0.000           f sections in the list	Reference     Description       M000.00 (EB + 0.000(Beg)       1920       5-2-a1       1920       2.420       10.000       2.420       10.180       10.180       11.180       2.0530       2.4765       2.4765       2.4765       2.0530       2.4765       2.37.050       37.050       45.050       53.050       55.320       63.320       63.320       66.000       72.000       80.000       72.000       80.000       72.000       80.000       72.000       80.000       72.000       80.000       72.000       80.000       72.000       80.000	Section Dat. Performance History Distress Hwy Detail Geometric Traffic Construction Details M Photolog M Image E Docs
Prep. Activity:	Quantity:	0	Fric.: 57.5 PQI: 4.31	
Remarks:				Close

✓ The Section Data form for Highway segment I-8 E\_20.530.5-24.765 will be displayed as shown below:

	5-2-a
Section:       Aux Dir Crity Seq Int# Ramp Ln.       Distance Reference Description       Section:       Section:       Aux Dir Crity Seq Int# Ramp Ln.       Distance Reference Description       Perf         I	5-2-a tion Data formance istory istress raffic raffic Details
Left:       Asphalt       4.0       0.0         Right:       Asphalt       10.0       0.0         Drain:       Curb       Sdwk       Trucks:       14 %         Intersections:       0       Driveways:       0       ESALa:       175591         Intersections:       0       Driveways:       0       ESALa:       175591         Speed Limit:       0       Seasonal Closure:       0       Accident:       0         Shot:       1.11       Suf:       28.33       SAI:       0.00         Prep. Activity:       Quantity:       0       Fric.:       68.0       PQI:       4.56	Photolog Image
	Close

✓ By clicking on the Preview and Print buttons the user can produce reports for each section detailing the highway definition, jurisdiction, geometrics, traffic, and overall condition as shown below:

SECTION DATA REPORT       Subset All Sections       Highway       RT     # Aux Dir Int# Ram Orty Seq Lane Frem 15:365       Part B     Fe Dir 20:590       Frem Dace:     To Dace:       Juris diction       Juris diction       Juris diction       Ferm Dace:       Juris diction       Juris diction       Ferm Dace:       Juris diction       Ferm Dace:       Juris diction       Juris diction       Ferm Dace:       Juris diction       Juris diction       Ferm Dace:       Juris diction       Fermi Dace:       Juris diction       Fermi Dace:       Juris diction       Fermi Dace:       Juris diction       Fermi Dace:       Juris diction       Midth Parve, Type:       Langtic 5.189       Parved: 24.0       Bitminibus       Langtic 5.189       Parved: 24.0       Midth Parve, Type:	🙈 ADOT PMS					2005/10
Native: All Sections       Highway       RT     #     Aux Dir     Int#     Ram     Onty     Seq     Lane     Frein     15.355       Iv     8     E     To     20.530       Frein Dosc:     To     Dosc:   Junk diotion       Fanc. Closs: Ru.Pr.Art- Interstate     Elevation:     0.5     Minint Area:     Termin:       County: Yuma     City: COLORAD.0CITY     Ereditionmant: Drift     NHS:N       District: E2     Method. Org:     6250     Urban Area:       Geenetrics     Shoulders     NHS:N       Lengtic 5.189     Paved: 24.0     Bturninous       Lanes: 2     R.O.W.: 0.0     Median:       Dividiat: Yee     Median: 0.0     Non Divided       Dividiat: Yee     Median: 0.0     Non Divided       Traffic     Construction       AADT:17299     ESAL:175591     Growth:5.0     %       Construction     Sin: 3.40       Construction       Construction       Construction       PR: 992.9     Friction: 60.0     PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.36       PR: 993.9     Friction: 60.0     Ret 26.95			SECTION DATA	REPOR	रा	
Night and angle of the second seco	Misharar			610		
i-       8       E       To 20,530         Freen Dasc:       To Dasc:         Juris diction         Func. Cless: Ru,Pr,Art-Intensate       Elevation: 0.5       Maint Area:         Generatives       County: Yuma       Chy: CDLORAD DOITY       Environment: Dri         District: B2       Hebrit. Org: 6250       Urbas Area:         Generatives       Shoulders         Wridth       Paved: 24.0       Biturnhous         Langet:       2       R.O.W. D.0       Median:         Divid ad: Yee       Median: D.0       Non Divided       Drainage Type:         Traffic       Constitue 1993       Stat.: 175391       Growth:5.0       N         ADDT: 17299       ESAL:: 175391       Growth:5.0       N       Rehab:: 1983       MikRep.3-6*AC+FC       Surt. Thick: 0.0         Condition       P0I: 4.12       SAI: 0.00       PSR: 4.06       P0I: 4.36       P0I: 4.36         PR: 999.9       Friction: 60.0       Rut Depth: 0.12       IR: 54.95       Surt. Thick: 0.0	RT # Aux Dir	ht# Rem Cntv	Seg Lane Frem	15.365		
To Dasc:       Jurk diction       Fence. Clesse: Ru,Pr,Art Interstate     Elevention:     0.5     Maint Area:     Termin:       County: Yuma     City: COLORAD OCITY     Environment: Dif     NH5: N       District: B2     Heint, Org. 6250     Urban Area:       Geometrics     Shoulders       Geometrics     Type     Width       Paved: 24.0     Biturnhous     Type     Width       Langel:     Rodian: D()     Non Divided     District High     4.0     DI       Divid ad: Yee     Median: D()     Non Divided     Construction     Site 1993       Traffic     Construction     Site 1933     MikRep.3-6*AC+FC     Surt. Thick: 0.0       Condition     Pol: 4.12     SAt: 0.00     PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.36       PR: 993.9     Friction: 60.0     Rut Depth: 0.12     IR: 54.95	<u>I- в Е</u>		Tø	20.590		
Juris dieden Juris dieden Fanc. Cless: Ru.Pr.ArtIntenstate Elevation: 0.5 Minist Area: Termin: County: Yuma City: COLORAD.DCITY Erreferenment: Dri NH5:N District: B2 Mehr. Org: 6250 Urban Area: Geometrics Shoulders Geometrics Width Paves. Types Langet: 5.189 Paved: 24.0 Biturnincus Langet: 5.189 Paved: 24.0 Biturnincus Langet: 2 R.O.W.: D.0 Median: Divid ad: Yee Median: D.0 Non Divided Traffic Construction AADT: 17209 ESAL:: 175591 Growth:5.0 % ESAL:: 175591 Growth:5.0 % Construction Condition POI: 4.12 SAI: 0.00 PSR: 4.06 PDI: 4.36 PRI: 999.9 Friction: 60.0 Rut Deptis: 0.12 IRI: 54.95	From Desc:		To	Desc:		
Jurib diodion       Fanc. Close: Ru.Pr.ArtInterstate     Elevation:     0.5     Mnint Area:     Termin:       County: Yuma     City: COLORAD.DCITY     Environment: Dri     NH5:N       District: E2     Maint. Org:     6250     Urban Area:       Geometrics     Shoulders       Geometrics     Shoulders       Midth     Parve.Types       Langetic 5.189     Parved:     24.0       Biturnihous     Right: High     4.0     0.0       Lances: 2     R.O.W.: D.0     Median:     Divided       Divid ad: Yee     Median: D.0     Non Divided     Divide:       Traffic     Construction     Sint:     3.40       Condition     Sala 175291     Growth:5.0     N       Pol:     4.12     Sala 0.00     PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.35       PR:     Sala 0.00     PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.35						
Fance. Clease: Ru/Pr. Art Interstate     Elevention:     0.5     Minist Areas:     Termin:       County: Yuma     City: COLORABDOITY     Environmenant: Drift     NH5: N       District: E2     Maint. Org: 6250     Urban Areas:       Geometrics     Shoulders       Geometrics:     Yinith       Whith     Perve. Types:       Longtic 5.189     Paved: 24.0       Biturninous     Laft: High       Lanses: 2     R.0.W:: 0.0       Median: 0.0     Non Divided       Divide: Ypp:     Median: 0.0       Noralings Type:     Traffic       Construction     Site 3.4(Frequence)       ADDT: 17269     ESALs: 175591       Growth:5.0     Nit/Rep3-6*AC+FC       Solar: 172691     ESALe: 0       PRI: 99291     Fraction: BDD       Pol: 4.12     SAL: 0.00       PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.35       PRI: 99293     Fraction: BDD	Juris diction					
Country: Yuma Crig: COLORAD DOITY Environment: Dri NHS:N District: E2 Healst. Org: 6250 Urban Area: Geometrics Showlders Longdic 5.189 Paved: 24.0 Biturnhous Langelic 5.189 Paved: 24.0 Biturnhous Lanses: 2 R.O.W.: 0.0 Median: Divide dc: Ypp Median: 0.0 Non Divided Uralmage Type: Traffic Construction AADT:17268 ESAL:: 175591 Growth:5.0 % Construction AADT:17268 ESAL:: 175591 Growth:5.0 % Construction Construction AADT:17268 ESAL:: 175591 Growth:5.0 % Construction Construction Pol: 4.12 SAL: 0.00 PSR: 4.06 PDI: 4.35 PR: 995.9 Friction: 60.0 Rut Depth: 0.12 IRI: 54.95	Func. Class: Ru.Pr.4	Art-Intersiate B	ilevation: 0.5		loint Area:	Terraia:
Divertient biz         Middle Parves. Types         Type         Width Thil           Langetiz 5.189         Parved: 24.0         Biturnihous         Laft High         4.0         D.0           Langetiz 5.189         Parved: 24.0         Biturnihous         Laft High         4.0         D.0           Langetiz 5.189         Parved: 24.0         Biturnihous         Laft High         4.0         D.0           Divid act: Yppe         Median: [0,0]         Non Divided         Drainage Type:         Traffic         Construction           AADT:17268         ESAL:: 175591         Growth:5.0         %         Construction         SN: 3.4           Constitue         Trucke:14.2         %         Rehab: 1983         MikRep 3-6*AC+FC         Surf. Thick: 0.0           Condition         FPR: 995.9         Friction: 60.0         PSR: 4.06         PDI: 4.36         PDI: 4.36	County: Yuma	Ch	U: COLORADOCITY	En	vironment: Dri	NH 5: N
Geometrics         Shoulders           Geometrics         Whith Langetic 5,189         Pavedic 24.0 Pavedic 24.0 Biturnihous         Biturnihous Biturnihous         Type         Whith Thi Laffer High         4.0         0.0           Langetic 5,189         Pavedic 24.0 Mediant: 0.0         Mediant: 0.0         Non Divided         Right: High         4.0         0.0           Dividact: Yppe         Mediant: 0.0         Non Divided         Dividing: Type:         10.0         0.0           Traffic         Construction         ESALe: 175591         Growth:5.0         N         Construction         SN: 3.4           AADT: 17299         ESALe: 0         Trucks:14.2         NIKR pp.3-6*AC+FC         Surf. Thick: 0.0           Construction         Fischart 175591         Growth:5.0         N         Rehab: 1993         MIKR pp.3-6*AC+FC         Surf. Thick: 0.0           Condition         Fischart 175591         Rut Deprin: 0.12         IRI: 54.95         Surf. Thick: 0.0	District: 62	H	amt. Org: 6250	U	idam Areas	
Width Lengtic 5.189     Paved: 24.0     Bturnhous     Type     Width Thi Lafter       Lengtic 5.189     Paved: 24.0     Bturnhous     Lafter High     4.0     D.0       Lengtic 5.189     Paved: 24.0     Bturnhous     Right: High     10.0     D.0       Lengtic 5.189     Median: (0)     Non Divided     Right: High     10.0     D.0       Divided: Yppe     Median: (0)     Non Divided     Drainage Type:     Training Type:     Training Type:       Training     Construction     Construction     SN: 3.4( Rehab: 1983     SN: 7.4C+FC     Surf. Thick: 0.0       Condition     Construction     Fischer     Surf. Thick: 0.0     SN: 3.4( Rehab: 1983     SN: 7.4C+FC       P0(: 4.12     SAL: 0.00     PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.35     Fischer: 54.95	Geometrics				Shoulders	
Lances: 2     R.O.W.: D.O     Median:     Right: High     10.0     D.D       Divided: Ypp     Median: D.O     Non Divided     Divided: Ypp     Divided: Ypp       Traffic     Construction       AADT: 17269     ESAL:: 175591     Growth: 5.0     %       Construction     SN: 3.4(       Construction     SN: 3.4(       Construction     SN: 3.4(       Construction     SN: 3.4(       PQI: 4.12     SAL: 0.00     PSR: 4.06       PR: 999.9     Fraction: 60.0     Rot Depth: 0.12	Lenonthe 5,189	Width Pavedt 240	Peve. Type:		Type	Width Thi
Divide     Construction     Construction       AADT:17268     ESAL:: 175591     Growth:5.0       AADT:17268     ESAL:: 175591     Growth:5.0       Salar:     Trucke:14.2     Construction       Construction     SN: 3.4       PQI: 4.12     SAL: 0.00       PSR: 4.06     PDI: 4.35       PR: 993.9     Fraction: 60.0	Lanes: 2	R.O.W.: D.O.	BEUMINOUS		Right Hink	40 0
Traffic         Construction           AADT:17268         ESAL:: 175591         Growth:5.0         %           Construction         SN: 3.4         Rehab: 1983         MikRep 3-5*AC+FC         Surf. Thick: 0.0           Condition         PQI: 4.12         SAL: 0.00         PSR: 4.06         PDI: 4.35           PRI: 999.9         Friction: 60.0         Rot Depth: 0.12         IRI: 54.95	Divided: Yee	Median: D()	Non Divided		Drainage Type:	10.0 07
Traffic         Construction           AADT:17200         ESAL:: 175391         Growth:5.0         K           ESAL:: 175391         ESAL:: 175391         Growth:5.0         K           Const:: 0         ESAL:: 175391         ESAL:: 175391         Sin: 3.40           Condition         POI: 4.12         SAI: 0.00         PSR: 4.06         PDI: 4.36           PR: 999.9         Friction: 60.0         Rut Depth: 0.12         IRI: 54.95						
Condition         Point 12         SAL         Condition           P01: 4.12         SAL: 0.00         PSR: 4.06         PD1: 4.36           PRI: 999.9         Friction: 60.0         Rut Depth: 0.12         IRI: 54.95		CAL 170001 Ca	Censur Censur	10000		EN. 3.4
Condition PQI: 4.12 SAL: 0.00 PSR: 4.06 PDI: 4.36 PRI: 999.9 Friction: 60.0 Rut Depth: 0.12 IRI: 54.95	ESALa: 175591 ES	SALC:0 TI	ucke:14.2 % Reha	b: 1963	MINR BD 3-6"AC+FC	Surf. Thick: 0.0
POI: 4.12 SAL 0.00 PSR: 4.06 PDI: 4.35 PRI: 999.9 Friction: 60.0 Rut Depth: 0.12 IRI: 54.95	Condition					
PRI: 999.9 Friction: 60.0 Rut Depth: 0.12 IRI: 54.95	POI: 4.12	SA: 0.00	PSR: 4.06 PI	1: 4.35		
	PRI: 999.9 Frid	tion: 60.0 Rut 0	kepth: 0.12 II	<b>1:54.95</b>		
			_			

✓ To view the performance of a section, click on the Performance button (upper right side of the form) to open a new form (form 5-2-a-p) showing the details of the performance of the section, shown below. Click the Preview or Print buttons to access the text version of this report.

🏏 Section I	Data (1920 sections in subset All Sections)	×
	🗙 🗐 🖳 🖂 📢 🔹 🕨 Location: 20.530 🔍 🎢 Select 🛍 🖶 📆 🤶	5-2-a
Sectio	Section Performance Data	on Data rmance
<mark>Geomε</mark> Pavε	Highway:         Index Prediction Models:         0 <t< th=""><th>:ory ress Detail</th></t<>	:ory ress Detail
Length	To 24.765 SAL: * 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	netric
Divide	Distresses:           Year Need BSL Gap	truction
Should	PSR:         4.45         2000         2016         16         24         2         Pach         0.0         0.0         C	etails
Left: /	PDI: 5.00 2000 2032 32 4 Rut 0.0 0 0.1 C 0.0 C 0.0 C	notolog mage
Drain:	SAI:         0.00         0           PQI:         4.56         2016         16         7         Lane         0.0         0         0.0         Г         0.0         0.0         1.0         Г         0.0         1.0	Docs
Speed	PRI:         999.9           Rutting/Faulting:         0.13         Rut Level:         0.15	
	Models	
Prep. A		
Remarks:		ose

✓ Details on the roughness history and distress data can be obtained in a tabular format by clicking on the Rough. Detail and Distress Detail buttons, respectively from 5-2-a-p (Section Performance data)

9	Roughness Data																					
	Ľ	× =⁄		é	5 Q.	🔁 🖻		2	#	Rł	Ш	ø	K	Delete	. 1	?						4-1-1-12
ĺ	R1	· #	Aux	Dir	Int#	Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	To	Year	PSI	Ovd	IRI-L	IRI-R	Rut-L	Rut-R	Dual	Slope	Date	Speed	OpNo 🔺
	▶ I-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2003	4.16		50.00	47.00	0.00	0.13		0.0	2003/03/06	0	0
	1-	8	:	Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2002	4.14	Γ	49.00	50.00	0.00	0.15		0.0	2002/05/07	0	0
	ŀ	8	1	Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2001	4.12		49.00	45.00	0.00	0.13		0.0	2001/05/15	0	0
	1-	8	1	Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2000	4.27		36.00	41.00	0.00	0.13		0.0	2000/04/25	0	0
	ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1999	4.27		40.00	37.00	0.00	0.11		0.0	1999/06/09	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1998	3.16		105.00	119.00	0.00	0.24		0.0	1998/07/08	0	0
	ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1997	2.86		141.00	132.00	0.00	0.30		0.0	1997/06/23	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1996	2.88		134.74	134.74	0.00	0.37		0.0	1996/12/17	0	0
	ŀ	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1995	3.05		120.30	120.30	0.00	0.20		0.0	1995/05/03	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1994	3.22		107.07	107.07	0.00	0.20		0.0	1994/07/01	0	0
	1-	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1993	2.78		143.16	143.16	0.00	0.20		0.0	1993/06/23	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1992	3.04		121.50	121.50	0.00	0.15		0.0	1992/07/01	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1991	2.94		129.92	129.92	0.00	0.20		0.0	1991/07/01	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1990	3.51		86.62	86.62	0.00	0.10		0.0	1990/07/01	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1989	3.56		83.01	83.01	0.00	0.08		0.0	1989/07/01	0	0
	1-	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1988	3.61		79.40	79.40	0.00	0.10		0.0	1988/07/01	0	0
	1-	8		Е	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1987	3.66		75.79	75.79	0.00	0.10		0.0	1987/07/01	0	0
	lŀ,	8	Ε.	E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1986	3.85		63.76	63.76	0.00	0.12		0.0	1986/07/01	0	0 -
	•	_																				
	Tota	l Records	: 160																			OK

Ľ	×	/		9	<u>a</u>			n	<b>M</b> 🖻	R↓	?					4-1-1-
Seg	iment:															
B1	r #	A	ux [	Dir Ir	nt#	Rmp	Ln	Seq	From	To	Year	Pav.T.	PDI	Ovd	Date	-
ÞĿ		8	I	E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2003	BIT	3.87	Г	2003/01/14	
-		8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2002	BIT	4.14	Г	2002/01/09	
ŀ		8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2001	BIT	4.66	Г	2001/07/01	
-		8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	2000	BIT	5.00	Г	2000/07/01	
ŀ		8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1999	BIT	5.00	Г	1999/07/01	
-	_	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1998	BIT	2.51		1998/07/01	
ŀ	_	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1997	BIT	2.51		1997/07/01	
-	_	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1996	BIT	2.51		1996/07/01	
1.	_	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1995	BIT	2.51		1995/07/01	
-	_	8		E	0		2	0	20.000	21.000	1994	BIT	3.22		1994/07/01	<u> </u>
1		8	ļ	E	ol		2	0	20.000	21.000	1993	BIT	3.22		1993/07/01	
<u> </u>										1						
Dis	tresses															
	Crak	Pach	Flsh	Rut[	) Ravi	Load l	ane	Spal	PotH							
L:	6.0	0.0	4.0	0 0	.0 0.0	1.0	4.0	0.0	0.0							
M:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0	.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
H:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0	.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ndex	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							

✓ To plot the pavement performance of this section, click on the Plot button (upper right side of the form 5-2-a-p, Section Performance Data) to view the historical and predicted future performance. The following screen shows the PSR and PDI and PQI historical and predicted data for the selected section as well as construction and maintenance historical projects between years 1994 to 2014. Click the Print and Preview buttons as required.



## **Question 15**

#### **Tabular Reporting of All Sections**

Different attributes and performance parameters can be extracted for the sections within the section data view in a tabular format for further exporting. To illustrate this tool, you are requested to produce the highway definition, pavement type, and most recent PSR, PDI, and PQI performance data for all sections in a tabular format.

Answer:

✓ Go to the Section / Table View menu to access the Section Table View form 5-3. This form allows reporting of specific types of data in a tabular format and it is easily customizable.



✓ The following screen will display the last user layout:

<u>-</u>	Layout:	Default	Field	d Set			<b>-</b>	📲 🖉 Layo	J.		Sort: Highway 🔽 🧖
Da	ata View:	FY0005	i - O(	stober Traini	ng - Base Yr	2000	) 6	🖗 Data V	iev		Order: @ Ascending C Descending
	Subset:	A11 S	ect	ions			•	Subse		Use Gi	Prouped Sections Section Details
RT	RT_Nu	m Aux	D	From	То	Age	IRI	Pavemt	Width	Lanes	
I-		8	E	0.000	2.420	23	45.81	BIT	24.0	2	
I-		8	E	2.420	10.180	28	41.83	BIT	24.0	2	
ŀ		8	E	10.180	18.180	17	45.24	BIT	24.0	2	
I-		8	E	18.180	20.530	17	37.80	BIT	24.0	2	
ŀ		8	E	20.530	24.765	21	28.33	BIT	24.0	2	
I-		8	E	24.765	29.000	21	26.12	BIT	24.0	2	
l-		8	Е	29.000	33.025	12	54.13	BIT	24.0	2	
ŀ		8	Е	33.025	37.050	12	49.61	BIT	24.0	2	
l-		8	Е	37.050	45.050	21	25.90	BIT	24.0	2	
ŀ		8	Е	45.050	53.050	21	25.50	BIT	24.0	2	
I-		8	Е	53.050	55.320	21	27.53	BIT	24.0	2	
I-		8	Е	55.320	63.320	11	64.49	BIT	24.0	2	
ŀ		8	Е	63.320	66.000	11	81.66	BIT	24.0	2	
I-		8	E	66.000	72.000	5	35.75	BIT	24.0	2	

✓ Click on Layout <sup>□</sup>Layout</sup> button.

✓ Form 5-3-f will appear to allow for the selection of the required fields. This form allows the user to define its own table from a large number of fields to select from. The fields can be added or removed by selecting them and clicking on the arrow buttons to add or remove them (middle of the form) from the Section Data Browse form.



a la anorte la	a and an	inerer m		
	Y Field Selection			
Data View: Subset:	Layout: Default Fi	eld Set	Colorbod Fields	5-3-f cending tails
RT_RT_Nur  RT_Nur  RT_	Available Fields: POI Year 15 (Predicted) POI Year 15 (Predicted) POI Year 17 (Predicted) POI Year 18 (Predicted) POI Year 20 (Predicted) POI Year 20 (Predicted) PSR Coefficient A PSR Coefficient A PSR Coefficient C PSR Deterioration Gap PSR Deterioration Rate PSR Model Default PSR Percent Remaining Life PSR Year PSR Year 1 (Predicted)	× ×	Selected Fields: Hwy ID: Route Type Hwy ID: Route 4 Hwy ID: Route 4 Hwy ID: Direction Begin Distance AGE IRI Pavement Type Width (Paved) Lanes - No. of PDI Most Recent PSR Most Recent	

 Close form 5-3-f and form 5-3 will appear including the layout specified as shown below. You can then export this data for use with other applications by clicking on the Export button and specifying a file name, type and location.

2	Sect	ion Data	Brows	ie	Subset: A	II Sections	(19	20 sect	ions)						
	<u></u>	Layout:	Default	Field	d Set			-	🗐 🖉 Layo	ut		Sort	Highway	•	<b>?</b> 5-3
	Da	ata View:	FY0005	i - O(	ctober Traini	ng - Base Yi	200	0	Data Viev Order: Ascending Obscending						nding
		Subset:	A11 S	ect	ions			•	🛃 Subse	t Г	Use G	rouped Sect	ions	Section Detail	s
Γ	RT	RT_Nur	nAux	D	From	То	Age	IRI	Pavem	tWidth	Lanes	PDI_MRM	PQI_MRM	PSR_MRM	<b>_</b>
	- I-		8	E	0.000	2.420	23	45.81	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.31	4.14	
	ŀ		8	Е	2.420	10.180	28	41.83	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.37	4.21	
	ŀ		8	Е	10.180	18.180	17	45.24	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.33	4.16	
	ŀ		8	Е	18.180	20.530	17	37.80	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.42	4.28	
	ŀ		8	Е	20.530	24.765	21	28.33	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.56	4.45	
	ŀ		8	Е	24.765	29.000	21	26.12	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.59	4.49	
	ŀ		8	Е	29.000	33.025	12	54.13	BIT	24.0	2	4.74	4.15	4.00	
	ŀ		8	Е	33.025	37.050	12	49.61	BIT	24.0	2	4.55	4.17	4.08	
	ŀ		8	Е	37.050	45.050	21	25.90	BIT	24.0	2	4.66	4.52	4.49	
	ŀ		8	Е	45.050	53.050	21	25.50	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.60	4.50	
	ŀ		8	E	53.050	55.320	21	27.53	BIT	24.0	2	5.00	4.58	4.47	
	ŀ		8	Е	55.320	63.320	11	64.49	BIT	24.0	2	3.85	3.84	3.84	
	ŀ		8	E	63.320	66.000	11	81.66	BIT	24.0	2	3.66	3.60	3.58	
	ŀ		8	Е	66.000	72.000	5	35.75	BIT	24.0	2	4.80	4.42	4.32	
	•	1	- 1	1	1				1	1	-			· · · · ·	
-			_	-		_	_	1	101	-	-				

# Question 16 You are requested to produce and print Maintenance History Report for the entire network.

Answer:

✓ Formatted reports can be produced using the List Reports form 5-5, accessed via the Section/ List Reports menu.

Heport I ype:       Maintenance History       Options:       Soft:       Highway       Order:       Ascending	Performance History Report:         Year: From:       2005         To:       2005         Order:       Ascending         © Descending       Distress
Exclude Sections With Zero PQI	Ad-Hoc Report:
Subset: All Sections Use Grouped Sect	Subset

✓ From this form, different types of reports, including the performance history, rehabilitation needs, sections data, etc., can be accessed via the Report Type pick list. A screen capture of the reports available via the Report Type pick list is shown below.

Performance History Performance History O Section History Distress Data Remaining Service Life Rehabilitation Need Maintenance History Ad-Hoc Report Contract Contract Contract	Performance History Heport:         Year: From:         2005         To:         2005         Order:         Ascending         Distress:             Ad:Hoc Report:             Description:             Ad-Hoc Report:				
Subset       All Sections       Use Grouped Sections					
Data View:					

✓ All reports provide a list of the sections sorted by a number of different parameters, such as the highway definition, performance, and traffic.

✓ The Maintenance History Report for All Sections subset is shown in the figure above. . You can then export this data for use with other applications by clicking on the Export button and specifying a file name, type and location or you can view the data by clicking the Print and Preview buttons as required. The following form will be displayed:

Designer – sec_mnth.frx – Page 1					
		Print Preview	▶ ▶   100%	× • • <b>• 8</b>	
ADOT PMS	Section Mair	ntenance Histor	y Report		2005/10/1
	Subset: All Sec	tions			
Highway: <u>RT # Aux Dir</u> Int# Ra I- 8 E Length: 2.335 Lanes: 2 Pave	<u>m Cnty Seq</u> From: To: Type: BIT	0.000 2.420 Func. Class: INR	Main	t. Org: Yuma	
Width: 24.0 Lane ID:			PSR 4.14	PDI 5.00	PQI 4.31
Last Rehab: 1977 / OC-BIT	Year Date	Activity			
	1996 1996/07/03	PremixPatch (101)	)		
	1997 1997/01/09	PremixPatch (101)	)		
	1997 1997/10/20	Level wPremix (10	)2)		
Highway: <u>RT # Aux Dir</u> <u>Int# Ra</u> I- 8 E Length: 7.813 Lanes: 2 Pave Width: 24.0 Lane ID:	m <u>Cnty Seq</u> From: To: Type: BIT	2.420 10.180 Func. Class: INR	Main PSP 4-21	t. Org: Yuma	POL 4 37
Last Bababu 1070 (OC PIT	Vear Date	Activity	1 JN 4.21	101 3.00	i Qi 4.37
Last Kenad: 1972 / UC-BH	1996 1996/07/03	PremivPatch (101)	)		
	1997 1997/01/03	PremivPatch (101)	) )		
	1007 1007/01/09	Tight Bloding (112	,		
	1997 1997/19719				

## **Question 17**

## **Graphical Reports**

You are requested to generate the following graphical reports.

- PSR performance distribution by index range @ 1.0 points 5 bins
- PSR performance distribution by Category 4 ranges: Poor (<2.0), Fair (2-3), Good (3-4), and Excellent (4-5)
- Pie chart showing needed distribution by year in terms of the PSR.

Answer:

- ✓ All graphical reports for the network at the section level can be obtained via the "Section Graphic Reports" form 5-7 accessed via the Section / Graphic Reports menu.
- ✓ A variety of graphical reports can be generated illustrating the network condition via the "Section Graphic Reports" form, shown below.

Graph Lype:	Index to Plot:	hit Pk
Performance Distribution	D PSR	
	DI PDI	
Numeric Hanges     Condition Coherenties	🗖 PQI	
C Condition Categories	Critical Distress	
Subcat		
All Sections	▼ Subset	
Multiple Subsets	Grouped Sections	
		1
Data View:		

✓ The ranges and categories for the graphs can be defined by clicking the Ranges /

Categories button. The "Index Category Ranges" form is then displayed. For this exercise, we will leave the settings on this form as they appear. Click OK to close this form.

Mindex Category Ra	nges								×	🎢 Ir	dex Category Range	:5						X
E 🖸 💡		lpph	y Change	to All Indice	8				at		I 🖻 🤋 I	App	ly Change	to All Indice	8			
Conditio	n Cate	gory			Nume	ric Range	es			Ľ	Condition C	ategor	y		Num	eric Rang	es	
# of Banges:	5 -	1									Categories: 4 ÷	1	Route:	ŀ	•			
- 1			PSR	PDI	SAI	PQI		IRI			Category		PSR	PDI	SAI	PQI		IBI
	1:	<=	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	>=	250.00		1:	Poor	<=	2.00	2.00	2.00	20.00	>=	250.00
	2:	<=	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	>=	150.00		2:	Fair	<=	3.00	3.00	3.00	50.00	>=	150.00
	3:	<=	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	>=	100.00		3:	Good	<=	4.00	4.00	4.00	80.00	>=	100.00
	4:	<=	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	>=	50.00		4:	Excellent	<=	5.00	5.00	5.00	100.00	>=	0.00
	5:	<=	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	>=	0.00		5:		<=					>=	
	6:	<=	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	>=	400.00		6:		<=					>=	
	7:	<=	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	>=	300.00		7:		<=					>=	
	8:	<=	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	>=	200.00		8:		<=					>=	
	9:	<=	4.50	4.50	4,50	4.50	>=	100.00		9:		<=					>=	
	10:	<=	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	>=	0.00		10:		<=					>=	
																	_	
								ОК										OK

- ✓ The requested plots are as follows:
- PSR performance distribution by index range @ 1.0 points 5 bins.
- Click on the PSR check box (upper right portion of the "Section Graphic Report" form) then choose the Plot button to display the graph shown below.

Graph T Perfc ⊙ N ○ C	ype: Irmance Distribution Itumeric Ranges Condition Categories Ranges / Categories	Index to Plot  For Por  Pol  Critical Distress  Exclude No Data	
Subset:	. Sections	Subset	
	Multiple Subsets	Use Grouped Sections	



Also you can display this distribution in a pie chart form by pressing the Pie Chart button on the left side of the screen.



- PSR performance distribution by Category 4 ranges: Poor (<2.0), Fair (2-3), Good (3-4), and Excellent (4-5).</li>
- Remove the check mark beside the Numeric Ranges check box (upper left portion of the "Sectional Graphic Report" form) and select Condition Categories then choose the Plot button 
   Plot
   to display the graph shown below. You may need to click the "Condition Categories" radio button at the bottom of the graph to view the Condition ranges.



Pie chart showing needed distribution by year in terms of the PSR.

Sectional Graphic Report		×
?		5-7
Graph Type:	Index to Plot:	Plot
Need Year Distribution	PSR	
	🗖 PDI	
	🗖 PQI	
	Critical Distress	
	Exclude No Data	
Subset:	E Catura I	
All Sections	Subset	
Multiple Subsets	rouped Sections	
Data View:		
FY0005 - October Training - Base Yr 2000	<b>⊘</b> ∰ Data Viev	

✓ If Figure 5-7 is selected leave the check mark beside PSR but select "Need Year Distribution" from the drop down list under the Graph Type on the upper left side on the form. Click the Plot button I to display the graph shown below. Note, the Plot button will not become available until you choose PSR, DMI or PCI.



✓ The resultant graph may be a bar chart as shown in figure above. To view a pie graph simply click on the Pie Chart button .



# Question 18a - gis maps

You are requested to display the entire state of Arizona road network using the GIS module in the HPMA

Answer:

✓ Go to the Section menu and choose <u>Map Display...</u> from the drop down list.

🚧 А	DOT Pav	vement	Managem	nent Syst	em (6.5)	I) VFP			
File	Tables	Models	Highway	Section	Analysis	Feedback	Window	Help	
				🕍 Secti	on <u>V</u> iew Cr	eation			
				🔁 <u>D</u> eta	il View				
				Table	e View				
	2			🚰 <u>S</u> tati:	stics				1
				🗎 List P	eports				
				<u> P</u> erfo	ormance Gr	aph			
			11	i 🚹 Grap	hic Report:	s			
		/		👿 E <u>x</u> ce	l Pivot Tab	le			
				🙊 <u>M</u> ap	Display				
		A			/				

✓ Select the appropriate Section Data View from the main screen (5-9) and click on the
 Display button. In this case, SDV *FY0005* should be used

Map Display	<mark>×</mark> 5-9
Map Display For:	Display
Data View:	Cancel
FY0005 5 Year Analysis - Base Year 2000	



 $\checkmark$  The following base map should be displayed

#### Question 18b – gis maps

You are requested to display the network condition, in term of the most recent PSR, for ADOT road network on the GIS map. The condition should be displayed in 5 bins as follows:

Range	Display Color
< 2.0	Red
2.0 to 3.0	Magenta
3.0 to 3.5	Green
3.5 to 4.0	Blue
>4.0	Yellow

Answer:

✓ From the drop down *Field Selection* menu, select the *PSR Most Recent*, and then click on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ button.



- ✓ On the *Map Color by Range Value* screen, you need to:
- Specify the number of bin in this example it is "5".
- Define the bin limits by entering them in the range limit boxes in an ASCENDING order. Note that the last Range Limit has to be the maximum value of the PSR scale.
- Define the line thickness and the color of each bin by clicking on the color field. A
  pop-up screen with the color palette will be displayed for the selecting each color.





✓ Click OK button and the map should be displayed as follows:

#### Question 18c – gis maps

You are requested to display the proposed work program by year starting from the year 2000 through 2007. Each project should be labeled by the proposed treatment in that year. The final map should be zoomed to show the area around Phoenix Answer:

- ✓ Similar to the previous question, from the drop down *Field Selection* menu, select the *Treatment Year (Proposed)*, and then click on the button.
- ✓ Assign the number of ranges should be set to "8", which is the number of years starting 2000 though 2007
- ✓ Assign different colors and line thickness for each bin and press OK.
- ✓ The main map will be displayed with the projects highlighted by year
- ✓ From the Select Label pull down menu, select Treatment ID (Proposed), as follows:



🎢 Map Display Label Prope	erties	x
Label Properties For: T	reatment ID (Proposed)	
Optimized Placer -	Mask Labels Mask Color:	
C Standard Labeler -	Text Color: Text	
	Font: Arial Size: 10 Bold	
	Rotate: 0	
	Spline	
	OK Cancel	

✓ The map for the whole state will be displayed



✓ On the main screen select the <sup>I</sup><sup>®</sup> button for zooming, and select the rectangular area to zoom in:



#### **Question 19 – Creating Subsets**

You are requested to create a subset for the flexible pavement sections located beyond milepost 90 on I-10 W direction.

Answer:

✓ The "Subset Selection" form SS can be accessed via a number of different forms in the HPMA software. As an example, the subset definition form can be accessed via the Section/ Section Detailed view menu and then clicking the <u>Select/Define Subset</u>

button solution to open the "Subset Selection" SS form.

SS
-
ок

- ✓ To define a new subset, click on the <u>Add</u> button <sup>□</sup> and the "Subset Builder" form will be displayed. You can now specify the parameters defining the required subset and choose a name for the subset.
- ✓ To create the subset, click the <u>Add</u> button <sup>□</sup> to add a record then specify the Field, the Condition, the Value and the Logic *as shown below*. Hint: you will need to click the Add button to create each row in the subset definition.
- ✓ Enter a name for the subset beside Description (*Training Arizona Subset*) and then click the Verify button to ensure the subset definition is valid.

2	4	Subse	et Builc	ler					×
		<u>°</u>		Verify					
	I	Order	(	Fields	Condition	Value	)	Logic	
	▶	1		Hwy ID: Route Type	=	Interstate		AND	
		2		Hwy ID: Route #	=	10		AND	
		3		Hwy ID: Direction	=	West		AND	
		4		Begin Distance	>=	90.000		AND	
		5		Pavement Type	=	Bituminous			
									-
		De	escriptor	: Training_Arizona_Subset					
						(	эк	Cancel	

 Press OK when your subset definition is completed - you can click on the Count button to view the number of sections within this subset. There should be 61 sections.

#### **Question 20 – Creating Subsets**

You are requested to create a subset for the flexible pavement sections located on I-10 or I-8 W direction where the PSR most recent is greater then 3.5. Answer:

✓ The "Subset Selection" form SS can be accessed via a number of different forms in the HPMA software. As an example, the subset definition form can be accessed via the Section/ Section Detailed view menu and then clicking the <u>Select/Define Subset</u>

button Subset... to open the "Subset Selection" SS form.

×
SS
•
or 1

- ✓ To define a new subset, click on the <u>Add</u> button <sup>□</sup> and the "Subset Builder" form will be displayed. You can now specify the parameters defining the required subset and choose a name for the subset.
- ✓ To create the subset, click the <u>Add</u> button <sup>□</sup> to add a record then specify the Field, the Condition, the Value and the Logic *as shown below*. Hint: you will need to click the Add button to create each row in the subset definition.
- ✓ Enter a name for the subset beside Description (*Training Arizona Subset*) and then click the Verify button to ensure the subset definition is valid.

				[				
2	Sul	bset Bui	lder					X
	C	×	Verify					
	Ore	der (	Fields	Condition	Value	)	Logic 🛓	
	•	1	Hwy ID: Route Type	=	Interstate		AND	
		2 (	Hwy ID: Route #	=	10		OR	
		3	Hwy ID: Route #	=	8	)	AND	
		4	Hwy ID: Direction	=	West		AND	
		5	Pavement Type	=	Bituminous		AND	
		6	PSR Most Recent	>=	3.50			
								-
		Descript	or: Arizona training _01					
						ОК	Cancel	

 Press OK when your subset definition is completed - you can click on the Count button to view the number of sections within this subset. There should be 122 sections. **Corrective Maintenance Analysis & Reporting** 

# **Corrective Maintenance Analysis & Reporting**

## **Question 21**

# You are requested to perform a Corrective Maintenance analysis for all Interstates Routes.

- ✓ The Maintenance Analysis Module provides maintenance activity planning based on a single year maintenance budget.
- ✓ The maintenance programming function is directed towards determining maintenance needs based on condition (surface distress) data and analysing the effects of financial constraints on network performance. There are two steps to the analysis:
  - 1. **Needs Analysis** where the maintenance needs are defined based upon the distresses evident in the pavement and appropriate treatments selected.
  - 2. **Budget Analysis** where the annual maintenance program is developed using the constraints of budget and performance.

# Analysis Parameters

For this case study, the Needs Analysis and Budget Analysis parameters are defined on the screen 6-1 "Maintenance Analysis, Needs Analysis tab, as follows:

- A list of maintenance activities that are commonly used in ADOT and a set of maintenance decision trees were first set.
- The hierarchy that defines competing treatments was selected.
- A separate SDV having the min. length of 1.5 miles and the maximum of 4 miles was built.
- A subset with all Interstates Routes was created.
- Budget limit for Budget Analysis was set at \$ 500,000.

#### Answer:

#### **Budget Analysis:**

✓ Go to the Analysis menu and choose Maintenance Analysis from the drop down list

bles Mode	ls Highway	Section	Analysis	Feedback	Window	Help	
			Maint	enance Anal	ysis		
	$\langle \langle \rangle$		₩ M&R ( Øptim	<u>A</u> lternatives ization Anal;	Analysis /sis		
1			📌 Projec	t Level Anal	ysis		
		~		3			

✓ The following screen shows the analysis parameters set as described above.

Veeds Anah	reie Dudget instance	D- I
Parameters: Activities Decision Trees	Analysis: Results exist: No Replace all analysis results Exclude Optimized Sections for 0 ; yrs Exclude Sections With Programmed Work	
Activity Hierarchy	Reports: © Needs Analysis Report Sort by: C C.E. © Highway C Summary Analysis Report	
Subset: Interstate_Routes Use Groupe	Data View: a ADDT_1Year Analysis_Base Year 2006 d Sections	

✓ Click on the Analyze button ▲ Analyze in order to start the Needs analysis process.

Needs A	nalysis	Budget Analysis
Parameters:	Analysis: Results exis	t: No results rections for 0 + yrs
Deci: 🌠 Mainter	nance Need Analysis, Press <	Esc>to abort.
Activit	0 0 299.000 - 302.000	
G - M	44%	A
	C Summary Analysis Ru	C Highway eport
ubset:	Dat	a View:
		ADOT 1Year Analusia Rase Year 200

✓ Verify the log file as shown in the next figure:

2	🖌 Vie	w File: mr	ntanal.msg		<u>_ 0 ×</u>
	6		#	Bookman Old Style 🔻 9 💌 B I	6-1-v
	I-	40 Treatme	W O O 267.5 nt: Friction Course	00 - 270.000 AR	
	I-	40 Current	W 0 0 270.0 distress value is	00 - 274.000 out of date. Analysis skipped.	
	I-	40 Current	W 0 0 274.0 distress value is	00 - 278.000 out of date. Analysis skipped.	
	I-	40 Treatme	W O O 278.0 nt: Friction Course	00 - 280.000 AR	
	I-	40 Treatme	W O O 280.0 nt: Friction Course	00 - 284.000 AR	
	I-	40 Treatme	W O O 284.0 nt: Friction Course	00 - 288.000 AR	
	I-	40 Treatme	W O O 288.0 nt: Friction Course	00 - 292.000 AR	_
	I-	40	₩ 0 0 292.0	00 - 296.000	-

✓ Note: As shown in the log file some sections have not been considered in the analysis and have a statement "Current distress value is out of date. Analysis skipped". The reason that those sections were not analyzed is that they had some sort of maintenance or rehabilitation done after the performance data was collected. (i.e. the as built date is more recent then the date of the performance data.)

🎇 Maintenance Analysis	<u> </u>								
?	6-1								
Needs Analysis	Budget Analysis								
Parameters: Analysis:	Results exist: Yes								
Activities	e all analysis results e Optimized Sections for 0 + yrs								
Decision Trees	e Sections With Programmed Work								
Activity Hierarchy G - M Interaction	Analysis Report Sort by: C C.E. Highway ary Analysis Report								
Subset:	Subset: Data View								
Interstate_Routes	ADOT_1Year Analysis_Base Year 2006								
	Close								

✓ Two reports can be generated based on the Maintenance Needs Analysis performed, as shown in the figure above:

- 1. Needs Analysis Report which presents the outcome of the decision trees. Each section of highway is reported as well as the selected treatment(s), cost and cost-effectiveness. The user can choose to report in highway order or descending Cost Effectiveness.
- 2. **Summary Needs Analysis Report:** presents the results of the needs analysis grouped by maintenance activity.
- ✓ To print or preview the Needs Analysis Report use → or → button located under Reports Box of the form 6-1 as shown in the following screen capture:

K	ADO	I PM:	5						2006/03/14
100	7			MAI	NTENANCE NEEDS A	NALYSIS REPO	RT		
					Subset: Interstate_Route:	s			
Hig	hway								
RT	# Au	CDir	Int#	Ram Cnt	y Seq From	То	Lane		
					Maintenance	Increase			<b>6 F</b>
			real	FUI	Activity		COST(3)	Area	
After	Mainten	ance	PDI :	5		Total Cost:	\$ 14396		
-	8	W			175.000	178.330			
			2003	3 4.75	Friction Course AR	0.25	13654	3835	0.666
After	Mainten	ance	PDI :	5		Total Cost:	\$ 13654		
-	10	E			0.000	3.000			
			2003	3 4.20	Friction Course AR	0.80	20177	5668	4.120
After	Mainten	ance	PDI :	5		Total Cost:	\$ 20177		
-	10	E			3.000	7.000			
			2003	3 2.85	Friction Course AR	2.15	52422	14725	11.444
After	Mainten	ance	PDI 3	: 5		Total Cost:	\$ 52422		
-	10	E			7.000	11.000			
			2003	3 3.04	Friction Course AR	1.96	45845	12878	11.826
After	Mainten	ance	PDI :	5		Total Cost:	\$ 45845		
	40	-			44.000	44.000			

✓ To print or preview the Summary Needs Analysis Report use → or → button located under Reports Box when Summary Analysis Report check box is selected. The following report will be displayed:

AD OT PMS			2006/03/13
ADOT	MAINTENANCE NEEDS ANALY	SIS SUMMARY REF	PORT
Budget:	Subset: Interstate_F	Routes	
Subset	Activities	Cost	Area
Interstate_Routes	Seal Coat (107)	\$ 27628	15522
	Friction Course AR	\$ 7346005	2063478
Totals		\$ 7373633	2079000
## **Budget Analysis:**

✓ From screen 6-1 click on Budget Analysis tab, click on Add budget Scenario button and define the budget scenario as indicated in the form below.

🎢 Maintenance Anal	ysis					X
?						6-1
Need	ls Analysis		Budge	et Anal	ysis	
Budget Scenari Budget_Correction Analysis:	Add Budget Scene Budget Scene ID: E Description: E Budget:	:enario ario: 3106 3udget_Co 50¢000	6-1 b	X	rogram Report by: © C.E. © Highway nmary Report	
		$\langle$	OK Cance	I		
Subset:			Data View:			
Interstate_	Routes Grouped Sections	•	ADOT_1Year A	.nalysis_	Base Year 2006	
					Clos	æ

✓ Start analysis process by clicking on the Analyze button ▲ Analyze

Maintenance Analysis	×
<u>8</u>	6-1
Needs Analysis	Budget Analysis
Budget Scenario:   Image: 10: BI06 Budget 500000   Budget_Corrective_Maintenance Image: Image: Image:   Analysis: Image: Analyze Image: Image:   Image: </td <td>Reports: Maint. Program Report Sort by: © C.E. Chighway Cost Summary Report Cost Summary Report Dot Plot</td>	Reports: Maint. Program Report Sort by: © C.E. Chighway Cost Summary Report Cost Summary Report Dot Plot
Subset:	r: IT_1Year Analysis_Base Year 2006
Use Grouped Sections	
	Close

✓ Two reports can be generated based on the Maintenance Budget Analysis performed as shown in the figure above:

- 1. **Maintenance Budget Analysis Report** which presents the outcome of the decision trees. Each selected section of highway is reported as well as the selected treatment(s), cost and cost-effectiveness. The user can choose to report in highway order or descending Cost Effectiveness.
- 2. **Summary Budget Analysis Report:** presents the results of the Budget analysis grouped by maintenance activity.
- ✓ To print or preview the Maintenance Budget Analysis Report use in the button located under Reports Box when Maint. Program Report check box is selected. The following report will be displayed:

	AD 01	ГРМЅ		MAINTENANC Budgetscenario Totalbudget Subset	E BUDGE <sup>-</sup> : Budget_Co : \$ 500000 : Interstate_I	T ANAL' prrective_ Tot Routes	YSIS RI Mainten al used::	EPORT ance ≸ 495234			2006/03/14
Hig	hway -										
RT	# Au	<u>× Dir</u> _In	t#Ra	m Cnty <u>Seq</u>	From		To	Lane	-		
		Year	PDI	Mainten Activi	ance ly	Increa PDI	se	Cost (\$)	Area	C.E.	_
l-	10	W			255.000		259.000				
		2003	4.05	Friction Cou	rse AR	0.95		33743	9478	37.712	
-	10	W			128.000		132.000				
		2003	4.30	Seal Coat (1	07)	0.70		12819	7202	21.253	
-	10	W			116.000		120.000				
		2003	3.14	Friction Cou	rse AR	1.86		66446	18665	13.670	
	10	W			168.000		172.000				
		2003	3.85	Friction Cou	rse AR	1.15		29116	8179	12.747	
-	10	W			247.000		251.000				
		2003	4.46	Friction Cou	rse AR	0.54		23940	6725	11.879	
-	10	E			7.000		11.000				
		2003	3.04	Friction Cou	rse AR	1.96		45845	12878	11.826	
-	10	W			8.000		12.000				
		2003	3.09	Friction Cou	rse AR	1.91		45980	12916	11.503	

✓ You can export the Budget Analysis program in an excel file using button located under Reports Box of the form 6-1, as shown in the following screen capture:

	dicrosoft	Excel - az	_budget_50	0000.xls	;											<u>- 🗆 ×</u>
	Eile Edit	<u>V</u> iew <u>I</u> ns	ert F <u>o</u> rmat	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u>	ata <u>W</u> indo	w <u>H</u> elp										_ 8 ×
In	🚔 🔲	AA	Ta 🦅 🐰		. 🛷 🖍	• CH +	🧟 Σ	f≈ AL Z	1 🛍 🚜	100% -	12.					
	G7	<b>•</b>	= 0	-								-	_		_	
	A	В	F	G	Н		J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Q	R	<u> </u>
	Route	Route			_	Begin	End_							-		
1	type	num	Direction	Intchg	Rampid	_mile	mile	Lane_ID	PDI_mrm	Activity	Act_desc	PDI_inc	Cost	Area	Cost_effec	
12	-	10	E	U		3	- /		2.85	202	Friction Course AR	2.15	52422	14/25	11.444	
3	-	10	E	0		7	11		3.04	202	Friction Course AR	1.96	45845	12878	11.826	
4	-	10	E	0		251	255		4.7	202	Friction Course AR	0.3	28843	8102	8.829	
5	ŀ	10	W	0		4	8		3.4	202	Friction Course AR	1.6	38076	10695	8.917	
6	ŀ	10	W	0		8	12		3.09	202	Friction Course AR	1.91	45980	12916	11.503	
7	-	10	W			116	120		3.14	202	Friction Course AR	1.86	66446	18665	13.67	
8	-	10	W	0		128	132		4.3	107	Seal Coat (107)	0.7	12819	7202	21.253	
9	ŀ	10	W	0		168	172		3.85	202	Friction Course AR	1.15	29116	8179	12.747	
10	ŀ	10	W	0		191	195		4.14	202	Friction Course AR	0.86	24041	6753	7.894	
11	ŀ	10	W	0		247	251		4.46	202	Friction Course AR	0.54	23940	6725	11.879	
12	ŀ	10	W	0		255	259		4.05	202	Friction Course AR	0.95	33743	9478	37.712	
13	ŀ	10	W	0		287	291		3.98	202	Friction Course AR	1.02	31477	8842	9.193	
14	-	17	S	0		217.89	221.89		3.91	202	Friction Course AR	1.09	29817	8376	10.848	
15	-	19	N	Ó		60	63.09		4.51	202	Friction Course AR	0.49	15110	4244	7.624	
16	ŀ	19	S	Û		60	63.09		4.29	202	Friction Course AR	0.71	17559	4932	11.048	-
		or trainnir	ng / az_bud	get_5000	00 /				1		•					
Rea	ady													NU	M	

✓ To print or preview the Summary Budget Analysis Report use → or → button located under Reports Box when Cost Summary Report check box is selected. The following report will be displayed:

À ADOT PMS			2006/03/1	
	MAINTENANCE BUDGET ANAL	YSIS SUMMARY RE	PORT	
Iget: BI06 - Budget_Corrective_	Maintenance Subset: Interstate_R	outes		
Subs et	Activities	Cost	Area	
Interstate_Routes	Seal Coat (107)	\$ 12819	7202	
	Friction Course AR	\$ 482415	135510	
		\$ 495234	142712	

✓ You can plot the Budget Analysis results if you select the Budget\_Corrective\_Maintenance from the first drop down list, as shown in the figure below:



✓ You can also plot both Budget Analysis and Needs Analysis results if you keep the above selection, select the Unconstrained Budget from the second drop down list **and** have "All Above" box checked, as shown in the figure below:



✓ The following screen capture will be displayed:

